

lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

Optional Terminating Action

(j) Replacing the aluminum alloy gland, P/N 200920604, with a new steel gland nut, P/N 200920639, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin, terminates the requirements of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(k) The Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Related Information

(l) British airworthiness directive 008-06-003 also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 9, 2004.

Kevin M. Mullin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-16682 Filed 7-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-224-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A320-211, -212, -214, -232 and -233 Series Airplanes and Model A321-211, -231 and -232 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A320-211, -212, -214, -232, and -233 series airplanes and Model A321-211, -231, and -232 series airplanes. That action would have required a one-time ultrasonic inspection of certain floor crossbeams to determine if they are of nominal thickness; and a structural modification to reinforce any crossbeam that is not of nominal thickness. Since the issuance of the NPRM, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has received new data showing that all airplanes subject to the NPRM have already been inspected and all incorrect crossbeams

modified as required, which makes the NPRM unnecessary. Accordingly, the proposed rule is withdrawn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A320-211, -212, -214, -232, and -233 series airplanes and Model A321-211, -231, and -232 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** as a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on March 17, 2004 (69 FR 12596). The proposed rule would have required a one-time ultrasonic inspection of certain floor crossbeams to determine if they were of nominal thickness; and a structural modification to reinforce any crossbeam that was not of nominal thickness. That action was prompted by reports that an Airbus quality check revealed that, due to a process discrepancy during production, certain floor structural crossbeams were manufactured that were not of nominal thickness and were installed in certain airplanes before the discrepancy was discovered. The proposed actions were intended to prevent reduced structural integrity of the floor in the event of rapid depressurization or rapid vertical acceleration.

Actions That Occurred Since the NPRM Was Issued

Since the issuance of the NPRM, the FAA has received reports from Airbus indicating that all airplanes listed in the applicability section of the NPRM (corresponding to paragraph 1.A., "Effectivity," of Airbus Service Bulletin A320-53A1162, including Appendix 01 and Appendix 02, dated June 25, 2002) have been inspected and all incorrect crossbeam fittings have been found and modified in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320-53A1163, dated June 25, 2002.

FAA's Conclusions

Upon further consideration, the FAA has determined that all airplanes subject to the proposed rule have already been inspected and repaired as needed and the proposed rule has become unnecessary. Accordingly, the proposed rule is hereby withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this NPRM constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from issuing another action

in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

Regulatory Impact

Since this action only withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 2002-NM-224-AD, published in the **Federal Register** on March 17, 2004 (69 FR 12596), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 13, 2004.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-16683 Filed 7-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2004-18660; Directorate Identifier 2003-NM-161-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon (Beech) Model MU-300-10, 400, 400A, and 400T Series Airplanes; and Raytheon (Mitsubishi) Model Beech MU-300 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Raytheon (Beech) Model MU-300-10, 400, 400A, and 400T series airplanes; and certain Raytheon (Mitsubishi) Model Beech MU-300 airplanes. This proposed AD would require a one-time inspection of certain panels in the spoiler mixer bay for the presence of drain holes, and the addition of at least one new drain hole; and a one-time inspection for discrepancies of the sealant on the relief cutout on the aft pressure bulkhead, and on certain baffles; and corrective actions

if necessary. This proposed AD is prompted by a report of fuel leaking from components in the spoiler mixer bay of several Raytheon (Beech) Model 400A series airplanes. We are proposing this AD to prevent the accumulation of fuel and/or fuel vapor in the spoiler mixer bay and/or the aft fuselage compartment, which could result in a fire in the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by September 7, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- *Government-wide rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Nassif Building, room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590.

- *By fax:* (202) 493-2251.

- *Hand Delivery:* room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Raytheon Aircraft Company, Department 62, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085.

You can examine the contents of this AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., room PL-401, on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pretz, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ACE-118W, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Propulsion 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone (316) 946-4153; fax (316) 946-4107.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Docket Management System (DMS)

The FAA has implemented new procedures for maintaining AD dockets electronically. As of May 17, 2004, new AD actions are posted on DMS and assigned a docket number. We track each action and assign a corresponding directorate identifier. The DMS AD docket number is in the form "Docket No. FAA-2004-99999." The Transport Airplane Directorate identifier is in the form "Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-999-AD." Each DMS AD docket also lists the directorate identifier ("Old

Docket Number") as a cross-reference for searching purposes.

Comments Invited

We invite you to submit any written relevant data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include "Docket No. FAA-2004-18660; Directorate Identifier 2003-NM-161-AD" in the subject line of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments submitted by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that website, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You can review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78), or you can visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

We are reviewing the writing style we currently use in regulatory documents. We are interested in your comments on whether the style of this document is clear, and your suggestions to improve the clarity of our communications that affect you. You can get more information about plain language at <http://www.faa.gov/language> and <http://www.plainlanguage.gov>.

Examining the Docket

You can examine the AD docket in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the DMS receives them.

