

OMB Control No.	Approved CFR Sections in Title 42, Title 45, and Title 20 (Note: Sections in Title 45 are preceded by "45 CFR," and sections in Title 20 are preceded by "20 CFR")
0938-0883	45 CFR Parts 160 and 164
0938-0887	45 CFR 148.316, 148.318, 148.320
0938-0897	412.22, 412.533
0938-0907	412.30, 412.304, 413.65
0938-0913	414.707

[FR Doc. 04-6350 Filed 3-25-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[CMS-2062-N]

RIN 0938-AJ74

Medicaid Program; Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the final Federal share disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotments for Federal fiscal years (FFYs) 2001 and 2002, and the preliminary Federal share DSH allotments for FFYs 2003 and 2004. It also announces the final FFYs 2000, 2001, and 2002, and the preliminary FFYs 2003 and 2004, limitations on aggregate DSH payments that States may make to institutions for mental disease (IMDs) and other mental health facilities. In addition, this notice describes the methodologies for determining the amounts of States' FFY DSH allotments for FFY 2001 and thereafter. It also republishes the Federal share DSH allotments for FFYs 1998 through 2000, and the final FFYs 1998 and 1999 limitations on aggregate DSH payments that States may make to IMDs and other mental health facilities. Additionally, the notice specifies a format to be used by States when submitting their annual DSH report to ensure that Federal funds provided for DSH adjustments are made in accordance with the Medicaid statutory requirements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Strauss, (410) 786-2019 (DSH Allotments and IMD DSH Limits); Jonas Eberly, (410) 786-6232 (Annual DSH report for DSH payments).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. DSH Allotments and IMD DSH Limits Published in October 8, 1998 Federal Register.

We published a notice in the October 8, 1998 **Federal Register** (63 FR 54142) that announced the Federal share DSH allotments for FFYs 1998 through 2002 and the IMD DSH limits for FFYs 1998 and 1999. The DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits published in that notice specified and were determined in accordance with the sections 1923(f) and (1923(h) of the Social Security Act (the Act), as amended by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) (Pub. L. 105-33, enacted on August 5, 1997). The notice also reflected the FFY 1998 DSH allotment for one State, specified in accordance with section 601 of Pub. L. 105-78 (enacted on November 13, 1997).

Additional legislative changes relating to the amounts or methodologies for calculating the States' DSH allotments or IMD DSH limits have been made to the Act since the publication of the October 8, 1998 notice. In this section and in Section II of this notice, we describe each of the legislative changes related to the DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for fiscal years that were not included in the October 8, 1998 notice.

B. DSH Allotments For FFYs 1998 Through 2000

Section 4721(a) of the BBA amended section 1923(f) of the Act to require that Federal Medicaid DSH expenditures be limited by the statutorily defined Federal share DSH allotments for FFYs 1998 through 2002 specified in a chart in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act. Section 601 of Pub. L. 105-78 amended the DSH allotment contained in this chart for the State of Minnesota for FFY 1998. The October 8, 1998 notice published the statutorily prescribed DSH allotments for all States for FFYs 1998 through 2002, in accordance with the amounts specified in the chart at section 1923(f)(2) of the Act, as established by the BBA and as amended by Pub. L. 105-78. Subsequent to the publication of the DSH allotments for these years, a number of legislative actions revised the DSH allotments specified in the chart at section 1923(f)(2) of the Act, for certain

States. Specifically, sections 702, 703, and 704 of Pub. L. 105-277 (enacted on October 21, 1998) amended the FFY 1999 DSH allotments for Minnesota, New Mexico, and Wyoming, respectively, and section 601(a) of the Medicare, Medicaid, SCHIP Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999 (BBRA) (Pub. L. 106-113, enacted on November 29, 1999) amended the FFYs 2000, 2001, and 2002 DSH allotments for the District of Columbia, Minnesota, New Mexico, and Wyoming.

C. DSH Allotments For FFYs 2001 and 2002

Section 701(a) of the Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 (BIPA) (Pub. L. 106-554, enacted on December 21, 2000) added a new section 1923(f)(4) of the Act that provided for a "Special Rule For Fiscal Years 2001 and 2002," under which States' DSH allotments for FFY 2001 and 2002 would be determined through the application of a methodology. The DSH allotments for these fiscal years, calculated under this methodology, supercede the DSH allotments for the years that are specified in the chart at section 1923(f)(2) of the Act. Under section 1923(f)(4) of the Act, the DSH allotments for FFY 2001 and FFY 2002 are determined by increasing the States' prior FFY DSH allotments by the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the prior fiscal year, subject to the limitation that an increase to a State's DSH allotment for a fiscal year could not result in the DSH allotment exceeding 12 percent of the State's total Federal medical assistance expenditures for the allotment year (referred to as the 12-percent limit). The application of this special rule for FFY 2001 and FFY 2002 had the effect of increasing States' DSH allotments for those years, as compared to the allotments they would have received under the chart at section 1923(f)(2) of the Act. In fact, the chart contained at section 1923(f)(2) of the Act would have provided for a decrease in States' DSH allotments over the fiscal years.

The BIPA also added a new section 1923(f)(5) of the Act, which established a "Special Rule For Extremely Low DSH States." Under this rule, States with FFY 1999 DSH expenditures that were

greater than zero percent and less than 1 percent of the States' FFY 1999 total medical assistance expenditures were considered to be "low-DSH States." Under section 1923(f)(5) of the Act, the Low-DSH States' FFY 2001 DSH allotments were increased to 1 percent of the States' total FFY 2001 medical assistance expenditures. The Low-DSH States' increased FFY 2001 DSH allotments were the basis for calculating the States' FFY 2002 DSH allotments. That is, similar to the methodology applied for determining the other (non-Low-DSH) States' allotments, the Low-DSH States' FFY 2002 allotments were determined by increasing their FFY 2001 allotment (as determined under the Low-DSH provision at section 1923(f)(5) of the Act) by the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year, subject to the 12-percent limit.

D. DSH Allotments for FFY 2003

Section 1923(f)(3) of the Act, as established by the BBA and amended by the BIPA, provides that the States' FFY 2003 DSH allotments are calculated by increasing their FFY 2002 allotments (as specified in the chart in Section 1923(f)(2) of the Act) by the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year, subject to the 12-percent limit. That is, the FFY 2003 allotments were *not* based on the FFY 2002 DSH allotments as were determined under section 1923(f)(4) of the Act. Since the FFY 2002 DSH allotments specified in the chart in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act are lower than the actual FFY 2002 DSH allotments (determined under section 1923(f)(4) of the Act), in general, States' FFY 2003 DSH allotments are lower than their FFY 2002 allotments. The exception to this, are the FFY 2003 DSH allotments for the Low-DSH States. Under the Low-DSH State provision, the Low-DSH States' FFY 2003 allotments are determined by increasing their *actual* FFY 2002 DSH allotments (not their FFY 2002 allotments specified in the chart in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act) by the CPI-U for the previous fiscal year. Therefore, Low-DSH States' DSH allotments increase (in general by the CPI-U) from FFY 2002 to FFY 2003.

E. DSH Allotments for FFY 2004

Section 1001(a) of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) (enacted on December 8, 2003) amended section 1923(f)(3) of the Act to provide for a "Special, Temporary Increase In Allotments On A One-Time, Non-Cumulative Basis." Under this provision, States' FFY 2004 DSH allotments are determined by increasing their FFY 2003 allotments by 16

percent, and the fiscal year DSH allotment amounts so determined are not subject to the 12-percent limit.

F. DSH Allotments for FFY 2005, and Thereafter

Under the MMA amendments to section 1923(f) of the Act, each State's DSH allotment for FFY 2005 and for subsequent fiscal years is equal to the State's DSH allotment for FFY 2004, subject to the 12-percent limit. Furthermore, in the first fiscal year for which the Secretary estimates that a State's DSH allotment equals (or no longer exceeds) the DSH allotment as would have been determined under the statute in effect prior to the enactment of MMA, the allotment for that fiscal year will be calculated by increasing the State's DSH allotment for the previous fiscal year by the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year, subject to the 12-percent limit. The following example illustrates how the fiscal year DSH allotment would be calculated for fiscal years after FFY 2004.

Example—A State's FFY 2003 DSH allotment is \$100 million. Under the MMA, the State's FFY 2004 DSH allotment would be \$116 million (\$100 million increased by 16 percent). The State's DSH allotment continues to \$116 million for fiscal years following FFY 2004. However, for each fiscal year after FFY 2004, CMS would calculate the DSH allotments for the State in accordance with the statute in effect prior to the enactment of MMA. Under this methodology, the State's DSH allotment is determined by increasing the State's DSH allotment for the previous fiscal year by the CPI-U for the previous fiscal year. For purposes of this example, in accordance with this methodology the State's FFY 2007 DSH allotment is determined to be \$115 million and the CPI-U for FFY 2007 is 2 percent. Therefore, under the prior law methodology, the State's FFY 2008 DSH allotment would be \$117.3 million, calculated as \$115 million increased by the 2 percent CPI-U for FFY 2007. Since \$117.3 is greater than \$116 million (the FFY 2004 DSH allotment calculated under MMA), the State's FFY 2008 DSH allotment would be \$118.32 million, calculated as \$116 million increased by 2 percent (the CPI-U for FFY 2007, the previous fiscal year). For FFY 2009 and thereafter, the State's DSH allotment would be calculated by increasing the previous fiscal year's DSH allotment by the CPI-U. Note, in each of the FFYs 2005 and thereafter, the DSH allotment would be subject to the 12-percent limit (in this example, that is not an issue).

G. DSH Allotments For Low-DSH States for FFYs 2004 and Thereafter

The MMA also amended section 1923(f)(5) of the Act regarding the calculation of the DSH allotments for Low-DSH States for FFY 2004 and subsequent fiscal years. Under section 1923(f)(5)(B) of the Act, as amended by MMA, new criteria are applied for determining whether a State is a Low-DSH State beginning with FFY 2004. Specifically, under section 1923(f)(5)(B) of the Act, as amended by MMA, a State is considered a Low-DSH State for FFY 2004 if its total DSH payments under its State plan for FFY 2000 (including Federal and State shares) as reported to us as of August 31, 2003, is greater than 0 percent and less than 3 percent of the State's total FFY 2000 expenditures under its State plan for medical assistance. For States, that meet the new Low-DSH criteria, their FFY 2004 DSH allotments are calculated by increasing their FFY 2003 DSH allotments by 16 percent. Furthermore, the DSH allotments for FFYs 2005 through 2008 for the States meeting this Low-DSH criteria would be determined by increasing the previous fiscal year's allotment by 16 percent. The Low-DSH States' DSH allotments for FFYs 2004 through 2008 would not be subject to the 12-percent limit. The Low-DSH States' DSH allotments for FFYs 2009 and thereafter would be calculated by increasing such States' DSH allotments for the prior fiscal year by the CPI-U for that prior fiscal year. For FFYs 2009 and thereafter, the DSH allotments so determined would be subject to the 12-percent limit.

H. IMD DSH Limits for FFYs 1998 and Thereafter

Section 4721(b) of the BBA added section 1923(h) to the Act to provide that Federal financial participation (FFP) is not available for DSH payments to institutions for mental disease (IMD) and other mental health facilities that are in excess of a State-specific aggregate limit.

In the October 8, 1998 **Federal Register** notice, we interpreted the aggregate limit of IMD and other mental health facilities to be the lesser of a State's FFY 1995 total computable (State and Federal share) IMD and other mental health facility DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment (as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997), or the amount equal to the product of the State's current year total computable DSH allotment and the applicable percentage.

Each State's IMD limit on DSH payments to IMDs and other mental health facilities is calculated by first determining the State's total computable DSH expenditures attributable to the FFY 1995 DSH allotment for mental health facilities and inpatient hospitals. This is based on the total computable DSH expenditures reported by the State on the Form CMS-64 as mental health DSH and inpatient hospital as of January 1, 1997.

Once we determine the total computable amount of DSH expenditures applicable to the FFY 1995 DSH allotment, we then calculate an "applicable percentage." The applicable percentage for FFY 1998 through FFY 2000 (1995 IMD DSH percentage) is calculated by dividing the total computable amount of IMD and mental health DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment by the total computable amount of all DSH expenditures (mental health facility plus inpatient hospital) applicable to the FFY 1995 DSH allotment. For FFY 2001 and thereafter, the applicable percentage is defined as the lesser of the applicable percentage as calculated above (for FFYs 1998 through 2001) or 50 percent for FFY 2001; 40 percent for FFY 2002; and 33 percent for each subsequent FFY.

The applicable percentage is then applied to each State's total computable FFY DSH allotment for the current FFY. The State's total computable FFY DSH allotment is calculated by dividing the State's Federal share DSH allotment for the FFY by the State's Federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) for that FFY.

In the final step of the calculation, the State's total computable IMD DSH limit for the FFY is set at the lesser of the product of a State's current fiscal year total computable DSH allotment and the applicable percentage for that fiscal year, or the State's FFY 1995 total computable IMD and other mental health facility DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported on the Form CMS-64.

I. Preliminary and Final DSH Allotments and IMD DSH Limits

In general, we initially determine States' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for a fiscal year using estimates of medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures in their Medicaid programs. These estimates are provided by States each year on the August quarterly Medicaid budget reports (Form CMS-37) prior to the Federal fiscal year for which the DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits are

being determined. The DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits determined using these estimates are referred to as "preliminary." Only after we receive States' reports of the actual related medical assistance expenditures through the quarterly expenditure report (Form CMS-64), are the "final" DSH Allotments and IMD DSH limits determined. In this regard, the DSH allotments for FFY 1998 through FFY 2000, as published in the October 8, 1998 **Federal Register** notice were considered as final since these allotments were prescribed in the chart in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act. Similarly, the FFY 1998 and FFY 1999 IMD DSH limits published in the October 8, 1998 **Federal Register** were also considered as final, since these limits were based on the actual expenditures from FFY 1995 and the final FFY 1998 and FFY 1999 DSH allotments. This notice also announces the final FFY 2001 and 2002 DSH allotments (since they are based on the actual related expenditures), the preliminary FFY 2003 and 2004 DSH allotments (based on estimated expenditures), the final FFY 2000 through 2002 IMD DSH limits (based on the final DSH allotments for those fiscal years), and the preliminary FFY 2003 and 2004 IMD DSH limits (based on the preliminary DSH allotments for those years).

