

each step of the RCRA manifest process and their geographic or other dependencies. The e-manifest could serve as a mechanism for consolidating a number of functions currently performed by hazardous waste generators, transporters, TSDFs, State regulators, enforcement personnel and Federal regulators. For example, reporting requirements for the RCRA Biennial Report and other data collection programs could be incorporated into one function through the e-manifest which, if implemented under a "shared IT services" approach, would allow for integrated reporting and faster data collection and analysis. Stakeholders include, but are not limited to, hazardous waste generators, transporters and TSDFs, as well as State government environmental agencies, international organizations, IT vendors, hazardous waste brokers, and various Federal agencies such as U.S. Customs and the Department of Justice.

2. E-Manifest Information Technology Architecture: This discussion will focus on the information technology (IT) and other technical aspects of different e-manifest system approaches (*i.e.*, software and hardware architectures). Within this area, four main IT subsystems will be explored:

- E-manifest data subsystem: key assumptions, questions and issues to be resolved related to manifest data (*e.g.*, input, transfer, output, storage, archive).
- E-manifest system services subsystem: key components of the IT application architecture and how they interrelate (*i.e.*, interoperability), as well as defining discrete transactions that comprise the entire process.
- E-manifest data security subsystem: how manifest data and IT applications will be kept secure.
- E-manifest infrastructure subsystem: how data and IT applications will be managed (maintained, updated).

3. E-Manifest Governance: This discussion area supplements the business process discussion, addressing the major issues associated with who will design, implement, manage, maintain, certify and approve e-manifest system IT software, hardware, guidance, administrative processes, modifications, upgrades, interfaces and technical formats. We are interested in assessing institutional arrangements for governance of the e-manifest system, paying attention to their benefits and costs (trade-offs). For purpose of this meeting discussion, we have identified two fundamentally different approaches, which we refer to as "shared services" and "distributed services." The "distributed services"

approach, under which private firms develop e-manifest systems that adhere to a set of promulgated standards, was proposed in the May 2001 proposed rule.

Another approach we have identified calls for a "shared services" system in which EPA or some other entity establishes an e-manifest system that is accessed through a shared central portal. This would mean that the entire manifest work flow would be hosted by EPA or another entity on a Web-based system.

4. E-Manifest Funding Approaches: This discussion will identify alternative funding approaches for both system start-up and annual life-cycle maintenance costs that may be needed to implement any "shared services" type of system. Clearly, EPA will not be able to move forward with any "shared services" approach involving our developing and hosting new applications or systems unless we are able to identify a stable source of funding for the entire life cycle of such a system. During this discussion, the Agency will present materials describing a variety of possible funding mechanisms (*e.g.*, user fees, share-in-savings and other cost-recovery contracts, new Federal appropriations earmarked for system development, and reallocation/earmarking of EPA State grants), and discuss how such funding mechanisms might be suited for system development or for operating and maintenance costs. We will seek from our stakeholders their creative ideas, suggestions, and feedback on these funding mechanisms, as well as any additional mechanisms suggested by stakeholders during the meeting.

Based on the information received at this meeting, from public comments, and our own internal discussions, the Agency will decide whether to proceed with an e-manifest rule, and if so, how it should be designed and implemented. Again, if the Agency decides to proceed with such a rule, the Agency will re-propose and solicit additional comment before we proceed with any final decisions.

Dated: March 12, 2004.

Matt Hale,

Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste.

[FR Doc. 04-7329 Filed 3-31-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7642-3]

National and Governmental Advisory Committees to the U.S. Representative to the Commission for Environmental Cooperation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) gives notice of a meeting of the National Advisory Committee (NAC) and Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) to the U.S. Representative to the North American Commission for Environmental Cooperation (CEC).

