

previously granted a conditional revocation may be reinstated in that order if it is established that the entity has resumed dumping of subject merchandise.

In this case, another producer or exporter remains subject to the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC. See 2001–2002 *Final Results*. In addition, Tianjin was previously found to have sold the subject merchandise at less than NV. See *Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 69503, (December 13, 1999). Accordingly, the Department granted Tianjin conditional revocation because of its past dumping behavior and based upon its agreement to immediate reinstatement in the antidumping duty order if the Department were to find that the company resumed dumping of sebacic acid from the PRC. See 2001–2002 *Final Results* at 69720.

In this case, Genesis has alleged that Tianjin has resumed dumping at a rate of 49.9 percent based upon its calculated net U.S. price and NV for the period July 2002 through June 2003. Genesis argues, therefore, that the Department should reinstate the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC with respect to Tianjin's sales of subject merchandise produced by Hengshui.

As described in the "Export Price" and "Normal Value" sections, above, we have examined Genesis' margin calculation in order to assess its reasonableness. We discovered minor discrepancies in Genesis' margin calculation; however, with adjustments, we find that Genesis' allegation of resumption of dumping has merit and warrants initiation of a change circumstances review because it provides a reasonable indication that Tianjin's overall dumping margin for the review period is greater than *de minimis*. Accordingly, consistent with 19 CFR 351.216, we are initiating a changed circumstances review to determine whether in fact Tianjin has resumed dumping of sebacic acid from the PRC. See the Initiation Memorandum at page 2 and Attachments IV and V.

Concurrent with the date of publication of this notice, we will issue a partial section A and a sections C and D antidumping questionnaire to Tianjin.⁴ At this time, we are not

⁴ Section A of the questionnaire requests general information concerning a company's corporate structure and business practices, the merchandise under this review that it sells, and the manner in

requiring Tianjin to answer questions related to separate rates. Because we found in the 2000–2001 administrative review that Tianjin was a company that merited a separate rate, and no administrative review has been initiated that would require Tianjin to substantiate, once again, a *de facto* and *de jure* absence of government control of its export activities, we will not examine the issue of whether Tianjin continues to merit a separate rate, absent information indicating otherwise. Accordingly, we shall only examine Tianjin's entitlement to a separate rate in the context of any future administrative review in which Tianjin may participate.

Although Genesis submitted its allegation on the record of the ongoing administrative review, we find that a changed circumstances review is the proper vehicle in which to make a determination based on Genesis' request. Accordingly, we have removed Genesis' allegation from the record of the administrative review and have placed it on the record of this newly initiated changed circumstances review.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(i), which will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based, and a description of any action proposed based on those results. In the event that the Department preliminarily finds that Tianjin has resumed dumping sebacic acid produced by Hengshui, and thus should be reinstated in the existing antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC, we will order Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of entries for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the preliminary determination. The Department will also issue its final results of review within 270 days of the date on which the changed circumstances review is initiated, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and will publish these results in the **Federal Register**.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

which it sells that merchandise in all of its markets. Section B requests a complete listing of all home market sales, or, if the home market is not viable, of sales in the most appropriate third-country market (this section is not applicable to respondents in non-market economy (NME) cases). Section C requests a complete listing of U.S. sales. Section D requests information on the factors of production of the merchandise under investigation. Section E requests information on further manufacturing.

Dated: June 25, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–14983 Filed 6–30–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Announcement of Public Meetings To Gather Input on the Next Generation of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) Program and the Recompensation of MEP Centers

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) announces a series of public meetings intended to gather input and comments on the Next Generation of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) program and the recompensation of MEP centers. The National Academy of Public Administration (NAPA) has recently released a report which evaluates various alternate business models on the MEP program and provides seven recommendations for its improvement. One of the recommendations states the need to create a strategic plan, which articulates the "next generation of MEP." In order to gather input on this strategic plan, respond to the recommendations incorporated in the report and gather information regarding the MEP recompensation process, NIST MEP will be holding a series of regional roundtables and web casts to solicit public comment. There will be 8 regional meetings, as well as 3 web casts. Interested parties need to register via the internet for the meeting or web cast they wish to attend, and for those parties unable or unwilling to attend one of the public forums, they can submit comments on-line at <http://www.mep.nist.gov/competition/intro.htm>.

