

(equivalent to approximately 0.002 pound or 1 gram active ingredient per acre). During research, small scale field trials demonstrate that the pesticide is efficacious in reducing aflatoxin in peanuts by 71 to 98%. As a condition of registration, a large scale field trial is required to further demonstrate the efficacy of the pesticide under regular field conditions. Regardless of treatment with pesticide products containing *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 21882, peanut and its food/feed commodities must meet current regulatory requirements for aflatoxin levels.

2. *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 21882 (EPA Registration Number 75624-1). This pesticide is to be used as the Technical Grade Active Ingredient or the Manufacturing Use Product for formulation into end-use products for displacement of the aflatoxin-producing strains of *Aspergillus flavus* from peanuts. Health and ecological effects data submitted and reviewed by the Agency support the conditional registration of the pesticidal active ingredient and the use of the end-use product as described above. An exemption from tolerance for residues for *Aspergillus flavus* NRRL 21882 was granted simultaneously with the conditional registration of the technical and its end-use product. The condition of registration includes analysis of five production batches to meet Agency requirements.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: July 14, 2004.

Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 04-16834 Filed 7-22-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. AUC-04-37-L (Auction No. 37); DA 04-2123]

Removal of FM Broadcast Construction Permits From Auction No. 37

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document announces the removal of two vacant allotments from the Auction No. 37 inventory.

DATES: Auction No. 37 is scheduled for November 3, 2004. The Short-Form

Application (FCC Form 175) Filing Window Opens July 22, 2004; noon, e.t. and ends August 6, 2004; 6 p.m. e.t.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Bradshaw, Audio Division, Media Bureau at (202) 418-2700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of a Public Notice released July 14, 2004. The complete text of the Public Notice, including a four page attachment providing the revised Auction No. 37 inventory, is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. It may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. ("BCPI"), Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC, 20554, telephone 202-488-5300, facsimile 202-488-5563, or you may contact BCPI at their Web site: <http://www.BCPIWEB.com>. This document is also available on the Internet at the Commission's Web site: <http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/37/>.

General Information

The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and the Media Bureau provide additional information about the FM broadcast construction permits being offered in Auction No. 37, scheduled for November 3, 2004. The Media Bureau has determined that coordination with the Mexican government has not been finalized with respect to: (1) FM 282, Cotulla, Texas, Channel 242A, and (2) FM 284, El Dorado, Texas, Channel 285A. These two vacant FM allotments are being removed from the Auction No. 37 inventory.

Federal Communications Commission.

Lisa Scanlan,

Assistant Division Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 04-16889 Filed 7-22-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collections; Comment Request

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Background. On June 15, 1984, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delegated to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) its approval authority under the Paperwork Reduction Act, as

per 5 CFR 1320.16, to approve of and assign OMB control numbers to collection of information requests and requirements conducted or sponsored by the Board under conditions set forth in 5 CFR 1320 Appendix A.1. Board-approved collections of information are incorporated into the official OMB inventory of currently approved collections of information. Copies of the OMB 83-Is and supporting statements and approved collection of information instruments are placed into OMB's public docket files. The Federal Reserve may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Request for comment on information collection proposals

The following information collections, which are being handled under this delegated authority, have received initial Board approval and are hereby published for comment. At the end of the comment period, the proposed information collections, along with an analysis of comments and recommendations received, will be submitted to the Board for final approval under OMB delegated authority. Comments are invited on the following:

- a. whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the Federal Reserve's functions; including whether the information has practical utility;
- b. the accuracy of the Federal Reserve's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collections, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- c. ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- d. ways to minimize the burden of information collections on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 21, 2004.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by FR 2436 or FR Y-12, by any of the following methods:

- Agency Web Site: <http://www.federalreserve.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm>.
- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• E-mail: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov. Include docket number in the subject line of the message.

• FAX: 202/452-3819 or 202/452-3102.