Discussion

We have received a report indicating that fuel leaked from components in the spoiler mixer bay of several Raytheon (Beech) Model 400A series airplanes. On one occasion, fuel migrated into the

aft fuselage, resulting in fuel odor in the aft baggage compartment. Further investigation by the manufacturer showed that both the left and right spoiler bay mixer panels of certain Raytheon airplane models lacked the drain holes required by the type design. If there is a fuel leak, and the mixer panels do not have the requisite drain holes, fuel could pool in the spoiler mixer bay. Fuel could also migrate from the mixer bay into the aft fuselage if the sealant is missing from the bulkhead between the mixer bay and the aft fuselage compartment. This condition, if not corrected, could result in the accumulation of fuel and/or fuel vapor in the spoiler mixer bay and/or the aft fuselage compartment, which could result in a fire in the airplane.

Raytheon (Beech) Model 400 and 400T series airplanes, and Raytheon (Beech) Model MU-300 airplanes, are similar in design to the Raytheon (Beech) Model 400A series airplanes that had the fuel leaks. Therefore, all of these airplanes could have the same unsafe condition, and all are subject to the requirements of this proposed AD.

Relevant Service Information

We have reviewed Raytheon Service Bulletin SB 53-3486, dated June 2003. The service bulletin describes procedures for a one-time inspection of the spoiler mixer bay panels to determine if there are the correct number of drain holes; and a one-time inspection for discrepancies of the sealant on the relief cutout on the aft pressure bulkhead, and the baffles at left butt line (BL) 19.13 and right BL 10.43; and corrective actions, if necessary. Discrepancies include missing sealant, or inadequate sealant, which is defined as sealant not adhering properly, flaking, peeling, or having voids, gaps, or pinholes. The service bulletin also describes procedures for corrective actions. The corrective actions include drilling at least one new drain hole, and any additional drain holes needed to make a total of five at specified places in each mixer bay panel; and applying sealant, if necessary, to repair discrepancies. We have determined that accomplishing the actions specified in the service bulletin will adequately address the unsafe condition.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

We have evaluated all pertinent information and identified an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of this same type design. Therefore, we are proposing this AD, which would require a one-time inspection of the spoiler

mixer bay panels to determine if there are the correct number of drain holes; and a one-time inspection of the sealant on the relief cutout on the aft pressure bulkhead, and the baffles at left butt line (BL) 19.13 and right BL 10.43 for discrepancies; and corrective actions, if necessary. The proposed AD would require you to use the service

information described previously to perform these actions.

Clarification of Inspection Language

Although the service bulletin does not define the type of inspection required for the drain holes and the sealant, this proposed AD would classify the inspection as a “general visual

inspection.” Note 1 of this proposed AD defines this inspection.

Costs of Compliance

This proposed AD would affect about 673 airplanes worldwide. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this proposed AD.

ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Work hours	Average labor rate per hour	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.S.-registered airplanes	Fleet cost
Inspections	1	\$65	None	\$65	610	\$39,650
Drilling one drain hole	3	\$65	None	\$195	610	\$118,950

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Raytheon Aircraft Company (Formerly Beech); Docket No. FAA-2004-18660; Directorate Identifier 2003-NM-161-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive comments on this AD action by September 7, 2004.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Raytheon (Beech) Model MU-300-10, 400, 400A, and 400T series airplanes; and Raytheon (Mitsubishi) Model Beech MU-300 airplanes; certificated in any category; as listed in Raytheon Service Bulletin SB 53-3486, dated June 2003.

Unsafe Condition

(d) This AD was prompted by a report of fuel leaking from components in the spoiler mixer bay of several Raytheon (Beech) Model 400A series airplanes. We are issuing this AD to prevent the accumulation of fuel and/or fuel vapor in the spoiler mixer bay and/or the aft fuselage compartment, which could result in a fire in the airplane.

Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Inspections and Corrective Actions

(f) Within 400 flight hours or 12 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, do the actions in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD. Do all actions in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Raytheon Service Bulletin SB 53-3486, dated June 2003.

(1) Do a one-time general visual inspection of the spoiler mixer bay panels to determine the presence of drain holes. Before further flight after doing this inspection, drill at least one new drain hole, and any additional drain holes needed to make a total of five, at the

places in each mixer bay panel specified in the service bulletin.

(2) Do a one-time general visual inspection for discrepancies of the sealant on the relief cutout on the aft pressure bulkhead, and of the small triangular-shaped baffles at left butt line (BL) 19.13 and right BL 10.43. Before further flight after doing this inspection, do any applicable corrective actions.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is: “A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked.”

Parts Installation

(g) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install on any airplane a spoiler mixer bay panel that has a part number listed in paragraph 3.B., “Spares,” of the Accomplishment Instructions of Raytheon Service Bulletin SB 53-3486, dated June 2003, unless the panel has been inspected and modified in accordance with paragraph (f)(1) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h) The Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 9, 2004.

Kevin M. Mullin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 04-16684 Filed 7-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P