

agencies are State or local governmental agencies or persons designated under the Act to provide either official inspection services, Class X or Class Y weighing services, or both, at locations other than export port locations. State and private agencies, as a requirement for delegation and/or designation, must comply with all regulations, procedures, and instructions in accordance with provisions established under the USGSA. FGIS field offices oversee the performance of these agencies and provide technical guidance as needed.

Official services under the AMA are performed, upon request, on a fee basis for domestic and export shipments either by FGIS employees, individual contractors, or cooperators. Contractors are persons who enter into a contract with FGIS to perform specified inspection services. Cooperators are agencies or departments of the Federal Government which have an interagency agreement or State agencies which have a reimbursable agreement with FGIS.

*Title:* Regulations Governing the National Inspection and Weighing System Under the USGSA and AMA of 1946.

*OMB Number:* 0580-0013.

*Expiration Date of Approval:*

December 31, 2004.

*Type of Request:* Extension and revision of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* The United States Grain Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*) and the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 *et seq.*) provide that USDA inspect, certify and identify the class, quality, quantity and condition of agricultural products shipped or received in interstate and foreign commerce.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .09 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Grain producers, buyers, and sellers, elevator operators, grain merchandisers, and official grain inspection agencies.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 2,400.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1041.6.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 225,000 hours.

*Comments:* Comments are invited on:

(a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

**Donna Reifschneider,**

*Administrator, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-11328 Filed 5-18-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-884]

#### Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Color Television Receivers From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 19, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Irina Itkin or Elizabeth Eastwood, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0656 or (202) 482-3874, respectively.

#### Amendment to Final Determination

In accordance with sections 735(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act), on April 16, 2004, the Department published its notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value (LTFV) in the investigation of certain color television receivers (CTVs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Negative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Color Television Receivers From the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 20594 (Apr. 16, 2004). On April 19, 2004, we received allegations, timely filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), from Konka Group Company, Ltd. (Konka), TCL Holding Company Ltd. (TCL), and the petitioners in this investigation (*i.e.*, Five Rivers

Electronic Innovations, LLC, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, and the Industrial Division of the Communications Workers of America) that the Department had made ministerial errors in its final determination. On April 26, 2004, we received two submissions containing rebuttal comments from the petitioners concerning TCL's and Konka's ministerial error allegations. In our April 27 and 29, 2003, letters, we instructed the petitioners to refile one of their submissions (*i.e.*, the submission concerning ministerial error allegations raised by TCL) to remove untimely filed new comments. On May 3, 2004, because the petitioners did not comply with the Department's requests, we rejected the submission entirely.

After analyzing Konka's, TCL's, and the petitioners' submissions, we have determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made the following general ministerial errors in our calculations performed for the final determination:

- We inadvertently included values associated with zero quantities in our calculation of the surrogate value for diodes;
- We inadvertently excluded certain costs from the denominators of the financial ratios calculated for each of the surrogate producers selected in this case;
- We treated packing expenses inconsistently in our calculations for the surrogate CTV producers and the PRC respondents;
- We inadvertently calculated the cost of plastic parts for Konka using plastic part consumption figures that did not correspond with the POI;
- We incorrectly tested the mark-ups charged by Konka's affiliated market-economy supplier by comparing this amount to the costs incurred by a different affiliated party;
- We inadvertently included freight costs for one of Konka's market economy inputs when the price charged was on a delivered basis;
- We inadvertently double-counted certain market-economy freight expenses for TCL; and
- We inadvertently excluded certain factor values when calculating the normal value for one of Xiamen Overseas Chinese Electronic Co., Ltd.'s (XOXECO's) products.

Correcting these errors resulted in revised margins for Sichuan Changhong Electric Co., Ltd., Konka, TCL, and XOXECO. In addition, we have revised the calculation of the all others rate accordingly.

For a detailed discussion of the ministerial errors noted above, as well

as the Department's analysis, see the May 13, 2004, memorandum to Louis Apple from the Team entitled "Ministerial Error Allegations in the Final Determination of the Antidumping

Duty Investigation on Certain Color Television Receivers from the People's Republic of China."

Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final

determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of CTVs from the PRC. The revised dumping margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Original final margin (percent)	Amended final margin (percent)
Haier Electric Appliances International Co .....	21.49	22.94
Hisense Import and Export Co., Ltd. ....	21.49	22.94
Konka Group Company, Ltd .....	11.36	9.69
Philips Consumer Electronics Co. of Suzhou Ltd .....	21.49	22.94
Shenzhen Chaungwei-RGB Electronics Co., Ltd .....	21.49	22.94
Sichuan Changhong Electric Co., Ltd .....	24.48	26.37
Starlight International Holdings, Ltd .....	21.49	22.94
Star Light Electronics Co., Ltd .....	21.49	22.94
Star Fair Electronics Co., Ltd .....	21.49	22.94
Starlight Marketing Development Ltd. ....	21.49	22.94
SVA Group Co., Ltd .....	21.49	22.94
TCL Holding Company Ltd .....	22.36	21.25
Xiamen Overseas Chinese Electronic Co., Ltd .....	4.35	5.22
PRC-wide .....	78.45	78.45

**Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation**

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are directing Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of CTVs from the PRC. The CBP shall require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as indicated in the chart above. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 13, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-11325 Filed 5-18-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Export Trade Certificate of Review**

**ACTION:** Notice of application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA"), International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review ("Certificate"). This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jeffrey C. Anspacher, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or by e-mail at *oetca@ita.doc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from State and Federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

**Request for Public Comments**

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a non-confidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be non-confidential. An original and five (5) copies, plus two (2) copies of the non-confidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the

date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1104H, Washington, DC 20230, or transmit by e-mail at *oetca@ita.doc.gov*. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). However, non-confidential versions of the comments will be made available to the applicant, if necessary, for determining whether or not to issue the Certificate. Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 85-10A18."

A summary of the application for an amendment follows.

*Summary of the Application*

*Applicant:* U.S. Shippers Association ("USSA"), P.O. Box 67, East Texas, Pennsylvania 18046-0067.

*Contact:* Ronald Baumgarten, Jr., Counsel to Applicant, telephone: (202) 662-5265.

*Application No.:* 85-10A18.

*Date Deemed Submitted:* May 4, 2004.

The USSA original Certificate was issued on June 3, 1986 (51 FR 20873, June 9, 1986), and last amended on April 3, 2001 (66 FR 35773, July 9, 2001).

*Proposed Amendment:* USSA seeks to amend its Certificate to:

1. Add each of the following companies as a new "Member" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(l) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(l) (2004)): Bayer CropScience, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (Controlling Entity: Bayer Corporation, Bayer CropScience AG, D-40789 Monheim am Rhein,