

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA-W-54,242]

**Badger Paper Mills, Inc., Peshtigo, WI;
Notice of Revised Determination on
Reconsideration**

By letter dated April 19, 2004, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration regarding Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The negative determination was signed on March 22, 2004. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2004 (69 FR 29578).

The workers of Badger Paper Mills, Inc., Peshtigo, Wisconsin were certified for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) on March 22, 2004.

The initial ATAA investigation determined that the skills of the subject worker group are easily transferable to other positions in the local area.

The petitioner alleges in the request for reconsideration that the skills of the workers at the subject firm are not easily transferable.

Additional investigation has determined that the workers possess skills that are not easily transferable. A significant number or proportion of the worker group are age fifty years or over. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Badger Mills, Inc., Peshtigo, Wisconsin, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 9, 2003 through March 22, 2006, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 25th day of May 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-12878 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA-W-54,115; TA-W-54,115A]

**California Amplifier, Inc.: KTI Division,
Richland Center, WI; Components
Division, Spring Green, WI; Dismissal
of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at California Amplifier, Inc., KTI Division, Richland Center, Wisconsin and California Amplifier, Inc., Components Division, Spring Green, Wisconsin. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-54,115; California Amplifier, Inc.,
KTI Division, Richland Center,
Wisconsin, (May 27, 2004).

TA-W-54,115A; California Amplifier, Inc.,
Components Division, Spring Green,
Wisconsin, (May 27, 2004).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of
May, 2004.

Timothy Sullivan,*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment
Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-12870 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA-W-54,595]

**Crawford Knitting Company, Inc.
Ramseur, NC; Notice of Termination of
Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 26, 2004 in response to a worker petition which was filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Crawford Knitting Company, Inc., Ramseur, North Carolina (TA-W-54,595).

The petitioners have requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 11th day of
May 2004.

Linda G. Poole,*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-12874 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration**

[TA-W-53,679]

**General Cable, Taunton, MA; Notice of
Negative Determination Regarding
Application for Reconsideration**

By application of February 4, 2004, the United Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers of America, District Council 2 requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 2004 (69 FR 5866).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of General Cable, Taunton, Massachusetts was denied because criterion 2 of Section 222(b), as amended, was not met. The workers' firm was not a supplier or downstream producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification. Other findings of the investigation determined that there were increases in General Cable sales and production of copper wire and unfinished PVC compounds from 2002 to 2003.

The petitioner states that the relevant period investigated by the Department is not an accurate measure in determining the workers eligibility for TAA and suggests that the Department should extend investigation back to the

beginning of 2000 to reveal the secondary impact of foreign trade on the subject firm.

In addressing the Trade Act worker group eligibility criteria for secondarily affected workers, the Department is required to conduct an investigation for the relevant time period, which is one year or the four quarters, prior to the date of the petition, to establish if the firm is secondary affected. In order to be eligible as secondarily affected, the workers' firm must be a supplier firm and the component parts it supplied to a primary firm whose worker group is certified for TAA accounted for at least 20 percent of the supplier firm sales; or the loss of business by the workers' firm contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation. Although there were employment declines at General Cable there was no loss of business to a primary firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA.

The petitioner states that the closure of the General Cable, Montoursville, Pennsylvania in August of 2001 reduced significantly the volume of production at the Taunton facility, and consequently was a reason of the subject company's closure on December 31, 2003.

While the Department agrees that the loss of business with Montoursville facility might have led to worker separations from the subject firm in 2001, there is no evidence that the subject firm was secondary impacted during the relevant period. The subject firm did not supply copper wire and unfinished PVC compounds to the primary firm engaged in production whose workers are currently certified as trade impacted during the relevant time period.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 23rd day of March, 2004.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-12884 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,288]

Hedstrom Corp., Ball, Bounce and Sport Division, Plant #1, Ashland, OH; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Hedstrom Corporation, Ball, Bounce and Sport Division, Plant 1, Ashland, Ohio. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-54,288; Hedstrom Corporation, Ball, Bounce and Sport Division, Plant #1, Ashland, Ohio (May 27, 2004).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of May, 2004.

Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-12869 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,386]

Interface Fabrics Group South, Inc., Interface Fabrics Group Marketing, Inc., Elkin, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 1, 2004, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Interface Fabrics Group South, Inc., Interface Fabrics Group Marketing, Inc., Elkin, North Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of May, 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-12883 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,361]

Kimberly Clark Corporation, Kimtech Plant, Neenah, WI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of April 20, 2004, International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The Department's determination notice was signed on March 26, 2004. The Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2004 (69 FR 29575).

The Department reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the petitioners have provided additional information regarding a shift in production to Mexico. Therefore, the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of May 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-12876 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,791]

Meridian Automotive Systems, Inc., Lenoir, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 27, 2004, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Meridian Automotive Systems, Inc., Lenoir, North Carolina.