J. Annual Reporting of DSH Payments

Section 4721(c) of the BBA added section 1923(a)(2)(D) of the Act to require that States submit an annual report to us describing the DSH payments made to each disproportionate share hospital. This notice describes the contents of the DSH report for FFY 2004.

II. Calculation of the Final FFY 2001 Federal Share State DSH Allotments, the Final FFY 2002 Federal Share State DSH Allotments, the Preliminary FFY 2003 Federal Share State DSH Allotments, and the Preliminary FFY 2004 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

Section 701(a)(1)(A) of BIPA, amended section 1923(f)(4) of the Act, to revise the formula for computing the Federal share DSH allotments for FFY 2001 and FFY 2002. For FFY 2001 and FFY 2002, a State's Federal share DSH allotment increased from the prior year allotment by the (CPI-U) to the extent that the current year DSH allotment or the increased allotment does not exceed 12 percent of the Federal share of the State's total medical assistance expenditures (including DSH) for the current year.

Section 701(a)(2)(A) of BIPA, amended section 1923(f)(5) of the Act, to provide for calculating the DSH allotment under a "special rule for extremely low DSH States." The special rule applies to States whose FFY 1999 total DSH expenditures are greater than zero percent, but less than one percent, of their total FFY 1999 medical assistance expenditures (including DSH) as reported to us as of August 31, 2000. Under the special rule, the DSH allotments for FFY 2001 for these extremely Low-DSH States will be increased to 1 percent of the State's total amount of medical assistance expenditures (including DSH) under their plan for FFY 2001. However, application of the special rule cannot result in a decrease in the extremely Low-DSH State FFY 2001 allotments from an amount as would be calculated by application of the provisions of section 1923(f)(4) of the Act, as amended by BIPA. For subsequent fiscal years, the allotments for extremely Low-DSH States will be equal to their allotment for the previous FFY, increased by the percentage change in the CPI-U for the previous year, to the extent that the DSH allotment for that year does not exceed 12 percent of the Federal Share of the State's total medical assistance expenditures (including DSH) for the year.

Chart 1 of Addendum A to this notice represents a republication for the States' FFY 1998 through FFY 2000 DSH allotments; these amounts were previously published in the **Federal Register** on October 8, 1998, in a chart which reflected the DSH allotments in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act, as amended through the provisions of section 601 of Pub. L. 105-78. Chart 1 updates the chart published in the **Federal Register** on October 8, 1998 for certain States to reflect the further amendments made to the DSH allotments for FFY 1998 through FFY 2000 in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act, as amended by BBRA.

Charts 2 and 3 of Addendum A to this notice provides the States' final FFY 2001 and FFY 2002 DSH allotments, respectively.

Charts 4 and 5 of Addendum A to this notice provides the States' "preliminary" FFY 2003 and FFY 2004 DSH allotments. These preliminary allotments for each State were computed using the August 2002 and August 2003 estimates submitted by the States on the Form CMS-37. We will publish the final FFY 2003 and FFY 2004 DSH allotments for each State following receipt of the States' four quarterly Medicaid expenditure reports

(Form CMS-64) for FFY 2003 and FFY 2004.

Chart 6 of Addendum A to this notice provides the determination of the Low-DSH States in accordance with the 1-percent test established by BIPA for determining State FY 2001 DSH allotments.

Chart 7 of Addendum A to this notice provides the determination of the Low-DSH States in accordance with the 3-percent test established by MMA for determining State FY 2004 DSH allotments.

III. Calculation of the FFYs 2000 through 2004 IMD DSH Limits

Section 1923(h) of the Act specifies the methodology to be used to establish the limits on the amount of DSH payments that a State can make to IMDs and other mental health facilities. FFP is not available for IMD/DSH payments that exceed the lesser of the State's FFY 1995 total computable mental health DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported to us on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997; or the amount equal to the product of the State's current FFY total computable DSH allotment and the applicable percentage. The amounts of the limits on IMD DSH expenditures were made available to the States as part of their CMS-64 report. We are publishing these limits along with an explanation of the calculation of these limits in the **Federal Register** notice as a courtesy to providers and the general public.

For FFY 2000, the applicable percentage is computed as the ratio of—

- (1) The State's FFY 1995 total computable (Federal and State share) mental health DSH payments applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment and as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997

- (2) The State's FFY 1995 total computable amount of all DSH expenditures (mental health facility and inpatient hospital) applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.

For FFY 2000, the applicable percentage is calculated and applied to the State's FFY 2000 total computable DSH allotment. A State's total computable FFY 2000 DSH allotment is calculated by dividing the State's Federal share DSH allotment for FFY 2000 by the State's Federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) for FFY 2000. This result is then compared to the State's FFY 1995 total computable mental health DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported on the Form

CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997. The lesser of these two amounts is the State's limitation on total computable IMD/DSH expenditures for FFY 2000.

For FFY 2001, the applicable percentage is the lesser of 50 percent or the 1995 DSH IMD percentage of the amount computed for FFY 2000. This percentage is applied to the State's FFY 2001 total computable DSH allotment. This result is then compared to the State's FFY 1995 total computable mental health DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997. The lesser of these two amounts is the State's limitation on total computable IMD/DSH expenditures for FFY 2001.

For FFY 2002, the applicable percentage is the lesser of 40 percent or the 1995 DSH IMD percentage of the amount computed for FFY 2000. This percentage is applied to the State's FFY 2002 total computable DSH allotment. This result is then compared to the State's FFY 1995 total computable mental health DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997. The lesser of these two amounts is the State's limitation on total computable IMD/DSH expenditures for FFY 2002.

For FFY 2003, the applicable percentage is the lesser of 33 percent or the 1995 DSH IMD percentage of the amount computed for FFY 2000. This percentage is applied to the State's FFY 2003 total computable DSH allotment. This result is then compared to the State's FFY 1995 total computable mental health DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997. The lesser of these two amounts is the State's limitation on total computable IMD/DSH expenditures for FFY 2003.

For FFY 2004, the applicable percentage is the lesser of 33 percent or the 1995 DSH IMD percentage of the amount computed for FFY 2000. This percentage is applied to the State's FFY 2004 total computable DSH allotment. This result is then compared to the State's FFY 1995 total computable mental health DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FFY 1995 DSH allotment as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997. The lesser of these two amounts is the State's limitation on total computable IMD/DSH expenditures for FFY 2004.

Charts 8 and 9 of Addendum A to this notice represents a republication of the detail of each States' IMD/DSH limitation for FFY 1998 and FFY 1999; these amounts were previously

published in the **Federal Register** on October 8, 1998, in a chart which reflected the IMD DSH limits in section 1923(h) of the Act, as amended through the provisions of section 601 of Pub. L. 105-78. Charts 8 and 9 updates the chart published in the **Federal Register** on October 8, 1998 for certain States to reflect the further amendments made to the DSH allotments for FFY 1998 through FFY 2000 in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act (which are used in the determination of the IMD DSH limits), as amended BBRA.

Charts 10 through 14 of Addendum A to this notice detail each State's IMD/DSH limitation for FFYs 2000 through 2004, respectively, in accordance with section 1923(h) of the Act. We will address future payments in subsequent issuances.

IV. Annual Reporting Requirements

Section 4721(a) of Pub. L. 105-33, amended section 1923(a) of the Act requiring States to provide an annual report to the Secretary describing the disproportionate share payments to each DSH.

In the October 8, 1998 **Federal Register** (63 FR 54142), we published a notice that addressed the annual reporting requirements. In that notice, we recommended that a State submit hospital-specific data (name of hospital, type of hospital—for example, children's, psychiatric, public versus private—and annual payment) to its CMS regional office at the close of the first quarter of the FFY following the FFY in which the DSH was paid. We requested comments from the public regarding the format and the data that would be collected in this report.

In response to the October 8, 1998 notice, we received several comments regarding the content and the availability of this report. Many comments indicated that the reports should include more specific details including the formula the State uses for qualifying the hospital for the DSH payment, and the components used to calculate the hospital-specific DSH payments.

In addition to these comments requesting that more detailed data would be required on the DSH annual report, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) made recommendations about States' DSH programs based on the findings from their State audits. The BIPA provided that the 175 percent hospital specific DSH limit would apply to qualifying public hospitals in all States. The limit, allowing DSH payments of up to 175 percent of each hospital's cost of unreimbursed care, would apply for two State fiscal years

beginning on the first day of the State fiscal year that begins after September 30, 2002 and ends on the last day of the succeeding State fiscal year.

The OIG has begun to monitor States' disproportionate share hospital payments to determine whether the results from their reviews of uncompensated care claimed by hospitals at selected States and their review of enhanced payments and intergovernmental transfer of funds by public hospitals to the States would support the need for increased DSH reimbursements. To date, they have completed or are in the process of completing audits in several States.

Based on current audit results, the OIG believes that DSH payments presently are not always being retained and used by the public hospitals and the DSH payments received are not always correctly calculated.

Based upon the statutory requirement that States provide an annual report to the Secretary describing the disproportionate share hospital payments, each State must submit DSH expenditure information utilizing an Excel format containing the mandatory requirements listed in Addendum B.

States may submit their annual report electronically to *NIRT@cms.hhs.gov*. These reports must be submitted by the end of the first quarter of the FFY following the reporting FFY. Therefore, by December 31, 2004, all FFY 2004 DSH reports must be sent to the CMS Central Office at the following address: National Institutional Reimbursement Team, CMS, CMSO, Mailstop: S3-13-15, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

V. Collection of Information Requirements

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), we are required to provide 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** and solicit public comment before a collection of information

requirement is submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. In order to fairly evaluate whether OMB approves an information collection, section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA requires that we solicit comment on the following issues:

- The need for the information collection and its usefulness in carrying out the proper functions of our agency.
- The accuracy of our estimate of the information collection burden.
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Recommendations to minimize the information collection burden on the affected public, including automated collection techniques.

The requirements associated with this notice are currently approved under OMB approval number 0938-0746 (CMS-R-0266, Medicaid Disproportionate Share Annual Report for Hospitals and Institutions), with a current expiration date of October 30, 2005. However, as reflected in this notice, we are proposing to modify the currently approved requirements by providing a structured format for State reporting and refining the currently approved collection requirements. The format will not impose any additional burden.

If you comment on these information collection and recordkeeping requirements, please mail copies directly to the following:

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: John Burke (CMS-2062-N), Room C5-13-28, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244-1850; and Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC

20503, Attn: Brenda Aguilar, CMS Desk Officer (CMS-2062-N).

VI. Impact Statement

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 through 612, requires a regulatory flexibility analysis for every rule subject to proposed rulemaking procedures under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, unless we certify that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, States and individuals are not considered small entities. However, providers with receipts ranging from less than \$5 million to less than \$25 million depending on their provider type are considered small entities (65 FR 69432, November 17, 2000). Due to the various controlling statutes, the effects on providers are not a result of any independent regulatory impact and not this notice. The purpose of the notice is to simply announce the latest distributions as required by the statute.

Additionally, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a notice may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. Such an analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Metropolitan Statistical Area and has fewer than 100 beds.

The BBA and the BBRA set statutorily defined limits on the amount of Federal share DSH expenditures available for FFYs 1998 through 2002. The BIPA amended sections of the Act that set forth these statutorily defined Federal DSH allotments. The following table displays our estimates of the impact of changes to the Federal DSH allotments as a result of BBA, BBRA, and BIPA.

FEDERAL COST (SAVINGS)

[in billions of dollars]

Fiscal year	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
BBA	-2.8	-3.5	-4.0	-4.5	-5.0
BBRA	*	*	*	*	*
BIPA	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	-2.6	-2.8	-4.0	-4.5	-5.0

* = <\$50 million.

Based on these findings, the limits initially imposed by the BBA and the BBRA will negatively impact the availability of FFP to States, thus

potentially negatively impacting the availability of Medicaid expenditures to hospitals, especially IMDs. However, the BIPA reduces the Federal savings,

thus increasing the amount of Federal funding available to States under the DSH program. While overall, the statute still mandates some reduction in DSH

payments, we do not believe that this notice will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it reflects no new policies or procedures.

In section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act requires that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits for any rule that may result in an annual expenditure by State, local, or tribal

governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$110 million or more. This notice has no consequential effect on State, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector, and will not create an unfunded mandate.

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this notice was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

We have reviewed this notice under the threshold criteria of Executive Order 13132, Federalism. We have determined that it does not significantly affect the rights, roles, and responsibilities of States.

Addendum A

This addendum contains the charts 1 through 6 (including associated keys) that are referred to in the preamble of this notice.

CHART 1.—DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FY 1998 THROUGH FY 2000—FROM SECTION 1902(f)(2) OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

[Key to Chart of the FFY 1998 Through 2000 DSH Allotments]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	FY 1998 DSH ALLOTMENTS Federal Share. This column contains the FFY 1998 DSH allotments from section 1923(f)(2) of the Act, as amended.
Column C	FY 1999 DSH ALLOTMENTS Federal Share. This column contains the FFY 1999 DSH allotments from section 1923(f)(2) of the Act, as amended.
Column D	FY 2000 DSH ALLOTMENTS Federal Share. This column contains the FFY 1999 DSH allotments from section 1923(f)(2) of the Act, as amended.

DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FY 1998 THROUGH FY 2000—FROM SECTION 1902(f)(2) OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT*

A	B	C	D
State	FY 1998 DSH allotments Federal share	FY 1999 DSH allotments Federal share	FY 2000 DSH allotments Federal share
Alabama	\$293,000,000	269,000,000	248,000,000
Alaska	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Arizona	81,000,000	81,000,000	81,000,000
Arkansas	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000
California	1,085,000,000	1,068,000,000	986,000,000
Colorado	93,000,000	85,000,000	79,000,000
Connecticut	200,000,000	194,000,000	164,000,000
Delaware	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
District of Columbia	23,000,000	23,000,000	32,000,000
Florida	207,000,000	203,000,000	197,000,000
Georgia	253,000,000	248,000,000	241,000,000
Hawaii	0	0	0
Idaho	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Illinois	203,000,000	199,000,000	193,000,000
Indiana	201,000,000	197,000,000	191,000,000
Iowa	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000
Kansas	51,000,000	49,000,000	42,000,000
Kentucky	137,000,000	134,000,000	130,000,000
Louisiana	880,000,000	795,000,000	713,000,000
Maine	103,000,000	99,000,000	84,000,000
Maryland	72,000,000	70,000,000	68,000,000
Massachusetts	288,000,000	282,000,000	273,000,000
Michigan	249,000,000	244,000,000	237,000,000
Minnesota	33,000,000	33,000,000	33,000,000
Mississippi	143,000,000	141,000,000	136,000,000
Missouri	436,000,000	423,000,000	379,000,000
Montana	200,000	200,000	200,000
Nebraska	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
Nevada	37,000,000	37,000,000	37,000,000
New Hampshire	140,000,000	136,000,000	130,000,000
New Jersey	600,000,000	582,000,000	515,000,000
New Mexico	5,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000
New York	1,512,000,000	1,482,000,000	1,436,000,000
North Carolina	278,000,000	272,000,000	264,000,000
North Dakota	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Ohio	382,000,000	374,000,000	363,000,000
Oklahoma	16,000,000	16,000,000	16,000,000
Oregon	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000
Pennsylvania	529,000,000	518,000,000	502,000,000
Rhode Island	62,000,000	60,000,000	58,000,000
South Carolina	313,000,000	303,000,000	262,000,000

DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FY 1998 THROUGH FY 2000—FROM SECTION 1902(f)(2) OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT*—
Continued

A	B	C	D
State	FY 1998 DSH allotments Federal share	FY 1999 DSH allotments Federal share	FY 2000 DSH allotments Federal share
South Dakota	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Tennessee	0	0	0
Texas	979,000,000	950,000,000	806,000,000
Utah	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
Vermont	18,000,000	18,000,000	18,000,000
Virginia	70,000,000	68,000,000	66,000,000
Washington	174,000,000	171,000,000	166,000,000
West Virginia	64,000,000	63,000,000	61,000,000
Wisconsin	7,000,000	7,000,000	7,000,000
Wyoming	67,000	95,000	100,000
Total	10,272,267,000	9,958,295,000	9,278,300,000

* DSH Allotments in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act as initially enacted by section 4721 of Public Law 105-33 and amended as follows:
Section 601 of Public Law 105-78, for FY 1998 for MN
Sections 702-704 of Public Law 105-277, for FY 1999 for MN, NM, and WY, respectively.
Sections 601(a)(1)-(4) of Public Law 106-113, for FYs 2000-2002 for D.C., MN, NM, and WY, respectively.

CHART 2.—FINAL FY 2001 DSH ALLOTMENTS
[Key to Chart of the FFY 2001 Final DSH Allotments]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	FY 2001 FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGE (FMAP).
Column C	FY 2000 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT (FINAL). This column contains the Final FFY 2000 DSH allotments from section 1923(f)(2) of the Act.
Column D	FFY 2000 DSH Allotment INCR. BY CPIU. This column contains the FFY 2000 DSH allotments in Column C increased by the CPIU for that fiscal year.
Column E	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP FOR FY 2001. This column contains the total computable medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures for FFY 2001.
Column F	DSH TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2001. This column contains the actual total computable DSH expenditures for FFY 2001.
Column G	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP NET OF DSH FY 2001. This column contains the total computable medical assistance expenditures, net of DSH expenditures, for FFY 2001.
Column H	12 PERCENT LIMIT (In FS). This column contains the 12 Percent Limit; this is a Federal share amount.
Column I	GREATER OF COL H OR COL C. This column contains amount which is the greater of Column H (the 12-percent limit) or Column C (the Federal share FFY 2000 DSH allotment).
Column J	LESSER OF COL I OR COL D. This column contains the lesser of Column I or Column D (the Federal share DSH allotment for FFY 2000).
Column K	LOW-DSH STATES. This column indicates "Special Rule" for those States that meet the Low-DSH criteria in determining the FFY 2001 allotments, as contained in section 1923(f)(5) of the Act.
Column L	LOW-DSH RULE STATES FY 2001 AMOUNT. This column contains the FFY 2001 allotment amounts for Low-DSH States; this amount is equal to 1 percent of the State's Total Medical Assistance expenditures for 2001.
Column M	FY 2001 FS DSH ALLOTMENT (=GREATER OF COL J OF COL L). The amount in this column is equal to the greater of Column J or Column L and represents the final Federal Share DSH allotment.

B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
2001 MAP	FY 2000 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT (FINAL)	FY 2000 DSH ALLOTMENT INCR. BY CPU 1.035	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP	DSH TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2001	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP NET OF DSH FY 2001	12 PERCENT LIMIT (in FS)	GREATER OF COL H OR COL C	LESSER OF COL I OR COL D	LOW-DSH STATES	LOW-DSH STATES FY 2001 AMOUNT	FY 2001 FS DSH ALLOTMENT (= GREATER OF COL J OR COL L)
9.93%	\$248,000,000	\$266,680,000	\$2,886,401,740	\$366,737,888	\$2,519,663,852	\$364,927,621	\$364,927,621	\$256,680,000	N/A	N/A	\$256,680,000
0.13%	\$10,000,000	\$10,350,000	\$606,613,980	\$13,874,874	\$592,639,306	\$88,847,874	\$88,847,874	\$10,350,000	N/A	N/A	\$10,350,000
5.77%	\$81,000,000	\$83,835,000	\$2,665,261,328	\$102,773,900	\$2,562,487,428	\$376,123,982	\$376,123,982	\$83,835,000	N/A	N/A	\$83,835,000
3.02%	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,854,913,659	\$102,684,594	\$1,752,229,065	\$283,105,992	\$283,105,992	\$2,000,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$18,576,696	\$18,576,696
1.25%	\$986,000,000	\$1,020,510,000	\$23,892,094,136	\$1,926,284,309	\$21,965,809,827	\$3,441,776,572	\$3,441,776,572	\$1,020,510,000	N/A	N/A	\$1,020,510,000
0.00%	\$79,000,000	\$81,765,000	\$2,142,029,851	\$186,310,107	\$1,955,719,744	\$308,797,854	\$308,797,854	\$81,765,000	N/A	N/A	\$81,765,000
0.00%	\$164,000,000	\$169,740,000	\$3,220,804,212	\$290,828,041	\$2,929,976,171	\$462,627,816	\$462,627,816	\$169,740,000	N/A	N/A	\$169,740,000
0.00%	\$4,000,000	\$4,140,000	\$591,974,246	\$4,140,000	\$587,834,246	\$92,815,934	\$92,815,934	\$4,140,000	N/A	N/A	\$4,140,000
0.00%	\$32,000,000	\$33,120,000	\$985,761,914	\$82,381,167	\$903,380,747	\$130,834,453	\$130,834,453	\$33,120,000	N/A	N/A	\$33,120,000
6.62%	\$197,000,000	\$203,895,000	\$8,609,434,647	\$338,903,359	\$8,270,531,288	\$1,259,388,984	\$1,259,388,984	\$203,895,000	N/A	N/A	\$203,895,000
9.67%	\$241,000,000	\$249,435,000	\$5,037,084,881	\$425,146,203	\$4,611,938,678	\$692,748,599	\$692,748,599	\$249,435,000	N/A	N/A	\$249,435,000
3.85%	\$0	\$0	\$639,266,827	\$0	\$639,266,827	\$98,706,506	\$98,706,506	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0
0.76%	\$1,000,000	\$1,035,000	\$707,902,289	\$10,047,333	\$697,854,956	\$100,844,554	\$100,844,554	\$1,035,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$7,078,586	\$7,078,586
0.00%	\$193,000,000	\$197,755,000	\$7,806,513,216	\$379,003,532	\$7,427,509,684	\$1,172,764,884	\$1,172,764,884	\$197,755,000	N/A	N/A	\$197,755,000
2.04%	\$191,000,000	\$197,685,000	\$4,075,130,589	\$656,156,539	\$3,418,974,050	\$508,664,828	\$508,664,828	\$197,685,000	N/A	N/A	\$197,685,000
2.67%	\$8,000,000	\$8,280,000	\$1,683,107,543	\$14,273,308	\$1,668,834,235	\$247,687,014	\$247,687,014	\$8,280,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$16,958,949	\$16,958,949
9.85%	\$42,000,000	\$43,470,000	\$1,686,410,544	\$46,990,903	\$1,639,419,641	\$246,067,124	\$246,067,124	\$43,470,000	N/A	N/A	\$43,470,000
0.39%	\$130,000,000	\$134,550,000	\$3,364,490,045	\$197,149,308	\$3,173,340,737	\$459,061,047	\$459,061,047	\$134,550,000	N/A	N/A	\$134,550,000
0.63%	\$713,000,000	\$737,955,000	\$4,248,873,479	\$872,307,509	\$3,376,565,970	\$488,260,785	\$488,260,785	\$713,000,000	N/A	N/A	\$713,000,000
5.12%	\$84,000,000	\$86,940,000	\$1,327,242,175	\$49,160,020	\$1,278,082,155	\$187,376,479	\$187,376,479	\$86,940,000	N/A	N/A	\$86,940,000
0.00%	\$68,000,000	\$70,380,000	\$3,389,359,931	\$82,821,780	\$3,306,538,151	\$525,242,866	\$525,242,866	\$70,380,000	N/A	N/A	\$70,380,000
0.00%	\$273,000,000	\$282,595,000	\$6,678,665,751	\$485,282,845	\$6,193,382,906	\$977,902,596	\$977,902,596	\$282,595,000	N/A	N/A	\$282,595,000
5.18%	\$237,000,000	\$245,295,000	\$7,248,296,265	\$431,720,496	\$6,816,575,769	\$1,040,168,112	\$1,040,168,112	\$245,295,000	N/A	N/A	\$245,295,000
1.11%	\$33,000,000	\$34,155,000	\$3,835,870,579	\$46,322,136	\$3,771,548,443	\$591,451,315	\$591,451,315	\$34,155,000	N/A	N/A	\$34,155,000
7.82%	\$136,000,000	\$140,760,000	\$4,502,252,810	\$178,733,044	\$4,323,519,766	\$323,045,014	\$323,045,014	\$140,760,000	N/A	N/A	\$140,760,000
1.03%	\$379,000,000	\$392,265,000	\$4,814,979,882	\$455,068,472	\$4,359,911,410	\$651,238,980	\$651,238,980	\$392,265,000	N/A	N/A	\$392,265,000
73.04%	\$200,000	\$207,000	\$482,357,404	\$244,000	\$482,113,404	\$69,227,188	\$69,227,188	\$207,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$4,888,057	\$4,888,057
50.38%	\$5,000,000	\$5,175,000	\$1,198,760,099	\$318,396	\$1,198,441,703	\$179,483,861	\$179,483,861	\$5,175,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$12,186,243	\$12,186,243
50.36%	\$37,000,000	\$38,295,000	\$674,337,888	\$76,042,490	\$598,295,398	\$94,254,920	\$94,254,920	\$38,295,000	N/A	N/A	\$38,295,000
50.00%	\$130,000,000	\$134,550,000	\$673,408,109	\$158,369,510	\$515,038,499	\$112,900,816	\$112,900,816	\$130,000,000	N/A	N/A	\$130,000,000
50.00%	\$915,000,000	\$933,025,000	\$7,162,873,141	\$1,117,457,748	\$6,045,415,393	\$954,507,694	\$954,507,694	\$933,025,000	N/A	N/A	\$933,025,000
50.00%	\$1,436,000,000	\$1,486,260,000	\$11,477,067,980	\$15,265,039	\$11,461,802,941	\$209,477,781	\$209,477,781	\$1,486,260,000	N/A	N/A	\$1,486,260,000
32.47%	\$264,000,000	\$273,240,000	\$6,150,681,587	\$415,287,761	\$5,735,393,826	\$851,888,375	\$851,888,375	\$273,240,000	N/A	N/A	\$273,240,000
99.99%	\$1,000,000	\$1,035,000	\$406,627,014	\$1,061,172	\$405,565,842	\$58,724,375	\$58,724,375	\$1,035,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$4,113,430	\$4,113,430
59.03%	\$363,000,000	\$375,705,000	\$8,571,648,862	\$637,259,413	\$7,934,389,549	\$1,195,067,868	\$1,195,067,868	\$375,705,000	N/A	N/A	\$375,705,000
71.24%	\$16,000,000	\$16,560,000	\$2,051,767,584	\$22,701,904	\$2,029,065,680	\$292,810,207	\$292,810,207	\$16,560,000	N/A	N/A	\$16,560,000
50.00%	\$20,000,000	\$20,700,000	\$2,658,358,991	\$30,493,735	\$2,627,865,256	\$394,179,698	\$394,179,698	\$20,700,000	N/A	N/A	\$20,700,000
53.62%	\$502,000,000	\$519,570,000	\$10,908,343,146	\$761,018,574	\$10,147,324,572	\$1,568,763,701	\$1,568,763,701	\$519,570,000	N/A	N/A	\$519,570,000
53.79%	\$58,000,000	\$60,030,000	\$1,203,126,878	\$91,058,126	\$1,112,068,752	\$173,312,500	\$173,312,500	\$60,030,000	N/A	N/A	\$60,030,000
70.44%	\$262,000,000	\$271,170,000	\$3,074,455,990	\$371,947,762	\$2,702,508,228	\$390,892,586	\$390,892,586	\$271,170,000	N/A	N/A	\$271,170,000
88.31%	\$1,000,000	\$1,035,000	\$469,734,499	\$1,074,602	\$468,659,897	\$68,224,097	\$68,224,097	\$1,035,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$4,756,226	\$4,756,226
33.79%	\$0	\$0	\$5,519,373,714	\$0	\$5,519,373,714	\$815,788,799	\$815,788,799	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0
80.57%	\$806,000,000	\$834,210,000	\$11,604,639,613	\$1,346,133,952	\$10,258,505,661	\$1,535,164,145	\$1,535,164,145	\$834,210,000	N/A	N/A	\$834,210,000
71.44%	\$3,000,000	\$3,105,000	\$833,720,115	\$724,063	\$832,996,052	\$120,139,781	\$120,139,781	\$3,105,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$8,448,217	\$8,448,217
32.40%	\$18,000,000	\$18,630,000	\$601,467,093	\$26,500,000	\$574,967,093	\$85,423,682	\$85,423,682	\$18,630,000	N/A	N/A	\$18,630,000
51.85%	\$66,000,000	\$68,310,000	\$3,036,846,387	\$236,402,140	\$2,800,444,247	\$437,248,739	\$437,248,739	\$68,310,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$28,555,166	\$28,555,166
50.70%	\$166,000,000	\$171,810,000	\$4,305,724,247	\$327,823,840	\$3,977,900,407	\$625,362,948	\$625,362,948	\$171,810,000	N/A	N/A	\$171,810,000
5.34%	\$61,000,000	\$63,135,000	\$1,548,616,901	\$102,033,841	\$1,446,583,060	\$206,477,236	\$206,477,236	\$63,135,000	N/A	N/A	\$63,135,000
9.29%	\$7,000,000	\$7,245,000	\$4,014,120,892	\$11,854,804	\$4,002,265,988	\$602,142,478	\$602,142,478	\$7,245,000	SPECIAL RULE	\$40,709,267	\$40,709,267
34.60%	\$100,000	\$103,500	\$243,408,921	\$240,932	\$243,167,995	\$35,837,230	\$35,837,230	\$103,500	N/A	N/A	\$103,500
	\$9,278,300,000	\$9,603,040,500	\$216,895,305,919	\$15,854,175,632	\$201,041,130,087	\$30,744,039,993	\$30,985,878,393	\$9,573,635,500		\$146,270,837	\$9,662,064,171