DATES: Meetings will be held as follows: Tuesday, July 13, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Philadelphia, PA; Monday, July 19, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Cleveland, OH; Tuesday, July 20, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Detroit, MI; Wednesday, July 21, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Minneapolis, MN; Monday, July 26, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Orlando, FL; Tuesday, July 27, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Dallas, TX; Wednesday, July 28, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Los

Angeles, CA; Monday, August 2, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., Washington, DC.

Web casts will be held as follows: Wednesday, July 14, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.; Friday, July 23, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.; Tuesday, August 3, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held in the following locations:

Tuesday, July 13, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Wyndham Franklin Plaza Hotel, 17th and Race St, Philadelphia, PA 19103.

Monday, July 19, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Marriott Cleveland Downtown at Key Center, 127 Public Square, Cleveland, OH 44114.

Tuesday, July 20, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Marriott Detroit at the Renaissance Center, Renaissance Center, Detroit, MI 48243.

Wednesday, July 21, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Marriott Minneapolis City Center, 30 South 7th Street, Minneapolis, MN, 55402.

Monday, July 26, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Marriott Orlando Airport, 7499 Augusta National Drive, Orlando, FL 32822.

Tuesday, July 28, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Hyatt Regency DFW, Inside DFW International Airport, DFW Airport, TX 75261.

Wednesday, July 28, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Renaissance Los Angeles Hotel, 9620 Airport Boulevard, Los Angeles CA 90045.

Thursday, August 5, 2004, 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

National Association of Manufacturers, 1331 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Hayduk by e-mail at susan.hayduk@nist.gov or by telephone at (301) 975-5020.

Dated: June 24, 2004.

Hratch G. Semerjian,
Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 04-14949 Filed 6-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Science Advisory Board

AGENCY: Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, NOAA, DOC.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Science Advisory Board (SAB) was established by a Decision Memorandum dated September 25, 1997, and is the only Federal Advisory Committee with responsibility to advise the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere on long- and short-range strategies for research, education, and application of science to resource management. SAB activities and advice provide necessary input to ensure that National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) science programs are of the highest quality and provide optimal support to resource management.

Time and Date: The meeting will be held Tuesday, July 13, 2004, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. and Wednesday, July 14, 2004, from 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. These times and the agenda topics described below may be subject to change. Refer to the Web page listed below for the most up-to-date meeting agenda.

Place: The meeting will be held both days at the Key Bridge Marriott Hotel, 1401 Lee Highway, Arlington, VA.

Status: The meeting will be open to public participation with a 30-minute time period set aside on Wednesday, July 14, for direct verbal comments or questions from the public. The SAB expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted verbal or written statements. In general, each individual or group making a verbal presentation will be limited to a total time of five (5) minutes. Written comments (at least 35 copies) should be received in the SAB Executive Director's Office by July 6, 2004, to provide sufficient time for SAB review. Written comments received by the SAB Executive Director after July 6, 2004, will be distributed to the SAB, but may not be reviewed prior to the meeting date. Approximately thirty (30) seats will be available for the public including five (5) seats reserved for the media. Seats will be available on a first-come, first-served basis.

Matters to be Considered: The meeting will include the following topics: (1) NOAA Research Review, (2) Report of the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, (3) Reports of Cooperative/Joint Institute reviews, (4) Ocean Modeling review, (5) Global

Observations, (6) National Polar-orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System, (7) Climate Monitoring Working Group and Climate and Global Change Working Group Reports, (8) NOAA Strategic Plan, (9) NOAA Social Science Research Initiative, (10) NOAA 5-year Research Plan, (11) NOAA Organic Act and (12) public statements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Michael Uhart, Executive Director, Science Advisory Board, NOAA, Rm. 11142, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910. (Phone: 301-713-9121, Fax: 301-713-0163, e-mail: Michael.Uhart@noaa.gov); or visit the NOAA SAB Web site at <http://www.sab.noaa.gov>.

Dated: June 25, 2004.

Louisa Koch,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-14966 Filed 6-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-KD-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0077]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; Quality Assurance Requirements

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0077).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning quality assurance requirements. The clearance currently expires on September 30, 2004.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on