

made by Anhui Honghui, Cheng Du, Eurasia Bee, Inner Mongolia Youth, and Jiangsu Kanghong.

Rescission of Review

The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. Anhui Honghui, Eurasia Bee, and Jiangsu Kanghong withdrew their review requests within the 90-day deadline, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). The petitioners also withdrew their review request for these three companies within the 90-day deadline, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Thus, since all requesting parties withdrew their requests for review, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC covering the period December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2003, with respect to Anhui Honghui, Eurasia Bee, and Jiangsu Kanghong. Also, since petitioners were the only party to request an administrative review of Cheng Du and Inner Mongolia Youth, and petitioners withdrew their review request for these two companies, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC covering the period December 1, 2002, through November 30, 2003, with respect to Cheng Du and Inner Mongolia Youth.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751 and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 19, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-9476 Filed 4-26-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-820]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for the final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from India until June 20, 2004. This review covers one respondent, Essar Steel Limited. The period of review is May 3, 2001 through November 30, 2002.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 27, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin Williams or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office IV, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2371 or (202) 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 15, 2003, the Department initiated an administrative review of certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products (HRS) from India, covering the period May 3, 2001 through November 30, 2002. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 3009 (January 22, 2003). On December 23, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 74209 (December 23, 2003). The final results of review are currently due no later than April 21, 2004.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination in an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. See the memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner, Acting Office Director Group II, Office 4, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. The Department is therefore extending the time limit for completion of the final results of review by 60 days. We intend to issue the final results of review no later than June 20, 2004.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: April 20, 2004.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 04-9479 Filed 4-26-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-475-818)

Amended Final Results of the Sixth Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy and Determination Not to Revoke in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On February 10, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the sixth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy and determination not to revoke in part, for the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002 (*Notice of Final Results of the Sixth Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy and Determination Not to Revoke in Part*, 69 FR 6255 (February 10, 2004) (*Final Results*)). On February 17, 2004, we received timely-filed ministerial error allegations from petitioners¹ and Pastificio Lucio

¹ Petitioners are New World Pasta Company, Dakota Growers Pasta Company, A. Zerega's & Sons, Inc. and American Italian Pasta Company.

Garofalo, S.p.A. (Garofalo) pertaining to Garofalo and a clerical error allegation from petitioners pertaining to Rummo S.p.A. (Rummo). On February 20, 2004, we received Garofalo's rebuttal brief pertaining to petitioners' ministerial error allegations. Based on our analysis of this information, the Department has revised the margin rate for Garofalo.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 27, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Farley (202) 482-0395 Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastasis, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this review are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by the Istituto Mediterraneo Di Certificazione, by Bioagricoop Srl, by QC&I International Services, by Ecocert Italia, by Consorzio per il Controllo dei Prodotti Biologici, by Associazione Italiana per l'Agricoltura Biologica, or by Codex S.R.L.

The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)*. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Scope Rulings

The Department has issued the following scope rulings to date:

(1) On August 25, 1997, the Department issued a scope ruling that multicolored pasta, imported in kitchen display bottles of decorative glass that

are sealed with cork or paraffin and bound with raffia, is excluded from the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. See Memorandum from Edward Easton, Senior Analyst, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement V, to Richard Moreland, Deputy Assist Secretary, "Scope Ruling Concerning Pasta from Italy," dated August 25, 1997, which is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B-099 of the main Commerce Department Building.

(2) On July 30, 1998, the Department issued a scope ruling, finding that multipacks consisting of six one-pound packages of pasta that are shrink-wrapped into a single package are within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. See Letter from Susan H. Kuhbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Barbara P. Sidari, Vice President, Joseph A. Sidari Company, Inc., dated July 30, 1998, which is available in the CRU.

(3) On October 23, 1997, the petitioners filed an application requesting that the Department initiate an anti-circumvention investigation of Barilla Alimentare, S.p.A. (Barilla), an Italian producer and exporter of pasta. The Department initiated the investigation on December 8, 1997 (62 FR 65673). On October 5, 1998, the Department issued its final determination that Barilla's importation of pasta in bulk and subsequent repackaging in the United States into packages of five pounds or less constitutes circumvention with respect to the antidumping duty order on pasta from Italy pursuant to section 781(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.225(b). See *Anti-circumvention Inquiry of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy: Affirmative Final Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 63 FR 54672 (October 13, 1998).

(4) On October 26, 1998, the Department self-initiated a scope inquiry to determine whether a package weighing over five pounds as a result of allowable industry tolerances is within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. On May 24, 1999, we issued a final scope ruling finding that, effective October 26, 1998, pasta in packages weighing or labeled up to (and including) five pounds four ounces is within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. See Memorandum from John Brinkmann, Program Manager, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, to Richard Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary,

"Final Scope Ruling," dated May 24, 1999, which is available in the CRU.