CHART 3.—FINAL 2002 FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS
 [Key to the Chart of the Final FFY 2002 DSH Allotments]

Column	Description
Column A	State.
Column B	FY 2002 FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGE (FMAP).
Column C	FY 2001 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT (FINAL). This column contains the FFY 2001 DSH allotments from section 1923(f)(2) of the Act.
Column D	FFY 2001 DSH Allotment INCR. BY CPIU. This column contains the FFY 2001 DSH allotments in Column C increased by the CPIU for that fiscal year.
Column E	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP FOR FY 2002. This column contains the total computable medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures for FFY 2002.
Column F	DSH TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2002. This column contains the actual total computable DSH expenditures for FFY 2002.
Column G	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP NET OF DSH FY 2002. This column contains the total computable medical assistance expenditures, net of DSH expenditures, for FFY 2001.
Column H	12 PERCENT LIMIT (In FS). This column contains the 12 Percent Limit; this is a Federal share amount.
Column I	GREATER OF COL H OR COL C. This column contains amount which is the greater of Column H (the 12-percent limit) or Column C (the Federal share FFY 2001 DSH allotment).
Column J	LESSER OF COL I OR COL D. This column contains the lesser of Column I or Column D.
Column K	FY 2002 FS DSH ALLOTMENT (=COL J). The amount in this column represents the final Federal Share DSH allotment for FFY 2002, and is equal to Column J.

FINAL FY 2002 DSH ALLOTMENTS												
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K		
STATE	FY 2002 FMAP	FY 2001 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT (FINAL)	FY 2001 DSH ALLOTMENT INCR. BY CPIU 1.026	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP FOR FY 2002	DSH TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2002	ACTUAL TOTAL MAP NET OF DSH FOR FY 2002	12 PERCENT LIMIT (In FS)	GREATER OF COL H OR COL C	LESSER OF COL I OR COL D	FY 2002 FS DSH ALLOTMENT (=Col J)		
ALABAMA	70.45%	\$256,680,000	\$263,353,680	\$3,093,200,847	\$373,816,436	\$2,719,384,411	\$393,322,084	\$393,322,084	\$263,353,680	\$263,353,680		
ALASKA	57.38%	\$10,350,000	\$10,619,100	\$685,772,985	\$18,296,235	\$667,476,750	\$101,277,609	\$101,277,609	\$10,619,100	\$10,619,100		
ARIZONA	64.94%	\$83,835,000	\$86,014,710	\$3,541,609,119	\$67,623,900	\$3,474,035,219	\$508,357,779	\$508,357,779	\$86,014,710	\$86,014,710		
ARKANSAS	72.64%	\$18,576,696	\$19,059,690	\$2,237,817,554	\$14,529,026	\$2,223,288,528	\$319,590,393	\$319,590,393	\$19,059,690	\$19,059,690		
CALIFORNIA	51.40%	\$1,020,510,000	\$1,047,043,260	\$26,890,540,967	\$1,349,520,564	\$25,541,020,403	\$3,998,401,367	\$3,998,401,367	\$1,047,043,260	\$1,047,043,260		
COLORADO	50.00%	\$81,765,000	\$83,890,890	\$2,323,068,699	\$161,758,218	\$2,161,310,481	\$341,259,550	\$341,259,550	\$83,890,890	\$83,890,890		
CONNECTICUT	50.00%	\$169,740,000	\$174,153,240	\$3,456,338,545	\$241,650,894	\$3,214,687,651	\$507,582,261	\$507,582,261	\$174,153,240	\$174,153,240		
DELAWARE	50.00%	\$4,140,000	\$4,247,640	\$634,046,351	\$3,398,112	\$630,648,239	\$99,576,038	\$99,576,038	\$4,247,640	\$4,247,640		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	70.00%	\$33,120,000	\$33,981,120	\$1,021,772,893	\$40,350,226	\$981,422,667	\$142,137,047	\$142,137,047	\$33,981,120	\$33,981,120		
FLORIDA	56.43%	\$203,895,000	\$209,196,270	\$9,871,508,234	\$391,959,504	\$9,479,548,730	\$1,444,783,079	\$1,444,783,079	\$209,196,270	\$209,196,270		
GEORGIA	59.00%	\$249,435,000	\$255,920,310	\$6,241,211,454	\$433,162,860	\$5,808,048,594	\$874,914,554	\$874,914,554	\$255,920,310	\$255,920,310		
HAWAII	56.34%	\$0	\$0	\$740,007,314	\$0	\$740,007,314	\$112,833,592	\$112,833,592	\$0	\$0		
IDAHO	71.02%	\$7,078,586	\$7,282,629	\$773,534,776	\$10,270,825	\$763,263,951	\$110,214,176	\$110,214,176	\$7,282,629	\$7,282,629		
ILLINOIS	50.00%	\$199,755,000	\$204,948,630	\$8,809,060,004	\$376,593,238	\$8,432,466,766	\$1,331,442,121	\$1,331,442,121	\$204,948,630	\$204,948,630		
INDIANA	62.04%	\$197,685,000	\$202,824,810	\$4,448,318,143	\$399,358,564	\$4,048,959,579	\$602,391,972	\$602,391,972	\$202,824,810	\$202,824,810		
IOWA	62.86%	\$16,958,949	\$17,399,882	\$2,575,146,342	\$27,611,312	\$2,547,535,030	\$377,832,604	\$377,832,604	\$17,399,882	\$17,399,882		
KANSAS	60.20%	\$43,470,000	\$44,600,220	\$1,836,717,196	\$40,921,943	\$1,795,795,253	\$269,145,745	\$269,145,745	\$44,600,220	\$44,600,220		
KENTUCKY	69.94%	\$134,550,000	\$138,048,300	\$3,763,204,047	\$197,381,038	\$3,565,823,009	\$516,521,218	\$516,521,218	\$138,048,300	\$138,048,300		
LOUISIANA	70.30%	\$713,000,000	\$731,538,000	\$4,885,971,853	\$860,852,348	\$4,025,119,505	\$582,434,102	\$582,434,102	\$713,000,000	\$713,000,000		
MAINE (EST.) 8-02CMS37	56.58%	\$86,940,000	\$89,200,440	\$1,433,703,000	\$47,878,000	\$1,385,825,000	\$202,861,624	\$202,861,624	\$89,200,440	\$89,200,440		
MARYLAND	50.00%	\$70,380,000	\$72,209,880	\$3,613,476,100	\$136,920,147	\$3,476,555,953	\$548,929,887	\$548,929,887	\$72,209,880	\$72,209,880		
MASSACHUSETTS	50.00%	\$282,555,000	\$289,901,430	\$8,063,005,258	\$623,222,072	\$7,439,783,186	\$1,174,702,608	\$1,174,702,608	\$289,901,430	\$289,901,430		
MICHIGAN	56.36%	\$245,295,000	\$251,672,670	\$7,562,053,407	\$405,171,927	\$7,156,881,480	\$1,091,150,154	\$1,091,150,154	\$251,672,670	\$251,672,670		
MINNESOTA	50.00%	\$34,155,000	\$35,043,030	\$4,414,511,470	\$89,456,079	\$4,325,055,391	\$687,640,325	\$687,640,325	\$35,043,030	\$35,043,030		
MISSISSIPPI	76.09%	\$140,760,000	\$144,416,890	\$2,877,013,621	\$189,419,753	\$2,687,593,768	\$382,897,194	\$382,897,194	\$144,416,890	\$144,416,890		
MISSOURI	61.06%	\$392,265,000	\$402,463,890	\$5,360,707,560	\$536,707,050	\$4,824,000,590	\$720,458,304	\$720,458,304	\$402,463,890	\$402,463,890		
MONTANA	72.83%	\$4,888,057	\$5,015,147	\$571,456,455	\$331,812	\$571,124,643	\$82,054,922	\$82,054,922	\$5,015,147	\$5,015,147		
NEBRASKA	59.55%	\$12,186,243	\$12,503,085	\$1,339,132,070	\$11,020,071	\$1,328,111,999	\$199,593,866	\$199,593,866	\$12,503,085	\$12,503,085		
NEVADA	50.00%	\$38,295,000	\$39,290,670	\$808,198,344	\$76,361,314	\$731,837,030	\$115,552,115	\$115,552,115	\$39,290,670	\$39,290,670		
NEW HAMPSHIRE	50.00%	\$130,000,000	\$133,380,000	\$1,016,094,814	\$181,453,768	\$834,641,046	\$131,785,428	\$131,785,428	\$133,380,000	\$133,380,000		
NEW JERSEY	50.00%	\$533,025,000	\$546,883,650	\$7,745,877,997	\$1,215,520,277	\$6,530,357,720	\$1,031,109,114	\$1,031,109,114	\$546,883,650	\$546,883,650		
NEW MEXICO	73.04%	\$9,315,000	\$9,557,190	\$1,776,811,688	\$12,290,293	\$1,764,521,395	\$253,369,547	\$253,369,547	\$9,557,190	\$9,557,190		
NEW YORK	50.00%	\$1,486,260,000	\$1,524,902,760	\$36,295,107,368	\$2,861,322,894	\$33,433,784,474	\$5,279,018,601	\$5,279,018,601	\$1,524,902,760	\$1,524,902,760		
NORTH CAROLINA	61.46%	\$273,240,000	\$280,344,240	\$6,723,598,560	\$480,076,683	\$6,243,521,877	\$933,981,531	\$933,981,531	\$280,344,240	\$280,344,240		
NORTH DAKOTA	69.87%	\$4,113,430	\$4,220,379	\$461,401,546	\$2,293,987	\$459,107,559	\$66,517,045	\$66,517,045	\$4,220,379	\$4,220,379		
OHIO	58.78%	\$375,705,000	\$385,473,330	\$9,658,040,587	\$654,304,618	\$9,003,735,969	\$1,357,604,789	\$1,357,604,789	\$385,473,330	\$385,473,330		
OKLAHOMA	70.43%	\$16,560,000	\$16,990,560	\$2,260,403,490	\$24,124,038	\$2,236,279,452	\$323,466,360	\$323,466,360	\$16,990,560	\$16,990,560		
OREGON	59.20%	\$20,700,000	\$21,238,200	\$2,571,560,664	\$22,932,488	\$2,548,628,176	\$383,590,139	\$383,590,139	\$21,238,200	\$21,238,200		
PENNSYLVANIA	54.65%	\$519,570,000	\$533,078,820	\$12,130,925,035	\$779,176,586	\$11,351,748,449	\$1,745,481,039	\$1,745,481,039	\$533,078,820	\$533,078,820		
RHODE ISLAND	52.45%	\$60,030,000	\$61,590,780	\$1,358,500,649	\$88,170,993	\$1,270,329,656	\$197,662,666	\$197,662,666	\$61,590,780	\$61,590,780		
SOUTH CAROLINA	69.34%	\$271,170,000	\$278,220,420	\$3,292,901,444	\$391,072,974	\$2,901,828,470	\$421,094,076	\$421,094,076	\$278,220,420	\$278,220,420		
SOUTH DAKOTA	65.93%	\$4,756,226	\$4,879,888	\$549,884,391	\$1,071,469	\$548,812,922	\$80,511,568	\$80,511,568	\$4,879,888	\$4,879,888		
TENNESSEE	63.64%	\$0	\$0	\$5,786,863,330	\$0	\$5,786,863,330	\$855,792,368	\$855,792,368	\$0	\$0		
TEXAS	60.17%	\$834,210,000	\$855,899,460	\$13,523,486,149	\$1,423,123,110	\$12,100,363,039	\$1,813,773,330	\$1,813,773,330	\$855,899,460	\$855,899,460		
UTAH	70.00%	\$8,448,217	\$8,667,870	\$984,502,099	\$12,675,340	\$971,826,759	\$140,747,324	\$140,747,324	\$8,667,870	\$8,667,870		
VERMONT	63.06%	\$18,630,000	\$19,114,380	\$660,731,979	\$28,868,690	\$631,863,289	\$93,643,476	\$93,643,476	\$19,114,380	\$19,114,380		
VIRGINIA	51.45%	\$68,310,000	\$70,086,060	\$3,812,166,436	\$181,748,547	\$3,630,417,889	\$568,167,302	\$568,167,302	\$70,086,060	\$70,086,060		
WASHINGTON	50.37%	\$171,810,000	\$176,277,060	\$5,168,511,470	\$357,884,399	\$4,810,627,071	\$757,814,810	\$757,814,810	\$176,277,060	\$176,277,060		
WEST VIRGINIA	75.27%	\$63,135,000	\$64,776,510	\$1,584,166,286	\$82,978,727	\$1,501,187,559	\$214,308,938	\$214,308,938	\$64,776,510	\$64,776,510		
WISCONSIN	58.57%	\$40,709,267	\$41,767,708	\$4,208,896,549	\$49,198,878	\$4,159,697,671	\$627,786,539	\$627,786,539	\$41,767,708	\$41,767,708		
WYOMING	61.97%	\$103,500	\$106,191	\$274,466,128	\$148,252	\$274,317,876	\$40,837,976	\$40,837,976	\$106,191	\$106,191		
TOTAL		\$9,662,064,171	\$9,913,277,840	\$245,717,002,047	\$15,945,980,479	\$229,771,021,568	\$35,157,923,346	\$35,288,489,244	\$9,913,277,840	\$9,913,277,840		

