

only under the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996.

DATES: You must submit your comments to BLM at the address below on or before August 24, 2004. BLM will not necessarily consider any comments received after the above date.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments to: Regulatory Affairs Group (WO-630), Eastern States Office, 7450 Boston Blvd., Springfield, Virginia 22153.

You may send comments via Internet to: WOCComment@blm.gov. Please include "Attn: 1004-0187" and your name and address with your comments.

You may deliver comments to the Bureau of Land Management, Administrative Record, Room 401, 1620 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Comments will be available for public review at the L Street address during regular business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m.) Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You may contact Alice Sonne, National Business Center, Denver, Colorado, on (303) 236-6332 (Commercial or FTS). Persons who use a telecommunication device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) on 1-800-877-8330, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to contact Ms. Sonne.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 5 CFR 1320.12(a) requires that we provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning a collection of information to solicit comments on:

(a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper functioning of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) The accuracy of our estimates of the information collection burden, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions we use;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the information collection burden on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (DCIA), 31 U.S.C. 3701, contains a number of provisions that affect how BLM does business. One of the more significant provisions allows BLM to refer debts delinquent over 180 days to the Treasury Department for collection. Another provision gives the Treasury Department increased flexibility in seeking to collect the debts by various

offsets of payments, including tax refunds.

The DCIA requires that all Federal disbursements include the payee's SSN/TIN. This information aids the Treasury Department in matching debtors to payments and in seeking payments from the debtors. BLM uses Form 1372-6 to collect the payee's full name, address, and the SSN/TIN. We protect the SSN/TIN data under the Privacy Act.

Based on BLM's experience administering this program, we estimate the public reporting burden is 1 minute to complete Form 1372-6. These estimates include the time spent on research, gathering, and assembling information, reviewing instructions, and completing the respective form. BLM estimates 5,000 respondents with a total annual information collection burden of 83 hours. Respondents are those entities who do business with BLM. Entities include licensees, permittees, lessees, and contract holders. Individuals who pay one-time recreation fees do not have to complete this form.

Any member of the public may request and obtain, without charge, a copy of BLM Form 1372-6 by contacting the person identified under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

BLM will summarize all responses to this notice and include them in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of a public record.

Dated: June 22, 2004.

Michael H. Schwartz,

Bureau of Land Management, Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-14446 Filed 6-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-449]

In the Matter of Certain Abrasive Products Made Using a Process for Powder Preforms, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision to Vacate Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has vacated the limited exclusion order and cease and desist order issued at the conclusion of the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3041. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Copies of the public documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 5, 2001, based upon a complaint filed on January 5, 2001, by 3M Company of St. Paul, Minnesota and Ultimate Abrasive Systems, LLC ("UAS") of Atlanta, Georgia. 66 FR 9720 (Feb. 9, 2001). The complaint named Kinik Company ("Kinik") of Taipei, Taiwan and Kinik Corporation of Anaheim, California as respondents. Kinik Corporation was subsequently terminated from the investigation.

Complainants alleged that respondents had violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and selling within the United States after importation certain abrasive products that are made using a process for making powder preforms that is covered by claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 5,620,489 ("the '489 patent"), owned by UAS and exclusively licensed to 3M. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. On February 8, 2002, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID"), in which he determined that Kinik's accused DiaGrid abrasive products infringed claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 of the '489 patent and that the '489 patent is valid and enforceable. Based upon these findings, he found a violation of section 337.

On February 21, 2002, Kinik petitioned for review of the ALJ's final ID. Kinik also appealed Order No. 40, issued by the ALJ on October 12, 2001. That order precluded Kinik from asserting 35 U.S.C. 271(g) as a non-infringement defense. On February 28, 2002, 3M and the Commission investigative attorney filed oppositions

to Kinik's petition for review and its appeal of Order No. 40.

On March 29, 2002, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ's final ID, which therefore became the determination of the Commission. The Commission also issued an opinion affirming the ALJ's Order No. 40.

On August 16, 2002, Kinik appealed the Commission's determination to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. 3M intervened in the appeal and the parties filed briefs with the Court. The Federal Circuit issued an opinion on March 25, 2004. The Court construed claim 1 more narrowly than had the Commission and reversed the Commission's finding of infringement. 3M filed a petition for a panel rehearing and hearing *en banc* with the Court on April 20, 2004. However, the Federal Circuit denied the petitions and issued the mandate on May 20, 2004. As the Federal Circuit has reversed the Commission's finding of infringement with respect to Kinik's process, the Commission determined that there was no longer a basis for the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist order issued in this investigation, and therefore vacated the orders.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: June 21, 2004.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-14507 Filed 6-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-515]

In the Matter of Certain Injectable Implant Compositions; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 26, 2004 under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Inamed Corporation of Santa Barbara, California. An amended complaint was filed on June 16, 2004, and a letter supplementing the amended complaint was filed on June 17, 2004. The amended complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the

sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain injectable implant compositions by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 7, 12, 18, 20, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 4,803,075. The amended complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists, or is in the process of being established, as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease-and-desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The amended complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket imaging system (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karin J. Norton, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2606.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2003).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the amended complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 21, 2004, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain injectable implant compositions by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 7, 12, 18, 20, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33 or 34 of U.S. Patent No.

4,803,075, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—

Inamed Corporation, 5540 Ekwill Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93111.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Q-Med Aktiebolag, Seminariegatan 21, 752 28 Uppsala, Sweden.

Medicis Aesthetics, Inc., 8125 North Hayden Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85258.

(c) Karin J. Norton, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Delbert R. Terrill, Jr. is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting the responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease-and-desist order or both directed against such respondent.

By order of the Commission.