

to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: June 22, 2004.

Elizabeth Southerland,

Director, Assessment and Remediation Division, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation.

[FR Doc. 04-15104 Filed 7-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7781-5, Docket ID No. A-94-34]

Clean Air Act Advisory Committee: Correction to Notice Soliciting Interest in Participating on a Task Force on the Performance of the Title V Operating Permits Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Correction notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA issued a notice in the **Federal Register** of May 17, 2004 (69 FR 27921), concerning formation of a task force to determine the performance of the title V operating permits program and public meetings to be held by the task force. This document is being issued to correct errors in that notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ray Vogel, Information Transfer and Program Implementation Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Mail Code C304-04, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone (919) 541-3153; fax number: (919) 541-5509; and e-mail address: vogel.ray@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. What Does This Correction Do?

This notice corrects omissions in the May 17 notice concerning how to submit written comments to the task force on the performance of the title V operating program, which may affect those who planned to submit comments, but did not plan to attend the task force meetings. Specifically, the May 17 notice did not mention the EDOCKET

system for submitting comments electronically. As a result, the public was not informed that their comments would be made available to others over the internet. The notice also did not include the correct EDOCKET number. The correct number is OAR-2004-0075. Finally, the notice did not specify when the comment period would be open for this action. The comment period will open starting June 15, 2004, and will close March 1, 2005.

II. How Do I Submit Comments?

A. EDOCKET (Preferred)

The EPA's electronic public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, e-mail address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. Please note: EPA's policy is to not edit your comment; therefore, any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included in the official public docket. To submit a comment through EDOCKET, go to <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>. Once in the system, select "search," then key in OAR-2004-0075 (the docket identification (ID) number for the title V performance task force).

B. E-Mail

Comments may also be sent by electronic mail (e-mail) to: A-and-R-docket@epa.gov, attention Docket ID No. OAR-2004-0075. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's email system is not an "anonymous access" system. The EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address and includes it as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket. Submit an email comment now.

C. Disk, CD-ROM, or Mail

If you submit a disk or CD-ROM, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, e-mail address, or other contact information in the body of your comment. Also include this contact information on the outside of any disk or CD-ROM you submit, and in any cover letter accompanying the disk or CD-ROM. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment. If you submit mail, please enclose two copies. Send to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Mail Code 6102T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2004-0075.

D. Hand Delivery or Courier

Deliver comments to: Public Reading Room, Room B102, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2004-0075.

Deliveries are accepted only between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. eastern standard time (e.s.t.), Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays.

E. By Facsimile

Fax your comments to the EPA Docket Center at (202) 566-1741, Attention Docket ID. No. OAR-2004-0075.

III. How Can I Get Copies of This Document and Other Related Information?

A. Docket

The EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket ID number OAR-2004-0075. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Room 119, Crystal Mall 2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

B. Electronic Access

You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA dockets. You may use EPA dockets at: <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number. The docket number for this action is OAR-2004-0075.

Dated: June 24, 2004.

Jeffrey S. Clark,

Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. 04-15103 Filed 7-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6653-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 2, 2004 (69 FR 17403).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-E39064-FL Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—Florida Keys Water Quality Improvements Program, To Implement Wastewater and Stormwater Improvements, South Florida Water Management District, Monroe County, FL.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-DOE-K06007-CA Rating EC2, Site-wide Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) and Stockpile Stewardship and Management, Implementation, Alameda and San Joaquin Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns on: (1) The facility's Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure capabilities; (2) mitigation to reduce radionuclide emissions and construction-related air quality impacts; (3) environmental contaminants; and (4) accident-related issues. The Final EIS/Programmatic Final SEIS for both DOE projects at the Livermore facility should clarify the relationship between each project's final preferred alternative; disclose impacts of reasonable scenarios that were not addressed; and identify how decision-making for the respective projects is expected to proceed.

ERP No. D-FAA-F51049-IN Rating EC2, Gary/Chicago International Airport Master Plan Development Including Runway Safety Area Enhancement/

Extension of Runway 12-30, Funding, Lake County, IN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns related to potential adverse impacts to clean-up/remediation activities at three EPA CERCLA sites and wetlands.

ERP No. D-FHW-L40222-WA Rating LO, WA-99 Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project, To Provide Transportation Facility and Seawall with Improved Earthquake Resistance, US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Seattle WA.

Summary: EPA expressed no objections to any of the alternatives analyzed in the EIS.

ERP No. D-FTA-K54029-CA Rating EC2, Silicon Valley Rapid Transit Corridor, Construct BART Extension to Milpitas, San Jose and Santa Clara, in the Cities of Fremont, Milpitas, San Jose and Santa Clara, Alameda and Santa Clara Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding impacts on water resources, air quality, environmental justice, and cumulative effects.

ERP No. D-NSF-A99223-00 Rating LO, Project IceCube Comprehensive Environmental Evaluation, Antarctica.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed action.

ERP No. DA-AFS-L61218-ID Rating LO, Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness (FR-RONRW), Noxious Weed Treatments, Updated Information to Supplement the 1999 Final EIS for FR-RONRW, Implementation, Bitterroot, Boise, Nez Perce, Payette and Salmon-Challis National Forests, ID.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed treatment strategy.

EPA has no objections to the proposed treatment strategy.

ERP No. DA-DOE-A06178-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Site-wide Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) and Supplemental Stockpile Stewardship and Management Plan for use of Proposed Materials at the National Ignition Facility (NIF), Implementation, Alameda and San Joaquin Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns on: (1) The facility's Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure capabilities; (2) mitigation to reduce radionuclide emissions and construction-related air quality impacts; (3) environmental contaminants; and (4) accident-related issues. The Final EIS/Programmatic Final SEIS for both DOE projects at the Livermore facility should clarify the relationship between each project's final preferred alternative; disclose impacts of reasonable scenarios that were not addressed; and identify

how decision-making for the respective projects is expected to proceed.

ERP No. DS-SFW-K64017-CA Rating LO, Trinity River Mainstem Fishery Restoration Program, Updated Information, To Restore and Maintain the Natural Production of Anadromous Fish, Downstream of Lewiston Dam, Hoopa Valley Tribe, Weaverville, Trinity County, CA.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the preferred alternative.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-NIH-J81012-MT, Rocky Mountain Laboratories (RML) Integrated Research Facility, Construction and Operation to Improve the Nation's Ability to Study and Combat Emerging Infectious Disease and to Protect Public Health, Hamilton, Ravalli County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding the need for full disclosure of potential risks of release of infectious agents, and the operation of the facility using BSL-4 agents in a research facility near a residential area. EPA requested the FEIS include a comprehensive risk notification and communication program for the local community.

ERP No. F-NRS-L31004-ID, Little Wood River Irrigation District, Gravity Pressurized Delivery System Construction, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Townships of 1 North, 1 South and 2 South of Range 21 East of the Boise Meridian, City of Carey, Blaine County, ID.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-USA-L10005-AK, Programmatic EIS—Army Transformation of the 172nd Infantry Brigade (Separate) to a Stryker Brigade Combat Team (SBCT), Propose Location Forts Wainwright and Richardson, AK.

Summary: EPA continues to express concerns related to soil and water resource impacts. EPA stated that the Army's monitoring and management programs for training areas must be fully implemented to repair the predicted damage.

ERP No. F-USN-K11112-CA, Tertiary Treatment Plant and Associated Facilities Construction and Operation, Implementation, Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 29, 2004.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04-15098 Filed 7-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P