CHART 4.—PRELIMINARY FY 2003 DSH ALLOTMENTS
 [Key to the Chart of the Preliminary FFY 2003 DSH Allotments]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	FY 2003 FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGE (FMAP).
Column C	FY 2002 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT (FINAL). This column contains the FFY 2002 DSH allotments from the chart in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act.
Column D	FFY 2002 DSH Allotment INCR. BY CPIU. This column contains the FFY 2002 DSH allotments in Column C increased by the CPIU for that fiscal year.
Column E	08/15/02 EST. OF TC MAP EXP. INCLUDING DSH FOR FY 2003. This column contains the August 2002 estimates of total computable medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures for FFY 2003.
Column F	08/15/02 EST. OF TC DSH EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2003. This column contains the August 2002 estimates of the total computable DSH expenditures for FFY 2003.
Column G	08/15/02 EST. OF TC MAP EXP. NET OF DSH FY 2003. This column contains the total computable medical assistance expenditures, net of DSH expenditures, for FFY 2003.
Column H	12 PERCENT LIMIT (In FS). This column contains the 12 Percent Limit; this is a Federal share amount.
Column I	GREATER OF COL. H OR COL. C. This column contains amount which is the greater of Column H (the 12-percent limit) or Column C (the Federal share FFY 2001 DSH allotment).
Column J	LESSER OF COL. I OR COL. D. This column contains the lesser of Column I or Column D.
Column K	FY 2003 FS DSH ALLOTMENT (=COL. J). The amount in this column represents the preliminary Federal Share DSH allotment for FFY 2003, and is equal to Column J.

PRELIMINARY FY 2003 DSH ALLOTMENTS										
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	FY 2003 FMAP	FY 2002 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT	FY 2002 DSH ALLOTMENT INCR. BY CPIU 1.015	08/15/02 EST. OF TC MAP EXP. INCLUDING DSH FOR FY 2003	08/15/02 EST. OF TC DSH EXPENDITURES FOR FY 2003	08/15/02 EST. OF TC MAP EXP. NET OF DSH FOR FY 2003	12 PERCENT LIMIT (in FS)	GREATER OF COL H OR COL C	LESSER OF COL I OR COL D	FY 2003 FS DSH ALLOTMENT (=Col J)
ALABAMA	70.60%	\$246,000,000	\$249,690,000	\$3,109,966,000	\$366,738,000	\$2,743,228,000	\$2,743,228,000	\$396,597,741	\$249,690,000	\$249,690,000
ALASKA	56.27%	\$9,000,000	\$9,135,000	\$765,549,000	\$17,400,000	\$748,149,000	\$113,061,532	\$113,061,532	\$9,135,000	\$9,135,000
ARIZONA	67.25%	\$81,000,000	\$82,215,000	\$4,130,701,000	\$154,131,000	\$3,976,570,000	\$80,831,129	\$80,831,129	\$82,215,000	\$82,215,000
ARKANSAS	74.28%	\$19,059,690	\$19,345,985	\$2,342,191,000	\$20,630,000	\$2,321,561,000	\$332,265,031	\$332,265,031	\$19,345,985	\$19,345,985
CALIFORNIA	50.00%	\$877,000,000	\$890,155,000	\$28,531,376,000	\$2,496,578,000	\$26,034,798,000	\$4,110,757,579	\$4,110,757,579	\$890,155,000	\$890,155,000
COLORADO	50.00%	\$74,000,000	\$75,110,000	\$2,476,596,000	\$151,848,000	\$2,324,748,000	\$367,065,474	\$367,065,474	\$75,110,000	\$75,110,000
CONNECTICUT	50.00%	\$160,000,000	\$162,400,000	\$3,594,238,000	\$236,885,000	\$3,357,353,000	\$530,108,368	\$530,108,368	\$162,400,000	\$162,400,000
DELAWARE	50.00%	\$4,000,000	\$4,060,000	\$683,877,000	\$2,832,000	\$681,045,000	\$107,533,421	\$107,533,421	\$4,060,000	\$4,060,000
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	70.00%	\$32,000,000	\$32,480,000	\$1,143,176,000	\$45,714,000	\$1,097,462,000	\$158,942,772	\$158,942,772	\$32,480,000	\$32,480,000
FLORIDA	58.83%	\$160,000,000	\$162,400,000	\$11,240,311,000	\$280,129,000	\$10,960,182,000	\$1,652,242,171	\$1,652,242,171	\$162,400,000	\$162,400,000
GEORGIA	59.60%	\$215,000,000	\$218,225,000	\$6,445,868,000	\$437,914,000	\$6,007,954,000	\$902,707,710	\$902,707,710	\$218,225,000	\$218,225,000
HAWAII	58.77%	\$0	\$0	\$817,808,000	\$0	\$817,808,000	\$123,316,424	\$123,316,424	\$0	\$0
IDAHO	70.96%	\$7,262,629	\$7,371,568	\$815,539,000	\$10,271,000	\$805,268,000	\$116,299,492	\$116,299,492	\$7,371,568	\$7,371,568
ILLINOIS	50.00%	\$172,000,000	\$174,580,000	\$9,010,668,000	\$329,975,000	\$8,680,693,000	\$1,370,635,737	\$1,370,635,737	\$174,580,000	\$174,580,000
INDIANA	61.97%	\$171,000,000	\$173,565,000	\$4,655,865,000	\$397,854,000	\$4,258,011,000	\$633,665,659	\$633,665,659	\$173,565,000	\$173,565,000
IOWA	63.50%	\$17,399,882	\$17,660,880	\$2,219,259,000	\$28,969,000	\$2,190,290,000	\$324,077,860	\$324,077,860	\$17,660,880	\$17,660,880
KANSAS	60.15%	\$33,000,000	\$33,495,000	\$1,761,048,000	\$50,350,000	\$1,710,698,000	\$256,444,822	\$256,444,822	\$33,495,000	\$33,495,000
KENTUCKY	69.89%	\$116,000,000	\$117,740,000	\$3,777,055,000	\$199,112,000	\$3,577,943,000	\$518,353,642	\$518,353,642	\$117,740,000	\$117,740,000
LOUISIANA	71.28%	\$631,000,000	\$640,465,000	\$5,016,393,000	\$811,730,000	\$4,204,663,000	\$606,697,123	\$606,697,123	\$631,000,000	\$631,000,000
MAINE	66.22%	\$84,000,000	\$85,260,000	\$1,519,550,000	\$48,944,000	\$1,470,606,000	\$215,529,759	\$215,529,759	\$85,260,000	\$85,260,000
MARYLAND	50.00%	\$61,000,000	\$61,915,000	\$3,903,603,000	\$57,613,000	\$3,845,990,000	\$607,261,579	\$607,261,579	\$61,915,000	\$61,915,000
MASSACHUSETTS	50.00%	\$244,000,000	\$247,660,000	\$8,785,131,000	\$601,000,000	\$8,184,131,000	\$1,292,231,211	\$1,292,231,211	\$247,660,000	\$247,660,000
MICHIGAN	55.42%	\$212,000,000	\$215,180,000	\$8,112,353,000	\$393,180,000	\$7,719,173,000	\$1,182,302,813	\$1,182,302,813	\$215,180,000	\$215,180,000
MINNESOTA	50.00%	\$33,000,000	\$33,495,000	\$4,889,941,000	\$64,000,000	\$4,825,941,000	\$761,990,684	\$761,990,684	\$33,495,000	\$33,495,000
MISSISSIPPI	76.62%	\$122,000,000	\$123,830,000	\$3,159,463,000	\$165,158,000	\$2,994,305,000	\$426,042,060	\$426,042,060	\$123,830,000	\$123,830,000
MISSOURI	61.23%	\$379,000,000	\$384,685,000	\$5,349,560,000	\$543,391,000	\$4,806,169,000	\$717,322,920	\$717,322,920	\$384,685,000	\$384,685,000
MONTANA	72.96%	\$5,015,146	\$5,090,373	\$681,575,000	\$256,000	\$681,319,000	\$97,852,430	\$97,852,430	\$5,090,373	\$5,090,373
NEBRASKA	52.39%	\$12,503,085	\$12,690,631	\$1,392,640,000	\$15,341,000	\$1,377,299,000	\$207,012,213	\$207,012,213	\$12,690,631	\$12,690,631
NEVADA	50.00%	\$37,000,000	\$37,555,000	\$897,679,000	\$80,663,000	\$817,016,000	\$127,170,492	\$127,170,492	\$37,555,000	\$37,555,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE	50.00%	\$130,000,000	\$131,950,000	\$1,100,183,000	\$179,452,000	\$920,731,000	\$145,378,579	\$145,378,579	\$131,950,000	\$131,950,000
NEW JERSEY	50.00%	\$15,000,000	\$15,225,000	\$8,333,635,000	\$1,066,050,000	\$7,267,585,000	\$1,147,513,421	\$1,147,513,421	\$15,225,000	\$15,225,000
NEW MEXICO	74.56%	\$9,000,000	\$9,135,000	\$1,868,313,000	\$13,272,000	\$1,855,041,000	\$265,304,073	\$265,304,073	\$9,135,000	\$9,135,000
NEW YORK	50.00%	\$1,285,000,000	\$1,304,275,000	\$41,215,940,000	\$2,744,260,000	\$38,471,680,000	\$6,074,475,789	\$6,074,475,789	\$1,304,275,000	\$1,304,275,000
NORTH CAROLINA	62.56%	\$236,000,000	\$239,540,000	\$7,616,524,000	\$460,900,000	\$7,155,624,000	\$1,062,474,298	\$1,062,474,298	\$239,540,000	\$239,540,000
NORTH DAKOTA	66.36%	\$4,220,379	\$4,283,685	\$461,615,000	\$553,000	\$461,062,000	\$67,107,590	\$67,107,590	\$4,283,685	\$4,283,685
OHIO	58.83%	\$325,000,000	\$329,875,000	\$10,602,086,000	\$584,736,000	\$10,017,350,000	\$1,510,110,700	\$1,510,110,700	\$329,875,000	\$329,875,000
OKLAHOMA	70.56%	\$16,000,000	\$16,240,000	\$2,595,493,000	\$24,853,000	\$2,570,640,000	\$371,689,259	\$371,689,259	\$16,240,000	\$16,240,000
OREGON	60.16%	\$20,000,000	\$20,300,000	\$2,900,562,000	\$29,578,000	\$2,870,984,000	\$430,361,455	\$430,361,455	\$20,300,000	\$20,300,000
PENNSYLVANIA	54.69%	\$449,000,000	\$455,735,000	\$13,342,763,000	\$641,554,000	\$12,701,209,000	\$1,952,576,585	\$1,952,576,585	\$455,735,000	\$455,735,000
RHODE ISLAND	55.40%	\$52,000,000	\$52,780,000	\$1,438,508,000	\$94,673,000	\$1,343,835,000	\$205,848,274	\$205,848,274	\$52,780,000	\$52,780,000
SOUTH CAROLINA	69.81%	\$262,000,000	\$265,930,000	\$3,568,925,000	\$383,103,000	\$3,185,822,000	\$461,554,870	\$461,554,870	\$265,930,000	\$265,930,000
SOUTH DAKOTA	65.29%	\$4,879,888	\$4,953,086	\$514,588,000	\$1,075,000	\$513,513,000	\$75,493,275	\$75,493,275	\$4,953,086	\$4,953,086
TENNESSEE	64.59%	\$0	\$0	\$6,112,499,000	\$0	\$6,112,499,000	\$900,870,075	\$900,870,075	\$0	\$0
TEXAS	59.99%	\$765,000,000	\$776,475,000	\$14,925,288,000	\$1,422,469,000	\$13,502,819,000	\$2,025,507,260	\$2,025,507,260	\$776,475,000	\$776,475,000
UTAH	71.24%	\$8,667,871	\$8,771,889	\$1,114,220,000	\$12,000,000	\$1,102,220,000	\$159,059,054	\$159,059,054	\$8,771,889	\$8,771,889
VERMONT	62.44%	\$18,000,000	\$18,270,000	\$696,780,000	\$28,500,000	\$668,280,000	\$99,224,100	\$99,224,100	\$18,270,000	\$18,270,000
VIRGINIA	50.53%	\$70,086,060	\$71,137,351	\$3,664,718,000	\$172,227,000	\$3,492,491,000	\$548,625,446	\$548,625,446	\$71,137,351	\$71,137,351
WASHINGTON	50.00%	\$148,000,000	\$150,220,000	\$5,141,800,000	\$303,400,000	\$4,838,400,000	\$763,957,895	\$763,957,895	\$150,220,000	\$150,220,000
WEST VIRGINIA	75.04%	\$54,000,000	\$54,810,000	\$1,569,591,000	\$71,279,000	\$1,498,312,000	\$214,022,841	\$214,022,841	\$54,810,000	\$54,810,000
WISCONSIN	58.43%	\$41,767,708	\$42,394,224	\$4,546,190,000	\$13,830,000	\$4,532,360,000	\$684,451,763	\$684,451,763	\$42,394,224	\$42,394,224
WYOMING	61.32%	\$100,000	\$101,500	\$295,898,000	\$0	\$295,898,000	\$44,147,118	\$44,147,118	\$101,500	\$101,500
TOTAL		\$8,627,962,338	\$8,757,381,773	\$254,855,068,000	\$16,256,770,000	\$248,598,298,000	\$38,047,173,568	\$38,071,476,446	\$8,747,916,772	\$8,747,916,772

CHART 5.—PRELIMINARY FY 2004 DSH ALLOTMENTS
[Key to the Chart of the Preliminary FFY 2004 DSH Allotments]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	FY 2004 FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGE (FMAP).
Column C	FY 2003 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This column contains the preliminary FFY 2003 DSH allotments.
Column D	FY 2004 FS DSH ALLOTMENT = COL. C x 1.16. This column contains the FFY 2003 DSH allotments in Column C increased by 16 percent.