• Mail: Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th & Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments are available from the Board's web site at www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm as submitted, except as necessary for technical reasons. Accordingly, your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments may also be viewed electronically or in paper in Room MP-500 of the Board's Martin Building (20th and C Streets, N.W.) between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the proposed forms and instructions, the Paperwork Reduction Act Submission (OMB 83-I), supporting statements, and other documents that will be placed into OMB's public docket files once approved may be requested from the agency clearance officer, whose name appears below.

Cynthia Ayouch, Federal Reserve Board Clearance Officer (202-452-3829), Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551. Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) users may contact (202-263-4869), Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposal to approve under OMB delegated authority the extension for three years, with revision, of the following reports:

1. *Report title:* Semiannual Report of Derivatives Activity

Agency form number: FR 2436

OMB control number: 7100-0286

Frequency: Semiannual

Reporters: Large U.S. dealers of over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives

Annual reporting hours: 2,400 hours

Estimated average hours per response: 150 hours

Number of respondents: 8

General description of report: This information collection is voluntary (12 U.S.C. §§ 248(a)(2) and 353-359) and is given confidential treatment (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4)).

Abstract: This voluntary report collects derivatives market statistics from eight large U.S. dealers of OTC

derivatives. Data are collected on notional amounts and gross market values of the volumes outstanding of broad categories of foreign exchange, interest rate, equity- and commodity-linked OTC derivatives contracts across a range of underlying currencies, interest rates, and equity markets.

This collection of information complements the ongoing triennial Survey of Foreign Exchange and Derivatives Market Activity (FR 3036; OMB No. 7100-0285). The FR 2436 collects similar data on the outstanding volume of derivatives, but not on derivatives turnover. The Federal Reserve conducts both surveys in coordination with other central banks and forwards the aggregated data furnished by U.S. reporters to the Bank for International Settlements, which publishes global market statistics that are aggregations of national data.

Current Actions: The Federal Reserve proposes to revise the FR 2436 by adding tables to collect data on credit default swaps, effective with the December 31, 2004, report date. Given the very rapid growth of credit derivatives in recent years, the G-10 central banks determined that data on credit default swaps should be collected semiannually. The credit default swaps data would be collected on new Tables 4A through 4D, while existing Table 4 and Table 5 would be re-numbered as Table 5 and Table 6, respectively.

The Federal Reserve proposes to collect data on outstanding positions (notional, gross positive and gross negative market values) of credit default swap contracts for protection bought and protection sold by instrument type and counterparty type. Distinguishing between protection bought and protection sold is of interest because it gives some indication of how credit default swaps are used to shift credit risk among market participants. Additionally, notional values of credit default swap contracts would be reported by rating category of the underlying reference entity, sector of the underlying reference entity, and remaining contract maturity.

Instrument types would be disaggregated into single-name and multiple-name instruments.

Counterparty types would be disaggregated into reporting dealers, other financial institutions, and nonreporting financial institutions. In addition, other financial institutions would be further disaggregated into:

- banks and securities firms
- insurance, reinsurance, and financial guaranty firms
- special purpose entities
- hedge funds

• other

This finer disaggregation of counterparty types, as compared to the disaggregation for other types of OTC derivatives, would enable central banks and other data users to get a clearer picture of how credit default swaps transfer credit risk within the global financial system.

Notional values would be further disaggregated by the credit rating of the underlying reference entity, by the sector of the underlying reference entity, and by remaining maturity of outstanding credit default swap contracts.

Proposed Table 4A—Credit Default Swaps by Rating Category. Data would be disaggregated into upper investment grade (AA and higher), lower investment grade (A and BBB), non investment grade (BB and lower), and not rated. Information on the credit rating of the reference entity would give central banks and other data users a clearer picture of the nature and amount of credit risk that is being transferred in the credit default swap market.

