

Responses: 60.

Burden Hours: 7,950.

**Abstract:** This package provides instructions and a form necessary for States to report Personnel serving children with disabilities served under IDEA-B. This form satisfies reporting requirements and is used by OSEP for monitoring, implementing IDEA, and Congressional reporting.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2494. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651 or to the e-mail address [vivian\\_reese@ed.gov](mailto:vivian_reese@ed.gov). Requests may also be electronically mailed to the Internet address [OCIO\\_RIMG@ed.gov](mailto:OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Sheila Carey at her e-mail address [Sheila\\_Carey@ed.gov](mailto:Sheila_Carey@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 04-8346 Filed 4-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. QF83-168-009 and EL04-86-000]

#### Wilbur Power LLC; Notice of Filing

April 6, 2004.

Take notice that on March 31, 2004, Gaylord Container Corporation (Gaylord), a corporation with its principal place of business at Austin, Texas, tendered for filing an amended request for Limited Waiver of Qualifying Cogeneration Operating and Efficiency Standards pursuant to section 292.205(c) of the Commission's regulations. Gaylord requests expedited consideration.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party

must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the eLibrary (FERRIS) link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

*Comment Date:* April 20, 2004.

**Linda Mitry,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E4-804 Filed 4-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. RP04-155-000 and RP03-398-000]

#### Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Technical Conference

April 6, 2004.

Take notice that a technical conference will be held on Tuesday, April 20, 2004, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The purpose of the conference is to address Northern Natural Gas Company's (Northern) proposal to lower the acceptable levels of oxygen and carbon dioxide in gas received on its system. The technical conference was ordered in a February 27, 2004, order<sup>1</sup> accepting and suspending a filing by Northern to increase its rates and make various changes to its tariff.

All interested persons are permitted to attend. To assist Staff, attendees are requested to e-mail [Eric.Winterbauer@ferc.gov](mailto:Eric.Winterbauer@ferc.gov) stating your name, the name of the entity you represent, the names of the persons who

will be accompanying you, and a telephone number where you can be reached. Northern should be prepared to discuss its proposal, including the rationale for its proposal and any possible ramifications. Persons protesting aspects of Northern's proposal should be prepared to answer questions and discuss alternatives.

The issues to be discussed will include, but are not limited to:

A. Why does Northern need the more stringent gas quality standards it has proposed in this case?

1. What is the current status of Northern with regard to problems caused by the quality of gas, e.g. have there been ruptures due to corrosion? If so, when did they occur? Has Northern had to issue any OFOs due to corrosive conditions on the pipeline? Are there other Federal regulations affecting its decision to seek more stringent standards?

2. What are the corresponding carbon dioxide and oxygen standards on interconnecting pipelines?

3. Why is Northern proposing the changes at this specific time?

B. How did Northern decide upon the specifics of its gas quality proposal?

1. Why change the currently effective carbon dioxide level from 2 percent to less than or equal to 1 percent, as opposed to some other level? Why change the oxygen tolerance level from .2 percent to less than or equal to .02 percent, as opposed to some other level?

2. What reports or studies were used in making these determinations? (Please provide any such reports.)

3. What alternatives to these levels did Northern consider?

C. What effects will Northern's proposal have on entities upstream or downstream of Northern, including interconnecting pipelines or local distribution companies (financial, operational, or otherwise)?

D. What alternatives are there to Northern's proposal (operational or otherwise)?

**Linda Mitry,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E4-805 Filed 4-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

<sup>1</sup> Northern Natural Gas Co., 106 FERC ¶ 61,195 (2004).