PRELIMINARY FY 2004 DSH ALLOTMENTS			
A	B	C	D
STATE	FY 2004 FMAP	FY 2003 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT	FY 2004 FS DSH ALLOTMENT = COL C x 1.16
ALABAMA	70.75%	\$249,690,000	\$289,640,400
ALASKA	58.39%	\$9,135,000	\$10,596,600
ARIZONA	67.26%	\$82,215,000	\$95,369,400
ARKANSAS	74.67%	\$19,345,585	\$22,440,879
CALIFORNIA	50.00%	\$890,155,000	\$1,032,579,800
COLORADO	50.00%	\$75,110,000	\$87,127,600
CONNECTICUT	50.00%	\$162,400,000	\$188,384,000
DELAWARE	50.00%	\$4,060,000	\$4,709,600
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	70.00%	\$32,480,000	\$37,676,800
FLORIDA	58.93%	\$162,400,000	\$188,384,000
GEORGIA	59.58%	\$218,225,000	\$253,141,000
HAWAII	58.90%	\$0	\$0
IDAHO	70.46%	\$7,371,568	\$8,551,019
ILLINOIS	50.00%	\$174,580,000	\$202,512,800
INDIANA	62.32%	\$173,565,000	\$201,335,400
IOWA	63.93%	\$17,660,880	\$20,486,621
KANSAS	60.82%	\$33,495,000	\$38,854,200
KENTUCKY	70.09%	\$117,740,000	\$136,578,400
LOUISIANA	71.63%	\$631,000,000	\$731,960,000
MAINE	66.01%	\$85,260,000	\$98,901,600
MARYLAND	50.00%	\$61,915,000	\$71,821,400
MASSACHUSETTS	50.00%	\$247,660,000	\$287,285,600
MICHIGAN	55.89%	\$215,180,000	\$249,608,800
MINNESOTA	50.00%	\$33,495,000	\$38,854,200
MISSISSIPPI	77.08%	\$123,830,000	\$143,642,800
MISSOURI	61.47%	\$384,685,000	\$446,234,600
MONTANA	72.85%	\$5,090,373	\$5,904,833
NEBRASKA	59.89%	\$12,690,631	\$14,721,132
NEVADA	54.93%	\$37,555,000	\$43,563,800
NEW HAMPSHIRE	50.00%	\$131,950,000	\$153,062,000
NEW JERSEY	50.00%	\$522,725,000	\$606,361,000
NEW MEXICO	74.85%	\$9,135,000	\$10,596,600
NEW YORK	50.00%	\$1,304,275,000	\$1,512,959,000
NORTH CAROLINA	62.85%	\$239,540,000	\$277,866,400
NORTH DAKOTA	68.31%	\$4,283,685	\$4,969,074
OHIO	59.23%	\$329,875,000	\$382,655,000
OKLAHOMA	70.24%	\$16,240,000	\$18,838,400
OREGON	60.81%	\$20,300,000	\$23,548,000
PENNSYLVANIA	54.76%	\$455,735,000	\$528,652,600
RHODE ISLAND	56.03%	\$52,780,000	\$61,224,800
SOUTH CAROLINA	69.86%	\$265,930,000	\$308,478,800
SOUTH DAKOTA	65.67%	\$4,953,086	\$5,745,580
TENNESSEE	64.40%	\$0	\$0
TEXAS	60.22%	\$776,475,000	\$900,711,000
UTAH	71.72%	\$8,797,889	\$10,205,551
VERMONT	61.34%	\$18,270,000	\$21,193,200
VIRGINIA	50.00%	\$71,137,351	\$82,519,327
WASHINGTON	50.00%	\$150,220,000	\$174,255,200
WEST VIRGINIA	75.19%	\$54,810,000	\$63,579,600
WISCONSIN	58.41%	\$42,394,224	\$49,177,299
WYOMING	59.77%	\$101,500	\$117,740
TOTAL		\$8,747,916,772	\$10,147,583,455

CHART 6.—FY 1999 DSH PAYMENTS AS REPORTED AS OF AUGUST 31, 2000—LOW-DSH STATES USING “1 PERCENT TEST”

[Key to the Chart of the Low-DSH Determinations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	FY 1999 I/P HOSPITAL DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 1999 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of August 31, 2000.
Column C	FY 1999 MENTAL HEALTH DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FFY 1999 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of August 31, 2000.
Column D	TOTAL FY 1999 DSH Total Computable Col B + C. This column contains the total FFY 1999 DSH payments as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of August 31, 2000 (calculated as the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	FY 1999 MAP EXPENDITURES Total Computable. This column contains the FFY 1999 total computable medical assistance expenditures, including DSH payments.
Column F	TC DSH AS A PERCENT OF TC MAP Col D/E. This column present the total computable FFY 1999 DSH as a percentage of the FFY 1999 total computable medical assistance expenditures, calculated as Column D divided by Column E.
Column G	LOW-DSH STATES. (0 < Col F, Col F < 1%)FFY. This columns presents the Low-DSH States. Low-DSH States are those with a percentage entry in this column. States that are not determined to be a Low-DSH States are indicated by an "N/A" entry in this column. Low-DSH States are those whose entry in Column F is greater than 0 but less than 1 percent.

STATE	FY 1999 DSH PAYMENTS AS REPORTED AS OF AUGUST 31, 2000 - LOW DSH STATE USING "1 PERCENT TEST"			FY 1999 MAP EXPENDITURES		TC DSH AS A PERCENT OF TC MAP Col D/E	LOW/DSH STATES (0<Col F, Col F<1%)
	FY 1999 IP HOSPITAL DSH Total Computable	FY 1999 MENTAL HEALTH DSH Total Computable	TOTAL FY 1999 DSH Total Computable Col B + C	Total Computable	Total Computable		
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	
ALABAMA	\$385,033,879	\$3,301,620	\$388,335,499	\$2,427,519,078	16.00%	N/A	
ALASKA	\$0	\$14,037,389	\$14,037,389	\$406,229,439	3.46%	N/A	
ARIZONA	\$123,664,038	\$0	\$123,664,038	\$2,007,954,429	6.16%	N/A	
ARKANSAS	\$1,913,417	\$259,500	\$2,172,917	\$1,459,969,027	0.15%	0.15%	
CALIFORNIA	\$2,071,774,972	\$0	\$2,071,774,972	\$20,269,068,147	10.22%	N/A	
COLORADO	\$156,471,672	\$19,068	\$156,490,740	\$1,633,259,417	8.54%	N/A	
CONNECTICUT	\$237,981,325	\$100,169,478	\$338,150,803	\$2,960,948,487	11.42%	N/A	
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	\$456,731,853	1.55%	N/A	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$30,090,696	\$2,766,447	\$32,857,143	\$917,287,157	3.58%	N/A	
FLORIDA	\$211,403,195	\$149,714,985	\$361,118,180	\$6,726,454,617	5.37%	N/A	
GEORGIA	\$404,224,079	\$0	\$404,224,079	\$3,673,705,109	11.00%	N/A	
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$586,224,188	0.00%	N/A	
IDAHO	\$1,431,639	\$0	\$1,431,639	\$510,246,081	0.28%	0.28%	
ILLINOIS	\$165,398,443	\$76,743,966	\$242,142,409	\$6,424,741,398	3.77%	N/A	
INDIANA	\$56,337,114	\$55,278,187	\$111,615,301	\$2,935,398,738	3.80%	N/A	
IOWA	\$12,634,239	\$0	\$12,634,239	\$1,385,636,313	0.91%	0.91%	
KANSAS	\$38,345,569	\$35,817,792	\$74,163,361	\$1,222,928,982	3.66%	N/A	
KENTUCKY	\$154,172,283	\$77,218,848	\$231,391,131	\$2,675,474,096	7.10%	N/A	
LOUISIANA	\$711,511,230	\$0	\$711,511,230	\$3,270,380,597	24.12%	N/A	
MAINE	\$0	\$50,714,800	\$50,714,800	\$1,146,482,437	4.42%	N/A	
MARYLAND	\$21,744,971	\$118,255,027	\$139,999,998	\$2,911,522,330	4.81%	N/A	
MASSACHUSETTS	\$457,110,081	\$103,200,000	\$560,310,081	\$5,769,017,255	9.71%	N/A	
MICHIGAN	\$173,715,940	\$262,237,293	\$435,953,233	\$6,241,543,032	6.98%	N/A	
MINNESOTA	\$60,294,407	\$2,041,484	\$62,335,891	\$3,079,893,907	2.02%	N/A	
MISSISSIPPI	\$182,279,420	\$0	\$182,279,420	\$1,795,513,132	10.15%	N/A	
MISSOURI	\$436,165,215	\$199,562,749	\$635,727,964	\$3,609,633,176	17.61%	N/A	
MONTANA	\$205,849	\$0	\$205,849	\$85,697,755	0.05%	0.05%	
NEBRASKA	\$8,051,069	\$0	\$8,051,069	\$981,616,453	0.82%	0.82%	
NEVADA	\$73,559,997	\$0	\$73,559,997	\$541,969,257	13.57%	N/A	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$120,250,559	\$31,495,981	\$151,746,540	\$777,631,278	19.51%	N/A	
NEW JERSEY	\$849,201,243	\$314,898,384	\$1,164,099,627	\$5,754,986,373	20.23%	N/A	
NEW MEXICO	\$12,332,145	\$231,015	\$12,563,160	\$1,103,811,077	1.14%	N/A	
NEW YORK	\$1,396,721,442	\$592,800,000	\$1,989,521,442	\$28,739,135,499	6.92%	N/A	
NORTH CAROLINA	\$227,672,613	\$170,292,750	\$397,965,363	\$4,885,503,195	8.15%	N/A	
NORTH DAKOTA	\$177,068	\$988,478	\$1,165,546	\$338,609,805	0.34%	0.34%	
OHIO	\$548,514,478	\$93,432,758	\$641,947,236	\$6,859,803,468	9.36%	N/A	
OKLAHOMA	\$19,410,438	\$3,173,880	\$22,584,318	\$1,478,639,476	1.53%	N/A	
OREGON	\$13,085,559	\$19,975,092	\$33,060,651	\$1,949,066,404	1.70%	N/A	
PENNSYLVANIA	\$268,751,917	\$352,273,480	\$621,025,397	\$9,627,196,439	6.45%	N/A	
RHODE ISLAND	\$59,285,851	\$39,880	\$59,325,731	\$1,045,906,876	5.67%	N/A	
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$397,673,483	\$36,113,203	\$433,786,686	\$2,421,301,188	17.92%	N/A	
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$308,761	\$751,298	\$1,060,059	\$74,386,871	0.28%	0.28%	
TENNESSEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,178,613,010	0.00%	N/A	
TEXAS	\$1,228,613,442	\$292,513,592	\$1,521,127,034	\$10,351,321,230	14.70%	N/A	
UTAH	\$2,963,070	\$732,110	\$3,695,180	\$741,946,415	0.50%	0.50%	
VERMONT	\$21,380,122	\$6,805,593	\$28,185,715	\$469,021,440	6.01%	N/A	
VIRGINIA	\$12,883,240	\$5,599,517	\$18,482,757	\$2,477,370,906	0.75%	0.75%	
WASHINGTON	\$213,017,695	\$110,876,380	\$323,894,075	\$3,529,717,373	9.18%	N/A	
WEST VIRGINIA	\$64,110,423	\$20,121,775	\$84,232,198	\$1,352,706,331	6.23%	N/A	
WISCONSIN	\$8,329,433	\$1,901,430	\$10,230,872	\$2,754,463,533	0.37%	0.37%	
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,684,719	0.00%	N/A	
NATION	\$11,608,281,356	\$3,351,759,807	\$14,960,041,163	\$180,024,868,793	8.31%		

LOW DSH STATE UI/1% TEST

CHART 7.—FY 2000 DSH PAYMENTS AS REPORTED AS OF AUGUST 31, 2003—LOW-DSH STATES USING “3 PERCENT TEST”

[Key to the Chart of the Low-DSH Determinations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	FY 2000 I/P HOSPITAL DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 2000 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of August 31, 2003.
Column C	FY 2000 MENTAL HEALTH DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FFY 2000 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of August 31, 2003.
Column D	TOTAL FY 2000 DSH Total Computable Col. B + C. This column contains the total FFY 2000 DSH payments as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of August 31, 2003 (calculated as the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	FY 2000 MAP EXPENDITURES Total Computable. This column contains the FFY 2000 total computable medical assistance expenditures, including DSH payments.
Column F	TC DSH AS A PERCENT OF TC MAP Col. D/E. This column presents the total computable FFY 2000 DSH as a percentage of the FFY 2000 total computable medical assistance expenditures, calculated as Column D divided by Column E.
Column G	LOW-DSH STATES. (0 < Col. F, Col. F < 3%) FFY. This columns presents the Low-DSH States. Low-DSH States are those with a percentage entry in this column. States that are not determined to be Low-DSH States are indicated by an “N/A” entry in this column. Low-DSH States are those whose entry in Column F is greater than 0 but less than 3 percent.