Proposed Table 4B—Credit Default Swaps by Sector of the Reference Entity. Data would be disaggregated into financial firms, nonfinancial firms, sovereigns, and multiple sectors (for multiple-name instruments). Information on the sector of the reference entity would give central banks and other data users a clearer picture of the nature of the credit risk that is being transferred in the credit default swap market.

Proposed Table 4C—Credit Default Swaps by Remaining Contract Maturity. Data would be disaggregated into one year or less, over one year through five years, and over five years.

Proposed Table 4D—Credit Default Swaps, Gross Positive and Gross Negative Market Values. Data would show the magnitude of unsettled changes in value of credit default swap contracts outstanding at the time of reporting. Such a time series is a valuable source of information for researchers and market participants in developing an understanding of the role and function of the credit default swap market in financial systems in various circumstances.

The Federal Reserve would like to solicit comments about Table 4A; specifically about the costs and benefits of a further breakdown of column "A/BBB" into two separate columns, "A" and "BBB." At many institutions, the "A" and "BBB" categories are of comparable size, and the proportion in each category is not constant over time, but appears to vary over the business cycle. Moreover, the default

probabilities of A- and BBB-rated bonds, while both quite small, are nonetheless quite different, with a BBB-rated bond four times more likely to default over a five-year period than an A-rated bond.

2. *Report title:* Consolidated Bank Holding Company Report of Equity Investments in Nonfinancial Companies
Agency form number: FR Y-12
OMB control number: 7100-0300
Frequency: Quarterly and semi-annually

Reporters: Bank holding companies
Annual reporting hours: 1,696 hours
Estimated average hours per response: 16 hours

Number of respondents: 28

General description of report: This information collection is mandatory pursuant to Section 5(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1844(c)) and data may be exempt from disclosure pursuant to Sections (b)(4) and (b)(8) of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and (b)(8)).

Abstract: The FR Y-12 was implemented as of September 30, 2001, in response to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB Act) of 1999, which broadened the scope of permissible investments in nonfinancial companies. The FR Y-12 collects information from certain domestic bank holding companies (BHCs) on their investments in nonfinancial companies on three schedules: Type of Investments, Type of Security, and Type of Entity within the Banking Organization. Large BHCs report on a quarterly basis, and small BHCs report semi-annually.

Current Actions: The Federal Reserve proposes to revise the FR Y-12 reporting form and instructions to enhance the Federal Reserve's ability to monitor and supervise the private equity merchant banking (PEMB) activity across all BHCs for purposes of safety and soundness. The proposed revisions to the FR Y-12 include (1) modifying the reporting threshold to reduce regulatory burden; (2) adding a memorandum item to Schedule A to collect data on investments managed for others; (3) adding a memorandum item to Schedule B to identify whether the BHC holds any warrants received in connection with equity investment activity; (4) simplifying Schedule C by eliminating three columns used to collect data on direct investments in public entities, direct investments in nonpublic entities, and all indirect investments; and (5) adding Schedule D "Nonfinancial Investment Transactions During the Reporting Period" to collect information on all PEMB activity of the BHC during the reporting period and to better reflect the industry's focus on

monitoring "cash in and cash out." The Federal Reserve proposes to defer implementation of the revised FR Y-12 until March 31, 2005, to coincide with the implementation of proposed revisions to the FR Y-9C and FR Y-9SP reports (OMB No. 7100-0128).

Proposed Revisions to the FR Y-12 Reporting Form and Instructions

SCHEDULE A – TYPE OF INVESTMENTS

- *Retitle* – Memorandum item 3 from "Impact on net income from items 1, 2, and 3 above" to "Pre-tax impact on net income from items 1, 2, and 3 above." This modification would clarify the intent of the original item, as discussed in the instructions.

- *Add* – Memorandum item 4 "Investments managed for others." This item would collect information on the extent of the BHC's role in managing private equity investments for others. This item would be used to provide new information regarding the extent of the institution's PEMB operation. Significant investment funds management activity could increase the inherent legal and reputational risk of the institution.

