

Inc. ("Atico") to terminate the above-captioned investigation with respect to that respondent on the basis of a consent order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-3041. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 27, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Energizer Holdings, Inc. and Eveready Battery Co., Inc., both of St. Louis, MO., 68 FR 32771 (2003). The complaint as amended alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain zero-mercury-added alkaline batteries, parts thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,464,709. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The Commission named as respondents 26 companies located in the United States, China, Indonesia, and Japan.

On March 9, 2004, complainants and respondent Atico filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Atico on the basis of a consent order. On March 19, 2004, the Commission investigative attorney filed ("IA") a response supporting the motion in part. The IA noted that the motion did not include a statement that there were no other agreements between the parties and that there was no public version of the settlement agreement. On March 19, 2004, complainants and Atico filed an amended joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Atico. The amended motion addressed the concerns of the IA, and on March 22, 2004, the ALJ issued the subject ID terminating the

investigation as to Atico on the basis of a consent order.

No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 CFR 210.44. The ID thus became the determination of the Commission pursuant to 19 CFR 210.42(h)(3).

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR 210.42.

Issued: April 27, 2004.
By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-9986 Filed 4-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 60-day notice of information collection under review: Identification of explosive materials.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until July 2, 2004. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Gary Bangs, Public Safety Branch, Suite 710, 800 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Identification of Explosive Materials.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: None. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Business or other for-profit. Other: None. The regulations of 27 CFR 55.109 require that manufacturers of explosive materials place marks of identification on the materials manufactured. Marking of explosives enables law enforcement entities to more effectively trace explosives from the manufacturer through the distribution chain to the end purchaser. This process is used as a tool in criminal enforcement activities.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 1,563 respondents will respond to this information collection. Estimated time for a respondent to respond is none. Because the manufacturers are required to place markings on explosives, the burden hours are considered usual and customary. 5 CFR 1320.3(b)(2) states, there is no burden when the collection of information is usual and customary.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual total burden hour associated with this collection is 1 hour.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division,

United States Department of Justice,
Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601
D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 27, 2004.

Brenda E. Dyer,

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer,
Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 04-9953 Filed 4-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1933—IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 1, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Centre for Research and Technology Hellas, Thessaloniki, Greece; and Stichting SURF, Utrecht, The Netherlands have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Fretwell-Downing Informatics, Sheffield, United Kingdom has been dropped as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 7, 2000, IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 13, 2000 (65 FR 55283).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 21, 2004. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 2004 (69 FR 10262).

Dorothy Fountain,

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust
Division.*

[FR Doc. 04-10000 Filed 4-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1933—Optical Internetworking Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on April 5, 2004, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Optical Internetworking Forum has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Cray, Chippewa Falls, WI; FiberHome Telecommunications, Wuhan, People's Republic of China; Maxim Integrated Products, Sunnyvale, CA; Acuid, Midlothian, United Kingdom; Azna, Wilmington, MA; Mitretek, Falls Church, VA; Pontusys, Pasadena, CA; and Wavecrest, Eden Prairie, MN have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Innovance, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; and US Conec, Hickory, NC have been dropped as parties to this venture. Synopsys, Mountain View, CA acquired Accelerant Networks, Beaverton, OR.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Optical Internetworking Forum intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 5, 1998, Optical Internetworking Forum filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 29, 1999 (64 FR 4709).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 14, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 12, 2003 (68 FR 64125).

Dorothy Fountain,

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust
Division.*

[FR Doc. 04-10002 Filed 4-30-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—VSI Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on April 12, 2004, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), VSI Alliance has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Atrenta, Inc., San Jose, CA; Steve Bibyk (individual member), Columbus, OH; Bitboys Oy, Noormarkku, Finland; Certess, Voreppe, France; Digitas, Tranby, Norway; Richard Stolzman (individual member), Campbell, CA; and Tower Semiconductors, Migdal Haemek, Israel have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Advantest, Gunma, Japan; Analog Devices, Norwood, MA; Barcelona Designs, Newark, CA; CAST, Inc., Nyack, NY; IMEC, Leuven, Belgium; InTime Software, Cupertino, CA; Matsushita Electric Industrial, Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan; Microelectronics Research Inosit PROGRESS, Moscow, Russia; ModelWare, Inc., Red Bank, NJ; NEC Electronics Corp., Santa Clara, CA; Piiri Technologies Oy, Oulu, Finland; Sharp Corporation, Tenri, Japan; SPaSE BV, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; Telecom Italia, Torino, Italy; Vast Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA; and Christopher Wang (individual member), Tokyo, Japan have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and VSI Alliance intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 29, 1996, VSI Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 1997 (62 FR 9812).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 10, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the