The National and Governmental Advisory Committees advise the Administrator of the EPA in his capacity as the U.S. Representative to the Council of the North American Commission for Environmental Cooperation. The Committees are authorized under Articles 17 and 18 of the North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation (NAAEC), North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, Pub. L. 103-182 and as directed by Executive Order 12915, entitled "Federal Implementation of the North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation." The Committees are responsible for providing advice to the U.S. Representative on a wide range of strategic, scientific, technological, regulatory and economic issues related to implementation and further elaboration of the NAAEC. The National Advisory Committee consists of 12 representatives of environmental groups and non-governmental organizations, business and industry, and educational institutions. The Governmental Advisory Committee consists of 12 representatives from state, local and tribal governments.

The Committees are meeting to review and comment on the deliverables for the Commission for Environmental Cooperation June Council Session and the Ten-Year Review of the North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation.

DATES: The Committees will meet on Thursday, April 29, 2004 from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m., and on Friday, April 30, 2004 from 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Washington Hilton and Towers, 1919 Connecticut Ave., NW.,

Washington, DC 20009. The meeting is open to the public, with limited seating on a first-come, first-served basis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Oscar Carrillo Designated Federal Officer, U.S. EPA, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management, at (202) 233-0072.

Meeting Access: Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the conference room, should contact Oscar Carrillo at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: March 23, 2004.

Oscar Carrillo,

Designated Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-7331 Filed 3-31-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7642-4]

EPA Public Meeting: Market Enhancement Opportunities for Water-Efficient Products; Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency is hosting a two-day public meeting to discuss market enhancement opportunities for water-efficient products. EPA's goal is to bring together stakeholders from Federal, state and local governments; utilities; manufacturers; building trade associations; consumer groups; and other interested parties to exchange information and views on promoting water-efficient products in the marketplace. The focus of this meeting will be on indoor residential, commercial, and industrial products. The first meeting, held in Washington, DC on October 9, 2003, served to initiate our process and gain reactions from a broad range of stakeholders. A second meeting was held in Austin, TX on January 15, 2004, and focused on the roles of water utilities; state, local, and regional governments; and non-governmental organizations. The third meeting, held in Phoenix, AZ on February 17, 2004, focused on urban landscape irrigation.

The meeting will consist of several panel discussions, and is open to the public. The audience will have opportunities to ask questions and provide comments.

DATES: The meeting will be held on April 13, 2004 (8:30 am–5 pm), and April 14, 2004 (8:30 am–12 noon).

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Renaissance Hotel, 515 Madison St., Seattle, WA 98104.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For more information on this meeting, including an agenda, please see EPA's Water-Efficient Products Market Enhancement Program Web page at http://www.epa.gov/owm/water-efficiency/products_program.htm. To register online from the Water-Efficient Products Market Enhancement Program page, click on the "Register for Meetings and View Agendas" link. You may also register by contacting ERG, Inc. by phone (781-674-7374), or by downloading the registration form and sending the completed form to ERG via fax at 781-674-2906 or mail to ERG, Conference Registration, 110 Hartwell Avenue, Lexington, MA 02421-3136. Seating is limited, therefore please register or request special accommodations no later than April 5, 2004.

Dated: March 25, 2004.

James A. Hanlon,

Director, Office of Wastewater Management.

[FR Doc. 04-7330 Filed 3-31-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

March 22, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law No. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance

the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before May 3, 2004. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments regarding this Paperwork Reduction Act submission to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at (202) 418-0214 or via the Internet at Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0894.

Title: Certification Letter Accounting for Receipt of Federal Support—CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 96-262.

Form No: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: State, local and tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 52.

Estimated Time Per Response: 3–5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion and annual reporting requirements.

Total Annual Burden: 162 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Commission requires states to certify that carriers within the state had accounted for its receipt of federal support in its rates or otherwise used the support pursuant with Section 254(e). In the Order on Remand, in CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 03-249, the Commission modified the high-cost universal service support mechanism for non-rural carriers and adopted measures to induce states to ensure reasonable comparability of rural and urban rates in areas served by non-rural carriers.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0950.

Title: Extending Wireless Telecommunications Services to Tribal Lands, WT Docket No. 99-266.

Form No: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local and tribal government.