

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 68 FR 74550 (December 24, 2003). Pursuant to the time limits for administrative reviews set forth in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), the current deadlines are August 1, 2004 for the preliminary results and November 29, 2004 for the final results. The Department, however, may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a review if it determines it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the statutory time limit. See 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations. In this case the Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit because of significant issues which require additional time to evaluate. These include the examination of sales by respondent Corus Staal, BV's many affiliated parties in the U.S. market and in the home market and further examination of the cost of production response.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until November 29, 2004 in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act. The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: July 8, 2004.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group I.

[FR Doc. 04-15984 Filed 7-14-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 15, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lyn Johnson or Fred Aziz, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

Amendment to Final Determination

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act), on June 18, 2004, the Department of Commerce published its notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value (LTFV) in the investigation of polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand. See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand*, 69 FR 34122 (June 18, 2004) (*Final Determination*) and corresponding "Issues and Decision Memorandum" dated June 9, 2004. On June 17, 2004, Advance Polybag Inc., Alpine Plastics Inc., API Enterprises Inc., and Universal Polybag Co., Ltd. (collectively, Universal,) filed a timely allegation stating that the Department made a ministerial error in its final determination. On June 21, 2004, the Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bag Committee and its individual members, PCL Packing, Inc., Hilex Poly Co., LLC, Superbag Corp., Vanguard Plastics Inc., and Intoplast Group, Ltd. (collectively, the petitioners), filed submissions with respect to TPBG and Universal, alleging that the Department had made ministerial errors in the *Final Determination*. On June 25, 2004, Thai Plastic Bags Industries Co., Ltd. (TPBI), Winner's Pack Co., Ltd., and APEC Film Ltd (APEC) (collectively, the Thai Plastic Bags Industries Group (TPBG)), filed comments rebutting the petitioners' ministerial-error allegations. On June 28, 2004, Universal filed comments rebutting the petitioners' ministerial-error allegations.

After analyzing Universal's, TPBG's, and the petitioners' submissions, we have determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made the following ministerial errors in our calculations performed for the final determination:

(1) We used the incorrect figure for Universal's CEP-profit ratio. We should have changed the CEP-profit ratio figure to reflect our decision to use TPBG's profit data for Universal in the *Final Determination*.

(2) We incorrectly applied the duty drawback amounts for TPBG.

(3) We did not revise the brokerage and handling amounts for TPBG correctly.

(4) We did not update the variable cost of manufacturing (COM) and total COM as a result of the changes identified in the June 9, 2004, memorandum from the Office of Accounting.

For a detailed discussion of the ministerial errors listed above, as well

as the Department's analysis, see the July 8, 2004, amended final analysis memoranda for TPBG and Universal and the memorandum entitled "Antidumping Duty Investigation on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand—Amended Final Analysis Memo for All-Others Rate," dated July 8, 2004.

Therefore in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of PRCBs from Thailand. The revised dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/ manufacturer	Original final margin (percent)	Amended final margin (percent)
TPBG	0.62	2.26
Universal	5.66	5.35
All others	5.66	2.80

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise from Thailand (including entries of subject merchandise produced and exported by TPBG because the weighted-average margin is no longer *de minimis*). We will also instruct CBP to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as indicated in the chart above. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 7, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-15980 Filed 7-14-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-570-886)

Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 15, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janis Kalnins or Thomas Schauer, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1392 and (202) 482-0410, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Amendment to the Final Determination

In accordance with sections 735(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act), on June 18, 2004, the Department published its notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value (LTFV) in the investigation of polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People’s Republic of China*, 69 FR 34125 (June 18, 2004) (*Final Determination*). We received timely ministerial-error allegations from the Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bag Committee and its individual members (collectively, the petitioners) and from Nantong Huasheng Plastic Products Co., Ltd. (Nantong). On June 28, 2004, we received rebuttal comments concerning the petitioners ministerial-error allegations from Zhongshan Dongfeng Hung Wai Plastic Bag Manufactory (Zhongshan). No other party alleged

ministerial errors or submitted comments.

After analyzing the submissions, we have determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made the following ministerial errors in our calculations performed for the final determination:

We inadvertently included imports from the Ukraine in our calculation of the surrogate value for low-linear-density resin and included imports from the PRC in our calculation of the surrogate values for black and colored ink.

When we made an adjustment to exclude the aberrational import quantities of several countries (*i.e.*, South Africa, Israel, Switzerland, Italy, and Belgium) from our calculation of the surrogate value for black ink we used the wrong amounts.

We erroneously multiplied Hang Lung Plastic Manufactory, Limited’s (Hang Lung’s) reported international-freight expense, which was reported in U.S. dollars, by the Hong Kong dollar exchange rate.

We inadvertently did not convert Hang Lung’s domestic inland freight from a per-kilogram basis to a per-thousand-bag basis.

We did not include the unit weight (weight per 1,000 bags) in our calculation of Xiamen Ming Pak Plastics Company, Limited’s (Ming Pak’s) domestic inland freight and brokerage and handling expenses.

We erroneously converted Nantong’s reported U.S. prices and international-freight expense from a per-carton basis to a per-thousand-bag basis.

We did not convert the labor consumption that Nantong reported from a per-kilogram basis to a per-carton basis.

We made a typographical error in assigning Nantong’s domestic-brokerage and marine-insurance expenses to U.S. sales such that we inadvertently assigned the expenses to all U.S. sales rather than just to those U.S. sales for which Nantong actually incurred such expenses.