STATE	FY 2000 DSH PAYMENTS AS REPORTED AS OF AUGUST 31, 2003 - LOW DSH STATE USING "3 PERCENT TEST"				FY 2000 MAP EXPENDITURES Total Computable	TC DSH AS A PERCENT OF TC MAP Col D/E	LOW-DSH STATES (0 < Col F, Col F < 3%) G
	FY 2000 I/P HOSPITAL DSH Total Computable	FY 2000 MENTAL HEALTH DSH Total Computable	TOTAL FY 2000 DSH Total Computable COL B + C	D			
A							
ALABAMA	\$353,173,872	\$3,301,620	\$356,475,492	\$2,696,375,751	13.22%	N/A	
ALASKA	\$0	\$12,856,407	\$12,856,407	\$481,281,298	2.87%	2.87%	
ARIZONA	\$99,044,300	\$23,831,900	\$122,876,200	\$2,225,044,559	5.52%	N/A	
ARKANSAS	\$2,256,113	\$489,254	\$2,745,367	\$1,579,670,038	0.17%	0.17%	
CALIFORNIA	\$1,908,263,981	\$0	\$1,908,263,981	\$21,150,591,241	9.02%	N/A	
COLORADO	\$156,812,187	\$37,352	\$156,849,539	\$1,944,315,136	8.07%	N/A	
CONNECTICUT	\$229,046,247	\$84,679,352	\$313,725,599	\$3,141,982,373	9.98%	N/A	
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	\$523,748,320	1.35%	1.35%	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$41,865,316	\$0	\$41,865,316	\$27,804,186	5.52%	N/A	
FLORIDA	\$200,639,087	\$147,845,588	\$348,484,675	\$7,525,260,503	4.63%	N/A	
GEORGIA	\$402,471,610	\$0	\$402,471,610	\$4,321,247,201	9.31%	N/A	
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$641,774,557	0.00%	N/A	
IDAHO	\$1,425,517	\$0	\$1,425,517	\$577,303,622	0.25%	0.25%	
ILLINOIS	\$268,235,653	\$76,163,753	\$344,399,406	\$7,487,650,546	4.60%	N/A	
INDIANA	\$210,827,165	\$89,215,480	\$300,042,645	\$3,469,954,218	8.65%	N/A	
IOWA	\$12,727,761	\$0	\$12,727,761	\$1,637,949,106	0.78%	0.78%	
KANSAS	\$8,218,580	\$39,228,949	\$47,447,529	\$1,410,784,891	3.36%	N/A	
KENTUCKY	\$149,108,180	\$35,157,066	\$184,265,246	\$3,034,651,254	6.07%	N/A	
LOUISIANA	\$783,767,534	\$66,163,772	\$849,931,306	\$3,443,268,554	24.68%	N/A	
MAINE	\$0	\$48,053,303	\$48,053,303	\$1,184,602,684	4.06%	N/A	
MARYLAND	\$21,190,107	\$114,809,891	\$135,999,998	\$3,029,230,799	4.49%	N/A	
MASSACHUSETTS	\$430,470,215	\$100,256,982	\$530,727,197	\$6,345,106,895	8.36%	N/A	
MICHIGAN	\$184,248,863	\$240,800,130	\$425,048,993	\$6,740,820,182	6.38%	N/A	
MINNESOTA	\$64,472,554	\$-362,996	\$64,109,558	\$3,322,271,106	1.93%	1.93%	
MISSISSIPPI	\$173,907,092	\$0	\$173,907,092	\$1,978,270,095	8.79%	N/A	
MISSOURI	\$277,424,914	\$178,006,610	\$455,431,524	\$3,939,465,005	11.56%	N/A	
MONTANA	\$218,638	\$0	\$218,638	\$450,228,083	0.05%	0.05%	
NEBRASKA	\$2,679,595	\$1,800,908	\$4,480,503	\$1,046,569,334	0.43%	0.43%	
NEVADA	\$73,999,996	\$0	\$73,999,996	\$598,188,701	12.37%	N/A	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$130,738,142	\$25,930,968	\$156,669,110	\$791,841,232	19.79%	N/A	
NEW JERSEY	\$662,166,005	\$371,056,837	\$1,033,222,842	\$6,069,845,736	17.02%	N/A	
NEW MEXICO	\$12,090,836	\$184,124	\$12,274,960	\$1,222,368,395	1.00%	1.00%	
NEW YORK	\$1,673,569,324	\$574,614,770	\$2,248,184,094	\$30,186,294,675	7.45%	N/A	
NORTH CAROLINA	\$244,588,558	\$176,842,977	\$421,431,535	\$5,464,863,059	7.71%	N/A	
NORTH DAKOTA	\$67,005	\$988,478	\$1,055,483	\$428,657,394	0.25%	0.25%	
OHIO	\$526,833,923	\$91,880,922	\$618,714,845	\$7,479,847,366	8.27%	N/A	
OKLAHOMA	\$19,056,935	\$2,928,955	\$21,985,890	\$1,613,315,267	1.36%	1.36%	
OREGON	\$13,378,984	\$19,975,000	\$33,353,984	\$2,110,836,095	1.58%	1.58%	
PENNSYLVANIA	\$309,818,588	\$367,216,721	\$677,035,309	\$10,387,923,145	6.52%	N/A	
RHODE ISLAND	\$83,023,623	\$41,182	\$83,064,805	\$1,151,540,265	7.21%	N/A	
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$328,486,456	\$46,066,796	\$374,553,252	\$2,664,608,648	14.06%	N/A	
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$313,851	\$751,299	\$1,065,150	\$395,665,682	0.27%	0.27%	
TENNESSEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,941,572,835	0.00%	N/A	
TEXAS	\$1,057,974,747	\$253,950,160	\$1,311,924,907	\$10,609,803,586	12.37%	N/A	
UTAH	\$3,321,798	\$819,606	\$4,141,404	\$510,160,698	0.51%	0.51%	
VERMONT	\$24,500,000	\$0	\$24,500,000	\$816,874,481	4.74%	N/A	
VIRGINIA	\$122,073,243	\$4,505,832	\$126,579,075	\$2,728,848,408	4.64%	N/A	
WASHINGTON	\$204,943,080	\$114,097,740	\$319,040,820	\$3,962,522,212	8.05%	N/A	
WEST VIRGINIA	\$64,331,873	\$16,308,842	\$80,640,715	\$1,378,345,915	5.85%	N/A	
WISCONSIN	\$9,008,835	\$2,583,720	\$11,592,555	\$3,266,901,080	0.35%	0.35%	
WYOMING	\$156,152	\$0	\$156,152	\$218,851,375	0.07%	0.07%	
NATION	11,546,937,015	3,348,998,219	\$14,895,935,234	\$195,156,897,787	7.63%		

: LOW DSH STATE U/3% TEST

CHART 8.—FINAL FY 1998 IMD DSH LIMITS
 [Key to the Chart of the FFY 1998 IMD Limitations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D).
Column F	FY 1998 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This column contains the States' final FFY 2002 DSH allotments.
Column G	FFY 1998 FMAP.
Column H	FY 1998 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col. F/G. This column contains FFY 1998 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 1998 DSH ALLOTMENT. Col. E x H. This column contains the applicable percent of FFY 1998 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as Column E x Column H).
Column J	FY 1998 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col. C or I. The column contains the lesser of the lesser of Column I or C.
Column K	FY 1998 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE. This column contains the total computable IMD DSH Limit from Col. J and converts that amount into a Federal share (calculated as Col. G x Col. J).

A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 99 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 99 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 99 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B+C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 1998 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT	FY 1998 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col F/G	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 1998 DSH ALLOTMENT Col E x H	FY 1998 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col C or I	FY 1998 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$413,086,229	\$4,457,170	\$417,543,399	1.07%	\$293,000,000	\$422,877,438	\$4,507,430	\$4,457,170	\$3,085,987
ALASKA	\$2,506,627	\$1,611,765	\$4,118,392	87.5%	\$10,000,000	\$16,722,408	\$14,638,754	\$14,638,754	\$8,753,973
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$28,474,900	\$122,391,000	23.27%	\$81,000,000	\$123,985,918	\$28,846,966	\$28,474,900	\$16,602,652
ARKANSAS	\$2,442,849	\$819,351	\$3,262,200	25.27%	\$2,000,000	\$2,745,744	\$693,932	\$693,932	\$95,460
CALIFORNIA	\$2,191,435,462	\$0	\$2,191,435,462	0.00%	\$1,085,000,000	\$2,117,899,688	\$0	\$0	\$0
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,776	\$174,495,217	0.34%	\$93,000,000	\$179,949,394	\$609,958	\$594,776	\$309,109
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$105,573,725	\$408,933,000	26.82%	\$200,000,000	\$400,000,000	\$103,267,503	\$103,267,503	\$51,633,752
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	100.00%	\$4,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$7,069,000	\$3,534,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,224	\$6,545,138	\$46,077,370	14.20%	\$23,000,000	\$32,857,143	\$4,667,247	\$4,667,247	\$2,267,073
FLORIDA	\$184,468,074	\$149,714,988	\$334,183,062	44.80%	\$207,000,000	\$415,844,839	\$166,642,625	\$149,714,988	\$83,316,390
GEORGIA	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$407,343,557	0.00%	\$253,000,000	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ILLINOIS	\$2,081,429	\$2,081,429	\$4,162,858	0.00%	\$1,000,000	\$1,436,988	\$1,436,988	\$1,436,988	\$0
INDIANA	\$315,866,568	\$89,408,276	\$405,274,844	22.06%	\$203,000,000	\$405,000,000	\$89,567,825	\$89,408,276	\$44,704,138
INDIANA	\$79,960,783	\$153,566,302	\$233,527,085	65.76%	\$201,000,000	\$327,308,256	\$153,526,355	\$153,566,302	\$94,305,068
IOWA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$8,000,000	\$12,949,020	\$0	\$0	\$0
KANSAS	\$11,587,208	\$76,663,908	\$88,251,116	86.87%	\$51,000,000	\$85,472,829	\$74,198,232	\$74,198,232	\$44,303,704
KENTUCKY	\$158,804,908	\$1,078,574,169	\$1,237,379,077	19.08%	\$137,000,000	\$194,685,235	\$37,144,909	\$37,144,909	\$26,138,873
LOUISIANA	\$89,957,958	\$132,917,149	\$222,875,107	37.88%	\$103,000,000	\$155,966,081	\$59,063,099	\$59,063,099	\$38,018,879
MAINE	\$22,228,467	\$80,958,342	\$103,186,809	84.47%	\$72,000,000	\$121,653,744	\$121,653,744	\$121,653,744	\$59,018,479
MASSACHUSETTS	\$489,653,946	\$105,335,054	\$594,988,999	18.36%	\$288,000,000	\$576,000,000	\$105,766,668	\$105,766,668	\$59,475,269
MICHIGAN	\$134,248,600	\$394,765,582	\$529,014,182	69.56%	\$249,000,000	\$464,726,644	\$323,343,582	\$394,765,582	\$193,513,323
MINNESOTA	\$24,406,000	\$5,297,474	\$29,703,474	17.82%	\$33,000,000	\$63,291,139	\$11,280,220	\$5,297,474	\$2,147,111
MISSISSIPPI	\$182,608,933	\$0	\$182,608,933	0.00%	\$143,000,000	\$185,497,470	\$0	\$0	\$0
MISSOURI	\$52,127,524	\$207,234,618	\$259,362,142	28.42%	\$436,000,000	\$716,523,401	\$204,205,669	\$204,205,669	\$123,912,000
MONTANA	\$6,411,702	\$0	\$6,411,702	0.00%	\$200,000	\$263,447	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEBRASKA	\$71,650,702	\$1,811,337	\$73,462,039	21.33%	\$5,000,000	\$6,173,941	\$1,792,370	\$1,792,370	\$1,095,303
NEVADA	\$92,672,916	\$0	\$92,672,916	0.00%	\$70,000,000	\$70,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$76,745,539	\$84,753,346	\$161,498,885	99.56%	\$140,000,000	\$220,000,000	\$141,555,846	\$84,753,346	\$47,376,974
NEW JERSEY	\$6,498,015	\$37,101,461	\$43,600,476	3.96%	\$90,000,000	\$120,000,000	\$35,730,461	\$35,730,461	\$178,652,231
NEW MEXICO	\$2,418,863,369	\$193,201,866	\$2,612,065,235	3.78%	\$9,000,000	\$12,394,967	\$2,324,786	\$2,324,786	\$185,000,000
NORTH CAROLINA	\$193,201,866	\$298,072,027	\$491,273,893	41.07%	\$1,572,000,000	\$3,028,000,000	\$605,026,146	\$605,000,000	\$302,500,000
NORTH DAKOTA	\$214,523	\$89,576	\$304,100	82.73%	\$276,000,000	\$410,640,358	\$232,072,627	\$232,072,627	\$148,938,220
OHIO	\$535,711,956	\$83,432,149	\$619,144,105	44.85%	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,464,957	\$898,471	\$696,135
OKLAHOMA	\$20,019,869	\$3,273,248	\$23,293,117	14.85%	\$16,000,000	\$17,419,749	\$7,150,537	\$3,273,248	\$2,246,378
OREGON	\$11,417,869	\$11,417,869	\$22,835,738	53.59%	\$16,000,000	\$22,835,738	\$11,417,869	\$11,417,869	\$2,246,378
PENNSYLVANIA	\$388,207,319	\$19,199,892	\$407,407,211	69.87%	\$259,000,000	\$396,622,250	\$63,216,710	\$19,199,892	\$12,276,692
RHODE ISLAND	\$108,603,167	\$20,387,533	\$128,990,700	21.45%	\$62,000,000	\$116,607,492	\$23,397,833	\$20,387,533	\$12,276,692
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$365,681,364	\$71,075,341	\$436,756,705	16.43%	\$13,000,000	\$16,607,618	\$71,213,243	\$71,075,341	\$50,619,214
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$321,120	\$751,199	\$1,072,319	70.05%	\$1,000,000	\$1,476,416	\$1,034,044	\$751,199	\$509,005
TENNESSEE	\$1,220,616,401	\$292,513,692	\$1,513,130,093	19.33%	\$978,000,000	\$1,571,933,206	\$303,901,531	\$292,513,692	\$182,177,485
TEXAS	\$3,624,116	\$3,624,116	\$7,248,232	20.51%	\$3,000,000	\$4,333,316	\$847,946	\$3,624,116	\$614,439
UTAH	\$19,979,252	\$0	\$19,979,252	31.21%	\$63,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
VERMONT	\$129,313,480	\$770,269	\$130,083,749	1.57%	\$70,000,000	\$135,948,728	\$7,705,932	\$7,705,932	\$5,620,663
VIRGINIA	\$171,725,815	\$163,636,038	\$335,361,853	48.80%	\$174,000,000	\$332,622,024	\$162,904,217	\$163,636,038	\$84,954,549
WASHINGTON	\$66,962,606	\$18,897,045	\$85,859,651	22.00%	\$54,000,000	\$66,973,899	\$18,897,045	\$18,897,045	\$13,910,086
WEST VIRGINIA	\$5,609,524	\$4,492,011	\$10,101,535	40.48%	\$7,000,000	\$10,101,535	\$4,813,746	\$4,492,011	\$2,643,099
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$67,000	\$106,315	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$13,592,675,245	\$4,180,441,192	\$17,773,116,437		\$10,276,267,000	\$18,164,908,397	\$4,395,260,390	\$4,164,272,353	\$2,321,617,681