(5) On April 27, 2000, the Department self-initiated an anti-circumvention inquiry to determine whether Pastificio Fratelli Pagani S.p.A.'s importation of pasta in bulk and subsequent repackaging in the United States into packages of five pounds or less constitutes circumvention with respect to the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on pasta from Italy pursuant to section 781(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.225(b). See *Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Initiation of Anti-circumvention Inquiry of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 65 FR 26179 (May 5, 2000). On September 19, 2003, we published an affirmative finding of the anti-circumvention inquiry. See *Anti-circumvention Inquiry of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Certain Pasta from Italy: Affirmative Final Determinations of Circumvention of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 68 FR 54888 (September 19, 2003).

Amended Final Results

With respect to Garofalo, petitioners alleged that the Department made three ministerial errors in calculating Garofalo's final *ad valorem* margin. Petitioners alleged that the Department: (1) did not correctly implement its decision to collapse two of Garofalo's reported wheat codes; (2) did not correctly calculate revised G&A expense and interest expense; and (3) incorrectly calculated imputed credit.

We agree with petitioners that their first allegation is ministerial in nature and that we did not implement correctly our decision on collapsing the two wheat codes. Therefore, we corrected Garofalo's final margin program accordingly. However, the Department disagrees with petitioners' second and third allegations on the grounds that the alleged errors are not ministerial in nature. Therefore, we are not making any adjustments to the calculations with respect to Garofalo's G&A expense and interest expense, and imputed credit. See the April 19, 2004, memorandum to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II (Amended Final Memo).

Garofalo alleged that the Department failed to calculate dumping margins for U.S. sales with no home market sales matches. We agree with Garofalo that its allegation is ministerial in nature, and we corrected Garofalo's final margin accordingly. See Amended Final Memo.

With respect to Rummo, petitioners alleged that the Department made a clerical error in its narrative characterization of Rummo's margin rate as *de minimis*. We agree with petitioners that this characterization was incorrect, and have ensured that the correct margin rate of 0.94 percent is applied in liquidation and cash deposit instructions.

As a result of our corrections, for the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002, Garofalo's antidumping duty margin increased from 2.55 percent to 2.57 percent *ad valorem*.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties, as indicated above, on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue liquidation instructions directly to the CBP. The amended cash deposit requirement is effective for all shipments of subject merchandise from Garofalo entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

These amended final results are issued and published in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224.

Dated: April 19, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-9550 Filed 4-26-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-816]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 27, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joe Welton, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482-0165.

Background

On June 2, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a

notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Taiwan for the period June 1, 2002, through May 31, 2003. *See Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation*, 68 FR 32727 (June 2, 2003). On June 30, 2003, Markovitz Enterprises, Inc. (Flowline Division), Shaw Alloy Piping Products Inc., Gerlin, Inc., and Taylor Forge Stainless, Inc. ("petitioners") requested an antidumping duty administrative review for the following companies: Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. ("Ta Chen"), Liang Feng Stainless Steel Fitting Co., Ltd. ("Liang Feng"), and Tru-Flow Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Tru-Flow"), and PFP Taiwan Co., Ltd., ("PFP") for the period June 1, 2002, through May 31, 2003. On June 30, 2003, Ta Chen requested an administrative review of its sales to the United States during the period of review ("POR"). On July 29, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review for the period June 1, 2002, through May 31, 2003. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation In Part*, 68 FR 44524 (July 29, 2003). On March 3, 2004, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results in this administrative review by 90 days until May 30, 2004. *See Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* 69 FR 9997, (March 3, 2004).

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), states that the administering authority shall make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the month in which occurs the anniversary of the date of publication of the order, finding, or suspension agreement for which the review under paragraph (1) is requested. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time, the administering authority may extend that 245 day period to 365 days. On March 3, 2004, we extended the due date of the preliminary results in this administrative review by 90 days until 335 days after the last day of the month in which occurs the anniversary of the date of publication of the order. *See*

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review 69 FR 9997, dated March 3, 2004. Completion of the preliminary results within the 335 day period is impracticable because this review involves complex affiliation issues which have continued to emerge as the review progressed, requiring additional time for analysis.

Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the time specified in our previous extension notice, we are extending the due date for the preliminary results for an additional 30 days until June 29, 2004. Thus, the preliminary results are now being fully extended until 365 days after the last day of the month in which occurs the anniversary of the date of publication of the order, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: April 16, 2004.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 04-9478 Filed 4-26-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-839]

Notice of Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment with Final Antidumping Duty Determination: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 27, 2004.

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION:

The Department of Commerce (the Department) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 (CVP - 23) from India. For information on the estimated subsidy rates, see the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Carey or Addilyn Chams-Eddine, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7866, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone