

completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by § 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 14, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-8882 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. AA-1921-188 (Review)]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From Japan

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping finding on prestressed concrete steel wire strand from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping finding on prestressed concrete steel wire strand from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further

information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 6, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jai Motwane (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On April 6, 2004, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (69 FR 101, January 2, 2004) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 10, 2004, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted on behalf of American Spring Wire Corp., Insteel Wire Products Co., and Sumiden Wire Corp. to be individually adequate. Comments from other

other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before May 13, 2004, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by May 13, 2004. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 14, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-8883 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[AAG/A Order No. 003-2004]

Privacy Act of 1974: System of Records

Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the Department of Justice, interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR), proposes to modify the following system of records previously published in full text in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 1998 (63 FR 68299 (1998)): Office of Professional Responsibility Record Index, JUSTICE/OPR-001. This system of records was last modified to add three routine uses in **Federal Register** notice of November 27, 2002 (67 FR 70967 (2002)).

OPR is adding one new routine use to this system of records. This routine use allows the disclosure of certain information to the subject of an investigation or inquiry conducted by OPR for the purpose of furthering the investigation or inquiry, or to give notice of the status or outcome of the investigation or inquiry. In addition, the Department is revising the existing routine use for disclosure of records in records management inspections, since the General Services Administration no longer conducts record management inspections.

Title 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11) provides that the public be given a 30-day period in which to comment on the proposed new routine use disclosures. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which has oversight responsibilities under the Privacy Act, requires a 40-day period in which to conclude its review of any proposal to revise existing routine uses or add new routine use disclosures or make other major modifications.

You may submit any comments by May 20, 2004. The public, OMB and the Congress are invited to send comments to Mary Cahill, Management Analyst, Management and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Room 1400 National Place Building, Washington, DC 20530. If no comments are received, the proposal will be implemented without further notice in the **Federal Register**.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552a(r), the Department has provided a report to OMB and the Congress on the proposed new routine use.

Dated: April 6, 2004.

Paul R. Cortis,
Assistant Attorney General for
Administration.

JUSTICE/OPR-001

SYSTEM NAME:

Office of Professional Responsibility
Record Index

* * * * *

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

* * * * *

* * * [Revise the current routine use (8) to read as follows.]

(8) a record may be disclosed to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) in records management inspections conducted under 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906;

* * * * *

* * * (13) relevant information contained in this system of records may be disclosed to a member of the judicial branch of Federal Government in response to a written request where disclosures are relevant to the authorized function of the recipient judicial office or court system. [Following this sentence insert the paragraph below.]

(14) information in this system may be disclosed to the subject of an investigation or inquiry conducted by OPR to further the investigation or inquiry, or to give notice of the status or outcome of the investigation or inquiry.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 04-8903 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-28-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,509]

Agilent Technologies, Andover, MA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on March 16, 2004 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Agilent Technologies, Andover, Massachusetts.

The petitioners have requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 30th day of March, 2004.

Richard Church,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade
Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E4-888 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,969B and TA-W-52,969C]

Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment Division (Cte), Santa Rosa, CA and Including Employees of Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment Division (Cte), Andover, MA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on October 7, 2003, applicable to workers of Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment Division (CTE), Santa Rosa, California. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on November 6, 2003 (68 FR 62834).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations have occurred involving employees of the Santa Rosa, California facility of Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment Division (CTE) located in Andover, Massachusetts.

These employees provided research and development services supporting the production of drive test equipment in the PLPJ line at the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include employees of the Santa Rosa, California facility of Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment Div. (CTE), located in Andover, Massachusetts.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment (CTE) who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-52,969B is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment Division (CTE), Santa Rosa, California (TA-W-52,969B), including employees of Agilent Technologies, Inc., Computer Test Equipment Division (CTE), Andover, Massachusetts (TA-W-52,969C), engaged in employment related to the support of drive test equipment in the PLPJ product line who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 16, 2002, through October 7, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."