SCHEDULE B – TYPE OF SECURITY

- *Add* – Memorandum item 2 "Does the BHC hold any Warrants or similar instruments received in connection with equity investment activity? (Enter "1" if yes, "0" if no)." This item would be used to identify whether the BHC holds any warrants or similar instruments received in connection with equity investment activity or similar "equity kickers" that, while typically carried at only a nominal value, may potentially increase the risk profile of the corporation.

SCHEDULE C – TYPE OF ENTITY WITHIN THE BANKING ORGANIZATION

Schedule C would be modified to categorize the type of investments, reported in Schedule A, by the booking entity within the banking organization. The portfolio totals from Schedule C should equal portfolio totals reported for Schedule A.

- *Add* – New column "(Column B) Net Unrealized Holding Gains Not Recognized As Income." This information would identify net unrealized holding gains (or losses) that have not been recognized as income within the BHC structure through which the investments are made, as reported in Schedules A and B.

- *Retitle* – Old column "(Column B) Carrying Value" as "(Column C) Carrying Value." • *Add* – Item 2.b "Edge and agreement corporations," renumber "SBICs" as item 2.a, and renumber "Broker/Dealers" as item 2.c. This breakout from "All other" would

provide consistency with item 1, as Edge and agreement corporations may be housed in either a depository institution or parent holding company structure.

- *Add* – Item 2.d "Private Equity subsidiaries" and renumber "All other" as item 2.e. This additional breakout would identify those BHCs that have established nonbank subsidiaries primarily devoted to the PEMB activity. The larger BHCs active in PEMB have typically established private equity subsidiaries.

- **DELETE** – Current Columns C, D, and E Carrying Value for: "Direct Investments in Public Entities," "Direct Investments in Nonpublic Entities," and "All Indirect Investments." These data were not significantly different than data collected in Schedule A, and Columns A and B of Schedule C.

SCHEDULE D – NONFINANCIAL INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

- *Add* – This proposed schedule would collect information on all PEMB activity of the BHC, on an aggregate basis, for the reporting period. Columns A and B would collect acquisition cost and carrying value for all purchases, returns of capital, and net changes in valuation made for all direct investments. Columns C and D would collect information on the same items for all transactions involving indirect (fund) investments. These data would provide valuable insight into the scope of activity on a transaction basis and, when reviewed over time, would provide critical trend data useful for industry studies as well as BHC supervisory monitoring.

The proposed FR Y-12 instructions would be reorganized and clarified to conform with the proposed changes to the reporting form.

Proposed Revisions to the FR Y-12 Respondent Reporting Threshold Criteria

- *Modify* the reporting threshold criteria for respondents that file the FR Y-9C, by decreasing the aggregate nonfinancial equity investments threshold from \$200 million to \$100 million (on an acquisition cost basis) and increasing the consolidated Tier 1 capital threshold from 5 percent to 10 percent.

- *Modify* the reporting threshold criterion for respondents that file the FR Y-9SP, by increasing the total capital threshold from 5 percent to 10 percent.

The proposed decrease in the reporting threshold from \$200 million to \$100 million would require reporting from large complex banking organizations that have a significant

concentration of capital invested in this asset class, but fall below the current reporting threshold.

The proposed increase in the reporting threshold from 5 percent to 10 percent of capital would reduce burden for respondents, while continuing to screen for the smaller BHCs with a significant concentration of capital invested in this asset class.

- *Delete* – “Has the bank holding company made an effective election to become a financial holding company?” This information is readily available on the National Information Center database.

- *Modify* – Clarify the legal authority to read: “Directly or indirectly through a subsidiary or affiliate, any nonfinancial equity investments within a Small Business Investment Company structure, or under section 4(c)(6) or 4(c)(7) of the Bank Holding Company Act, or pursuant to the merchant banking authority of section 4(k)(4)(H) of the Bank Holding Company Act, or pursuant to the investment authority granted by Regulation K.”

