

Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title and purpose of information collection:* Employer Service and Compensation Reports; OMB 3220-0070. Section 2(c) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA) specifies the maximum normal unemployment and sickness benefits that may be paid in a benefit year. Section 2(c) further provides for extended benefits for certain employees and for beginning a benefit year early for other employees. The conditions for these actions are prescribed in 20 CFR part 302.

All information about creditable railroad service and compensation needed by the RRB to administer section 2(c) is not always available from annual reports filed by railroad employers with the RRB (OMB 3220-0008). When this occurs, the RRB must obtain supplemental information about service and compensation.

The RRB utilizes Form UI-41, Supplemental Report of Service and Compensation, and Form UI-41a, Supplemental Report of Compensation, to obtain the additional information about service and compensation from railroad employers. Completion of the forms is mandatory. One response is required of each respondent.

The RRB proposes no changes to Form UI-41 and UI-41a. The completion time for Form UI-41 and UI-41a is estimated at 8 minutes per response. The RRB estimates that approximately 3,000 responses are received annually.

*For Further Information Contact:* To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363 or send an e-mail request to [Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@RRB.GOV). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or send an e-mail to

[Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV](mailto:Ronald.Hodapp@RRB.GOV). Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Charles Mierzwa,**  
Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-8899 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7905-01-P**

## RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

### Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

*SUMMARY:* In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) has submitted the following proposal(s) for the collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval.

#### Summary of Proposal(s)

- (1) *Collection title:* Withholding Certificate for Railroad Retirement Monthly Annuity Payments.
- (2) *Form(s) submitted:* RRB-W-4P.
- (3) *OMB Number:* 3220-0149.
- (4) *Expiration date of current OMB clearance:* 07/31/2004.
- (5) *Type of request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (6) *Respondents:* Individuals or households.
- (7) *Estimated annual number of respondents:* 20,000.
- (8) *Total annual responses:* 20,000.
- (9) *Total annual reporting hours:* 1.
- (10) *Collection description:* Under Public Law 98-76, railroad retirement beneficiaries' Tier II, dual vested and supplemental benefits are subject to income tax under private pension rules. Under Public Law 99-514, the non-social security equivalent benefit portion of Tier 1 is also taxable under private pension rules. The collection obtains the information needed by the Railroad Retirement Board to implement the income tax withholding provisions.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the forms and supporting documents can be obtained from Charles Mierzwa, the agency clearance officer (312) 751-3363 or [Charles.Mierzwa@rrb.gov](mailto:Charles.Mierzwa@rrb.gov).

Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 or [Ronald.Hodapp@rrb.gov](mailto:Ronald.Hodapp@rrb.gov) and to the OMB Desk Officer for the RRB, at the Office of Management and Budget,

Room 10230, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

**Charles Mierzwa,**  
Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-8900 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7905-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49556; File No. SR-NASD-2004-059]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Regarding an Interpretation to Its Trade Through Rule for Exchange-Listed Securities

April 12, 2004.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 2, 2004, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), through its subsidiary, The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by Nasdaq. Nasdaq has designated this proposal as a stated policy, practice, or interpretation with respect to the meaning, administration, or enforcement of an existing rule pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(1)<sup>4</sup> thereunder, which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Nasdaq proposes an interpretation to Rule 5262 ("Trade-Throughs") establishing that certain executions in exchange-listed securities will not be considered trade-throughs if a commitment to trade is sent contemporaneously via the Intermarket Trading System ("ITS") with the execution to another market center to fully satisfy that other market's quotation.

The text of the proposed rule change is below. Proposed new language is

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(1).

*italicized*; proposed deletions are in brackets.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Rule 5262. Trade-Throughs

(a)–(c) No Change.

\* \* \* \* \*

##### *IM 5262–1. Contemporaneous Sending of Commitments*

*The terms “trade-through” and “third participating market center trade-through” do not include the situation where a member who initiates the purchase (sale) of an ITS Security, at a price which is higher (lower) than the price at which the security is being offered (bid) in another ITS participating market, sends contemporaneously through ITS to such ITS participating market a commitment to trade at such offer (bid) price or better and for at least the number of shares displayed with that market center’s better-priced offer (bid). A trade-through complaint sent in these circumstances is not valid, even if the commitment sent in satisfaction cancels or expires, and even if there is more stock behind the quote in the other market.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, Nasdaq included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. Nasdaq has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### *A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

###### 1. Purpose

The Nasdaq market center operates facilities for quoting and trading exchange-listed securities. Nasdaq’s facilities are linked with exchanges that trade these securities via the Intermarket Trading System (“ITS”), which is governed by a national market system plan (“ITS Plan”).<sup>5</sup> The ITS Plan

<sup>5</sup> The ITS Plan was approved on a permanent basis on January 27, 1983. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 19456 (January 27, 1983), 48 FR 4938. Signatories to the ITS Plan include the American Stock Exchange, LLC, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc., the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.,

requires each participant, including Nasdaq, to adopt a rule—Rule 5262—prohibiting participants from trading ITS securities at a price which is lower than the bid or higher than the offer displayed from an ITS Participant Exchange or ITS/CAES Market Maker.<sup>6</sup> The rationale for the so-called “Trade-Through Rule” is that superior priced quotations in a security displayed from other participant markets should be protected or satisfied if, in another participant market, an execution in the security occurs at an inferior price. Under Rule 5262, one remedy for a trade-through is that, upon a valid complaint of a trade-through, a commitment to trade, at the price and for the number of shares in the disseminated quotation, must be sent to the other participant market to fully satisfy such quotation.

