

have calculated exporter/importer-specific assessment rates by aggregating the dumping margins for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing the amount by the total calculated entered value of the sales to that importer. In situations in which the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct the CBP to assess antidumping duties on that importer's entries of subject merchandise. We will direct the CBP to assess the resulting percentage margins against the entered value of the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries during the POR. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of this new shipper review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The cash deposit rate for Russo will be the rate shown above, and will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of the new shipper review, for all shipments of pasta produced by Russo entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

### Notification

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of antidumping duties increased by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO are sanctionable violations.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance

with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(I)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 1, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix I—List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

#### List of Comments

Comment 1: Clerical Error Corrections  
 Comment 2: Unreconciled Difference  
 Comment 3: Depreciation on Idled Assets  
 Comment 4: Financial Expense Ratio  
 Comment 5: Direct Material Yield Losses  
 Comment 6: Parent Company's G&A Expenses

[FR Doc. 04-8119 Filed 4-8-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-504]

#### Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding its administrative review of Qingdao Kingking Applied Chemistry Ltd., Co. (Kingking) under the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period August 1, 2002 through July 31, 2003. This rescission is based on the withdrawal of requests for review by the National Candle Association (petitioner) and Kingking.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 9, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Hoadley or Sebastian Wright (202) 482-0162 and (202) 482-5254, respectively, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC on August 28, 1986 (51 FR 30686). Pursuant to its *Notice of Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review*, 68 FR 45218 (August 1, 2003), and in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act and

§ 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations, the Department received a timely request by the petitioner to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC for 23 companies, including Kingking. Kingking also requested a review.

On September 30, 2003, the Department published its *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 68 FR 56262 (September 30, 2003) (*Initiation Notice*), initiating on all 23 candle companies for which a review was requested. On December 24, 2003, the Department received a withdrawal from the petitioner of its request for a review of all 23 companies for which it had requested a review. Consequently, on January 27, 2004, the Department rescinded the review, in part, for 21 of the 23 companies; the Department did not rescind the review with respect to Dongguan Fay Candle Co., Ltd. (Fay Candle) or Kingking since these companies had each requested its own review as well. *See Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 6258 (February 10, 2004). On January 26, 2004, Fay Candle withdrew its request for a review. On March 3, 2004, the Department rescinded the review, in part, for Fay Candle. *See Petroleum Wax candles from the People's Republic of China, Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 12302 (March 16, 2004). In a letter dated February 26, 2004, and received by the Department on March 2, 2004, Kingking then withdrew its request for a review.

##### Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to § 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department may rescind an administrative review, "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." The petitioner's request for the withdrawal of the review with respect to Kingking was received within the 90-day period as specified in § 351.213(d)(1). Kingking's request for withdrawal was received after the end of this period. However, the Department is authorized to extend this deadline if it decides that doing so is reasonable. *See* § 351.213(d)(1). Although Kingking submitted its withdrawal request more than 90 days after the initiation publication date, the Department has decided that it is reasonable to extend

the deadline and accept the request. The petitioner and Kingking were the only parties to request this review, and the review has not progressed to a point where it would be unreasonable to allow parties to withdraw their requests for review. *See e.g., Certain In-Shell Raw Pistachios from Iran: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 16764 (April 7, 2003). Additionally, we conclude that this withdrawal does not constitute an "abuse" of our procedures. *See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27317 (May 19, 1997).

Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to Kingking for the period August 1, 2002 to July 31, 2003. Because all of the parties who requested reviews for this review period have now withdrawn their requests for review, the Department with this notice has now rescinded the review with respect to all of the companies on which it initiated an administrative review for the period August 1, 2002 through July 31, 2003.

The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) within 15 days of the publication of this notice. The Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties for Kingking at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period August 1, 2002 through July 31, 2003.

#### Notification to Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under § 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this period of time. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with § 351.305(a) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with § 351.213(d)(4) of the

Department's regulations and sections 751(a)(1) and 777(I)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: March 31, 2004.

**Jeffrey May,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-8118 Filed 4-8-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-428-825]

#### **Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany; Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany; Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** April 9, 2004.

**SUMMARY:** On February 10, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results for its review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Germany for the period July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002. *See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany, (Final Results)* 69 FR 6262 (February 10, 2004). We are amending our final results to correct a ministerial error identified by the Department.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patricia Tran or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, at 202-482-1121 or 202-482-0649, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Scope of the Review**

For purposes of this administrative review, the products covered are certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. This subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is annealed or otherwise heat treated and

pickled or otherwise descaled. This subject sheet and strip may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, aluminized, coated, etc.) provided that it maintains the specific dimensions of sheet and strip following such processing. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) at subheadings: 7219.13.0031, 7219.13.0051, 7219.13.0071, 7219.1300.81,<sup>1</sup> 7219.14.0030, 7219.14.0065, 7219.14.0090, 7219.32.0005, 7219.32.0020, 7219.32.0025, 7219.32.0035, 7219.32.0036, 7219.32.0038, 7219.32.0042, 7219.32.0044, 7219.33.0005, 7219.33.0020, 7219.33.0025, 7219.33.0035, 7219.33.0036, 7219.33.0038, 7219.33.0042, 7219.33.0044, 7219.34.0005, 7219.34.0020, 7219.34.0025, 7219.34.0030, 7219.34.0035, 7219.35.0005, 7219.35.0015, 7219.35.0030, 7219.35.0035, 7219.90.0010, 7219.90.0020, 7219.90.0025, 7219.90.0060, 7219.90.0080, 7220.12.1000, 7220.12.5000, 7220.20.1010, 7220.20.1015, 7220.20.1060, 7220.20.1080, 7220.20.6005, 7220.20.6010, 7220.20.6015, 7220.20.6060, 7220.20.6080, 7220.20.7005, 7220.20.7010, 7220.20.7015, 7220.20.7060, 7220.20.7080, 7220.20.8000, 7220.20.9030, 7220.20.9060, 7220.90.0010, 7220.90.0015, 7220.90.0060, and 7220.90.0080. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive.

Excluded from the review of this order are the following: (1) Sheet and strip that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (2) sheet and strip that is cut to length, (3) plate (*i.e.*, flat-rolled stainless steel products of a thickness of 4.75 mm or more), (4) flat wire (*i.e.*, cold-rolled sections, with a prepared edge, rectangular in shape, of a width of not more than 9.5 mm), and (5) razor blade steel. Razor blade steel is a flat-rolled product of stainless steel, not further worked than cold-rolled (cold-reduced), in coils, of a width of not more than 23 mm and a thickness of 0.266 mm or less, containing, by weight, 12.5 to 14.5 percent chromium, and

<sup>1</sup> Due to changes to the HTS numbers in 2001, 7219.13.0030, 7219.13.0050, 7219.13.0070, and 7219.13.0080 are now 7219.13.0031, 7219.13.0051, 7219.13.0071, and 7219.13.0081, respectively.