The Federal Reserve would like to solicit comments on the following issues related to the FR Y-12:

1. *Request comment on the reporting of information on an acquisition cost and carrying value basis.* Specifically, whether the revised instructions on “acquisition cost” give BHCs the flexibility to report carrying cost in a manner consistent with how they maintain their internal books and records.

2. *Request comment on the reporting of information on convertible debt.* Specifically, whether the reporting of convertible debt information is burdensome.

3. *Request comment on proposed Schedule D, “Nonfinancial Investment Transactions During the Reporting Period.”* Specifically, whether the information requested is readily available.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 19, 2004.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 04-16819 Filed 7-22-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

Federal Reserve System

Docket No. OP-1207

Bank Holding Company Rating System

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The increased complexity of the U.S. banking industry has necessitated over time a shift in the focus of the Federal Reserve’s supervisory practices for bank holding companies (BHCs), including financial holding companies (FHCs), away from historical analyses of financial condition toward more forward looking assessments of risk management and financial factors. While the emphasis on risk management has been well established in the Federal Reserve’s supervisory processes for BHCs of all sizes, this emphasis is not reflected in the primary components of the current BHC supervisory rating system, BOPEC (Bank subsidiaries, Other subsidiaries, Parent, Earnings, Capital). This document proposes a revised BHC rating system that emphasizes risk management; introduces a more comprehensive and adaptable framework for analyzing and rating financial factors; and provides a framework for assessing and rating the potential impact of the nondepository entities of a holding company on the subsidiary depository institution(s). After reviewing public comments, the Federal Reserve intends to make any necessary changes to the proposal and adopt a final BHC rating system.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 21, 2004.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. OP-1207, by any of the following methods:

Board’s Web Site: <http://www.federalreserve.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm>.

Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

E-mail: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov. Include docket number in the subject line of the message.

Fax: (202) 452-3819 or (202) 452-3102.

Mail: Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments are available from the Board’s Web site at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm> as submitted, except as necessary for technical reasons. Accordingly, your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments also may be viewed electronically or in paper form in Room MP-500 of the Board’s Martin Building

(C and 20th Streets, NW.) between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. on weekdays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Bailey, Associate Director, (202-452-2634), Barbara Bouchard, Deputy Associate Director, (202-452-3072), Molly Mahar, Senior Supervisory Financial Analyst, (202-452-2568), or Anna Lee Hewko, Supervisory Financial Analyst, (202-530-6260). For users of Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (“TDD”) only, contact (202) 263-4869.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The BHC rating system is a management information and supervisory tool that defines the condition of BHCs in a systematic way. It serves three primary purposes in the supervisory process. First and foremost, the BHC rating provides a summary evaluation of the BHC’s condition for use by the supervisory community. Second, the BHC ratings form the basis of supervisory responses and actions. Third, the BHC rating system provides the basis for supervisors’ discussion of the firm’s condition with BHC management. The current BHC rating system was implemented in 1979. Known as BOPEC/F-M, the rating system components are defined as follows:

- The B rating represents the Federal Reserve’s view of the condition of the banking subsidiary(ies).
- The O rating represents the Federal Reserve’s view of the condition of the nonbank subsidiary(ies).
- The P rating represents the Federal Reserve’s view of the condition of the parent company.
- The E and C represent the Federal Reserve’s view of the consolidated capital and earnings position of the BHC, respectively.
- The F rating represents the financial composite rating, whereas the M represents the management composite rating.

During the almost 25 years since the BOPEC/F-M rating system was introduced, the banking industry has become increasingly concentrated and complex. BHCs with assets exceeding \$10 billion, as of year-end 2003, accounted for over 83 percent of total company assets, up from 66 percent, as of year-end 1992. In addition, the growing depth and sophistication of financial markets in the United States and around the world has introduced a wider range of activities undertaken by banking institutions. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 further raised the complexity of the U.S. banking industry by expanding the range of