The proposed interpretation of Rule 5262 recognizes that superior quotations are fully protected/satisfied if an ITS commitment is sent to trade with a bid/offer that would otherwise appear to have been traded through. That is, a trade will not be considered a trade-through if an ITS commitment is sent contemporaneously from the participant executing the trade for the purpose of being executed against the better-priced displayed bid or offer. A complaint is not valid even if a commitment cancels or expires and even if there is more stock behind the quote in the other market. Furthermore, the interpretation recognizes the impracticality of having to wait for the other market to revise its quotation as a result of trading with a satisfying commitment before trading activity may occur in other markets.

###### 2. Statutory Basis

Nasdaq believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act, including section 15A(b)(6)<sup>7</sup> of the Act, which requires, among other things, that a registered national securities association’s rules be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system, and to protect investors and the public interest.

the Cincinnati Stock Exchange, Inc. (now known as the National Securities Exchange), the NASD, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (“NYSE”), the Pacific Exchange, Inc., and the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.

<sup>6</sup> Capitalized terms are defined in NASD Rule 5210.

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78o–3(b)(6).

Nasdaq believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with these requirements because it will facilitate transactions in securities, remove impediments to a free and open market, and protect investors by improving the transparency and efficiency of transactions.

##### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition*

Nasdaq does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

##### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others*

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

#### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A)(i)<sup>8</sup> of the Act, and subparagraph (f)(1) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>9</sup> because it is concerned solely with the interpretation of the meaning, administration or enforcement of existing NASD Rule 5262.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of a rule change pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act, the Commission may summarily abrogate the rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic comments:*

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR–NASD–2004–059 on the subject line.

##### *Paper comments:*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary,

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(i).

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(1).

Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASD-2004-059. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NASD. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only

information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASD-2004-059 and should be submitted on or before May 11, 2004.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>10</sup>

Margaret H. McFarland, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-8861 Filed 4-19-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49560; File No. SR-PCX-2004-23]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the Pacific Exchange, Inc. Relating to Exchange Fees and Charges

April 13, 2004.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup>, and Rule 19b-4<sup>2</sup> thereunder, notice is hereby given that on March 24, 2004, the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("PCX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in

Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the PCX. On April 1, 2004, the PCX filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, which replaces the original filing in its entirety.<sup>3</sup> The PCX filed the proposal pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,<sup>4</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,<sup>5</sup> which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The PCX, through its wholly-owned subsidiary PCX Equities, Inc. ("PCXE"), proposes to amend its fee schedule for services provided to ETP Holders<sup>6</sup> that use the Archipelago Exchange ("ArcaEx") in order to correct a technical error in the fee schedule. The text of the proposed rule change, as amended, is set forth below. Proposed new language is in italics; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

\* \* \* \* \*

SCHEDULE OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR EXCHANGE SERVICES

ARCHIPELAGO EXCHANGE: TRADE RELATED CHARGES

EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS

ETP Holders [and Sponsored Participants]<sup>1</sup>

\* \* \* \* \*

ARCHIPELAGO EXCHANGE: OTHER FEES AND CHARGES

\* \* \* \* \*

[USER] ETP HOLDER TRANSACTION CREDIT

\* \* \* \* \*

MARKET DATA REVENUE SHARING CREDIT<sup>2</sup>

Tape A Securities:

Cross Order ..... 50% tape revenue credit per qualifying trade (applicable to any Cross Order, as defined in PCXE Rule 7.31(s), where the ETP Holder [or Sponsored Participant] represents all of one side of the transaction and all or a portion of the other side).

Tape B Securities:

Liquidity Provider Credit ..... 50% tape revenue credit per qualifying trade (applicable to limit orders that are residing in the Book and that execute against inbound marketable orders).

Directed Order ..... 50% tape revenue credit per qualifying trade (applicable to any market maker that executes against a Directed Order within the Directed Order Process, as defined in PCXE Rule 7.37(a)).

Cross Order ..... 50% tape revenue credit per qualifying trade (applicable to any Cross Order, as defined in PCXE Rule 7.31(s), where the ETP Holder [or Sponsored Participant] represents all of one side of the transaction and all or a portion of the other side).

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See letter from Tania Blanford, Staff Attorney, Regulatory Policy, PCX, to Nancy J. Sanow,

Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, dated March 31, 2004. In Amendment No. 1, the PCX redesignated the filing from a filing under subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 to a filing under subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4, as well as made a technical correction to the rule

text. The substance of Amendment No. 1 is incorporated in this notice.

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>6</sup> See PCXE Rule 1.1(n) (defining "ETP Holder").