Correcting these errors resulted in revised margins for all mandatory respondents, separate rate respondents, and parties subject to the PRC-wide rate. For a detailed discussion of the ministerial errors listed above, as well as the Department’s analysis, see the July 6, 2004, Memorandum from Janis Kalnins to Mark Ross entitled “Ministerial Error Allegations in the Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People’s Republic of China.”

Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final determination of sales at LTFV in the investigation of PRCBs from the PRC. The revised dumping margins are as follows:

Producer & Exporter	Original final margin (percent)	Amended final margin (percent)
Hang Lung Plastic Manufactory, Limited	0.20	0.24
Dongguan Huang Jiang United Wah Plastic Bag Factory	23.19	23.22
Nantong Huasheng Plastic Products Co., Ltd.	2.29	0.01
Rally Plastics Company, Limited	23.81	23.85
Shanghai Glopak Packing Company, Limited and Sea Lake Polyethylene Enterprise, Limited ..	19.73	19.79
Xiamen Ming Pak Plastics Company, Limited	35.23	35.58
Zhongshan Dongfeng Hung Wai Plastic Bag Manufactory	41.21	41.28
Beijing Lianbin Plastics and Printing Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Dongguan Zhongqiao	23.06	25.69
Good-in Holdings, Limited	23.06	25.69
Guangdong Esquel	23.06	25.69
Nan Sing	23.06	25.69
Ningbo Fanrong Plastics Products Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Ningbo Huansen Plastics Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Rain Continent Shanghai Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Shanghai Dazhi Enterprise Development Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Shanghai Fangsheng Coloured Packaging Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Shanghai Jingtai Packaging Material Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Shanghai Light Industrial Products Import and Export Corporation	23.06	25.69
Shanghai Minmetals Development, Limited	23.06	25.69
Shanghai New Ai Lian Import and Export Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Shanghai Overseas International Trading Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Shanghai Yafu Plastics Industries Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Weihai Weiquan Plastic and Rubber Products Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Xiamen Xingyatai Industry Company, Limited	23.06	25.69
Xinhui Henglong	23.06	25.69
PRC-wide Rate	77.33	77.57

Separate Rates

In the *Final Determination*, the Department calculated a weighted-average margin separate from the PRC-wide rate for those companies which provided responses to section A of the antidumping questionnaire. These companies are as follows: Beijing Lianbin Plastics and Printing Company, Limited, Dongguan Zhongqiao, Good-in Holdings, Limited, Guangdong Esquel, Nan Sing, Ningbo Fanrong Plastics Products Company, Limited, Ningbo Huansen Plastics Company, Limited, Rain Continent Shanghai Company, Limited, Shanghai Dazhi Enterprise Development Company, Limited, Shanghai Fangsheng Coloured Packaging Company, Limited, Shanghai Jingtai Packaging Material Company, Limited, Shanghai Light Industrial Products Import and Export Corporation, Shanghai Minmetals Development, Limited, Shanghai New Ai Lian Import and Export Company, Limited, Shanghai Overseas International Trading Company, Limited, Shanghai Yafu Plastics Industries Company, Limited, Weihai Weiquan Plastic and Rubber Products Company, Limited, Xiamen Xingyatai Industry Company, Limited, and Xinhui Henglong. We calculated the weighted-average margin for these companies based on the rates we calculated for the selected mandatory respondents. Because the rates of the selected mandatory respondents have changed as a result of correcting the ministerial errors listed above, we have recalculated the rate for the section A respondents to be 25.69 percent. For a more detailed discussion of the section A rate, see Memorandum to the File entitled "Analysis for the Amended Final Determination of Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People's Republic of China (PRC): Calculation of the PRC-Wide Rate Based on Adverse Facts Available and the Non-Adverse Margin for Section A Respondents Not Selected for Investigation," dated July 8, 2004, PRC-Wide Rate Memorandum.

The PRC-Wide Rate

The PRC-wide rate we calculated in the *Final Determination* was 77.33 percent. As a result of correcting the ministerial errors discussed above, we have recalculated the PRC-wide rate to be 77.57 percent. For a more detailed discussion of the PRC-wide rate calculations, see the PRC-Wide Rate Memorandum.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(b) of the Act, we will instruct

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of PRCBs from the PRC (except for entries of Hang Lung and Nantong because these companies have de minimis margins). In accordance with section 351.204(e)(3) of our regulations, these exclusions only apply to merchandise produced and exported by Hang Lung and Nantong. For the other companies, we will instruct CBP to continue to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as shown above. These instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 7, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-15981 Filed 7-14-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-808]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from India until December 10, 2004. This extension applies to the administrative review of three producers, Chandan Steel, Ltd., Isibars Steel, Ltd., and The Viraj Group. The period of review is December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2003.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 15, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristin Case or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Enforcement 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3174 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

Background

On January 22, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of the

antidumping duty administrative review covering two companies, Isibars Steel Ltd. and The Viraj Group. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 69 FR 3117. On February 24, 2004, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review covering another company, Chandan Steel Ltd. (Chandan). See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 69 FR 8379.¹

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

The Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), at section 751(a)(3)(A), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act provides further that if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days.

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current deadline of September 1, 2004. There are a number of complex factual questions pertaining to the sales practices and manufacturing costs which impact the calculation of the antidumping margins in the administrative review. We require additional time to analyze the questionnaire responses, issue supplemental questionnaires, and conduct verifications. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 100 days to December 10, 2004.

We are issuing this notice in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

¹ The Department did not include Chandan in the initiation notice for December cases because the company requested evaluation as a new shipper. The Department denied this request after publication of the January 22, 2004, initiation notice for December cases. Because Chandan also made a timely request for an administrative review, the Department included Chandan in the 2002 - 2003 administrative review. Accordingly, all deadlines applicable to the companies included in the December initiation notice are applicable to Chandan.