CHART 9.—FINAL FY 1999 IMD DSH LIMITS
 [Key to the Chart of the FFY 1999 IMD Limitations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D).
Column F	FY 1999 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This column contains the States' final FFY 1999 DSH allotments.
Column G	FFY 1999 FMAP.
Column H	FY 1999 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col. F/G. This column contains FFY 1999 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 1999 DSH ALLOTMENT. Col. E x H. This column contains the applicable percent of FFY 1999 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as Column E x Column H).
Column J	FY 1999 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col. C or I. The column contains the lesser of the lesser of Column I or C.
Column K	FY 1999 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE. This column contains the total computable IMD DSH Limit from Col. J and converts that amount into a Federal share (calculated as Col. G x Col. J).

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 98 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 98 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 98 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	APPLICABLE PERCENT	FY 1999 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT	FY 1999 FMAP	FY 1999 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE	APPLICABLE PERCENT DSH ALLOTMENT	FY 1999 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE	FY 1999 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE
	Col B + C	Col B + C	Col B + C	Col C/D	Col E/F		Col F/G	Col E/H	Lesser of Col C or J	Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$413,006,225	\$4,491,770	\$417,498,000	0.0%	\$269,000,000	68.2%	\$388,335,495	\$4,141,208	\$4,141,208	\$2,868,615
ALASKA	\$2,508,927	\$1,811,765	\$4,320,692	81.3%	\$10,000,000	59.0%	\$16,722,408	\$14,638,794	\$14,638,794	\$6,753,979
ARIZONA	\$53,976,100	\$28,474,900	\$82,451,000	23.2%	\$10,000,000	63.0%	\$13,964,128	\$28,771,095	\$28,474,900	\$18,651,060
ARKANSAS	\$2,174,422,645	\$815,951	\$2,175,238,596	0.0%	\$2,000,000	74.9%	\$2,000,000	\$692,791	\$692,791	\$95,469,460
CALIFORNIA	\$2,174,422,645	\$815,951	\$2,175,238,596	0.0%	\$1,085,000,000	50.3%	\$2,071,747,976	\$2,071,747,976	\$2,071,747,976	\$95,469,460
COLORADO	\$174,495,217	\$584,775	\$175,080,000	28.8%	\$85,000,000	50.3%	\$168,071,395	\$572,696	\$572,696	\$285,727
CONNECTICUT	\$173,969,471	\$109,973,725	\$283,943,196	100.0%	\$4,000,000	50.0%	\$388,000,000	\$100,169,475	\$100,169,475	\$90,084,738
DELAWARE	\$395,395,415	\$7,089,000	\$402,484,415	28.8%	\$4,000,000	50.0%	\$388,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$3,534,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,330	\$7,089,000	\$46,621,330	48.8%	\$23,000,000	58.8%	\$22,857,183	\$4,967,247	\$4,967,247	\$3,267,073
FLORIDA	\$184,488,614	\$335,183,000	\$519,671,614	48.8%	\$23,000,000	58.8%	\$33,866,938	\$162,924,774	\$149,714,968	\$83,570,908
GEORGIA	\$407,343,950	\$407,343,950	\$814,687,900	0.0%	\$246,000,000	60.4%	\$410,120,712	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAWAII	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.0%	\$1,000,000	50.0%	\$1,431,633	\$0	\$0	\$0
IDAHO	\$88,408,276	\$42,081,425	\$130,489,701	22.0%	\$19,000,000	50.0%	\$395,000,000	\$87,802,942	\$87,802,942	\$43,901,471
ILLINOIS	\$75,980,765	\$43,276,784	\$119,257,549	67.0%	\$197,000,000	81.9%	\$322,897,488	\$172,336,116	\$153,966,302	\$93,690,801
INDIANA	\$11,597,700	\$38,911,240	\$50,508,940	86.0%	\$5,000,000	63.3%	\$12,634,233	\$0	\$0	\$0
IOWA	\$75,683,608	\$38,911,240	\$114,594,848	86.0%	\$49,000,000	50.6%	\$81,956,669	\$70,884,865	\$70,884,865	\$42,566,362
KANSAS	\$159,804,600	\$173,437,075	\$333,241,675	10.9%	\$194,000,000	70.3%	\$199,990,973	\$35,249,098	\$35,249,098	\$25,986,489
KENTUCKY	\$1,075,512,165	\$173,437,075	\$1,248,949,240	14.9%	\$95,000,000	56.4%	\$1,129,744,768	\$123,984,952	\$123,984,952	\$7,226,028
LOUISIANA	\$66,959,344	\$140,916,900	\$207,876,244	37.9%	\$95,000,000	56.4%	\$149,096,308	\$56,489,720	\$56,489,720	\$37,953,198
MAINE	\$22,235,467	\$140,916,900	\$163,152,367	84.7%	\$70,000,000	50.0%	\$140,000,000	\$18,285,028	\$18,285,028	\$9,167,518
MARYLAND	\$469,653,946	\$133,268,904	\$602,922,850	19.3%	\$24,000,000	50.0%	\$84,000,000	\$103,562,135	\$103,562,135	\$51,787,078
MASSACHUSETTS	\$133,268,904	\$133,268,904	\$266,537,808	69.3%	\$24,000,000	50.0%	\$24,000,000	\$24,073,407	\$24,073,407	\$19,072,488
MICHIGAN	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.8%	\$13,000,000	50.0%	\$13,000,000	\$11,420,401	\$11,420,401	\$2,707,468
MINNESOTA	\$182,608,033	\$182,608,033	\$365,216,066	0.0%	\$141,000,000	76.7%	\$182,608,033	\$199,564,037	\$199,564,037	\$120,217,276
MISSISSIPPI	\$271,946,524	\$725,131,144	\$997,077,668	28.4%	\$431,000,000	60.7%	\$702,191,233	\$199,564,037	\$199,564,037	\$120,217,276
MISSOURI	\$237,048	\$237,048	\$474,096	0.0%	\$200,000	71.7%	\$8,718,923	\$1,783,315	\$1,783,315	\$1,096,393
MONTANA	\$6,449,102	\$8,260,439	\$14,709,541	21.9%	\$5,000,000	61.4%	\$8,135,573	\$1,783,315	\$1,783,315	\$1,096,393
NEBRASKA	\$71,560,000	\$71,560,000	\$143,120,000	0.0%	\$37,000,000	50.0%	\$74,000,000	\$137,507,930	\$137,507,930	\$47,376,574
NEVADA	\$92,675,916	\$197,439,984	\$290,115,900	50.5%	\$135,000,000	60.0%	\$270,000,000	\$387,370,485	\$387,370,485	\$176,682,131
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$76,742,639	\$1,094,113,904	\$1,170,856,543	33.6%	\$92,000,000	50.0%	\$1,170,856,543	\$387,370,485	\$387,370,485	\$176,682,131
NEW JERSEY	\$6,490,015	\$5,744,904	\$12,234,919	3.7%	\$5,000,000	72.9%	\$12,234,919	\$68,445,415	\$68,445,415	\$26,100,623
NEW MEXICO	\$2,419,869,365	\$3,023,869,365	\$5,443,738,730	20.0%	\$1,482,000,000	50.0%	\$2,964,000,000	\$37,021,945	\$37,021,945	\$14,861,023
NEW YORK	\$193,201,965	\$236,072,627	\$429,274,592	54.9%	\$272,000,000	63.0%	\$429,274,592	\$295,026,924	\$295,026,924	\$148,681,323
NORTH CAROLINA	\$538,714,623	\$1,203,001	\$539,917,624	82.1%	\$374,000,000	69.4%	\$539,917,624	\$1,174,937	\$1,174,937	\$68,134,325
NORTH DAKOTA	\$20,019,969	\$629,164,714	\$649,184,683	14.8%	\$16,000,000	58.2%	\$629,164,714	\$8,260,439	\$8,260,439	\$4,248,328
OHIO	\$11,437,908	\$37,283,217	\$48,721,125	14.0%	\$16,000,000	70.8%	\$48,721,125	\$3,772,885	\$3,772,885	\$2,248,328
OKLAHOMA	\$19,975,092	\$14,413,000	\$34,388,092	63.9%	\$20,000,000	60.5%	\$34,388,092	\$16,718,985	\$16,718,985	\$10,133,508
OREGON	\$388,207,319	\$967,407,000	\$1,355,614,319	59.8%	\$518,000,000	53.7%	\$967,407,000	\$576,778,166	\$576,778,166	\$21,248,328
PENNSYLVANIA	\$108,503,167	\$110,901,000	\$219,404,167	2.1%	\$60,000,000	54.0%	\$110,901,000	\$2,400,164	\$2,400,164	\$1,248,328
RHODE ISLAND	\$366,681,364	\$438,757,341	\$805,438,705	16.4%	\$303,000,000	69.8%	\$438,757,341	\$71,259,723	\$71,259,723	\$49,774,928
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$321,120	\$1,072,419	\$1,393,539	70.0%	\$1,000,000	68.1%	\$1,072,419	\$1,027,924	\$1,027,924	\$512,068
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$1,220,515,401	\$1,613,028,993	\$2,833,544,394	19.3%	\$950,000,000	62.4%	\$1,613,028,993	\$94,096,672	\$94,096,672	\$182,674,328
TENNESSEE	\$3,621,116	\$4,555,702	\$8,176,818	20.5%	\$3,000,000	71.7%	\$4,555,702	\$857,397	\$857,397	\$615,038
TEXAS	\$129,979,252	\$29,050,548	\$159,029,800	31.2%	\$68,000,000	61.9%	\$99,046,313	\$9,046,974	\$9,046,974	\$5,620,619
UTAH	\$19,313,480	\$137,083,748	\$156,397,228	5.7%	\$18,000,000	51.0%	\$137,083,748	\$7,469,005	\$7,469,005	\$3,854,419
VERMONT	\$66,962,606	\$86,849,651	\$153,812,257	48.2%	\$71,000,000	74.4%	\$153,812,257	\$169,038,220	\$169,038,220	\$83,860,088
VIRGINIA	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	\$15,593,546	40.4%	\$7,000,000	68.8%	\$11,101,535	\$4,812,928	\$4,812,928	\$2,643,468
WASHINGTON	\$13,502,679,248	\$17,683,120,397	\$31,185,799,645	0.0%	\$9,986,295,000	64.0%	\$17,683,120,397	\$4,283,348,721	\$4,114,540,805	\$2,293,177,338
WEST VIRGINIA	\$6,609,524	\$0	\$6,609,524	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
WISCONSIN	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	\$15,593,546	40.4%	\$7,000,000	68.8%	\$11,101,535	\$4,812,928	\$4,812,928	\$2,643,468
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$13,502,679,248	\$4,180,441,152	\$17,683,120,397	0.0%	\$9,986,295,000	64.0%	\$17,683,120,397	\$4,283,348,721	\$4,114,540,805	\$2,293,177,338

CHART 10.—FINAL FY 2000 IMD DSH LIMITS
 [Key to the Chart of the FFY 2000 IMD Limitations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D).
Column F	FY 2000 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This column contains the States' final FFY 2000 DSH allotments.
Column G	FFY 2000 FMAP.
Column H	FY 2000 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col. F/G. This column contains FFY 2000 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 2000 DSH ALLOTMENT. Col. E x H. This column contains the applicable percent of FFY 2000 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as Column E x Column H).
Column J	FY 2000 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col. C or I. The column contains the lesser of the lesser of Column I or C.
Column K	FY 2000 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE. This column contains the total computable IMD DSH Limit from Col. J and converts that amount into a Federal share (calculated as Col. G x Col. J).

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	APPLICABLE PERCENT	FY 2000 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT	FY 2000 FMAP	FY 2000 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 2000 DSH ALLOTMENT	FY 2000 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE	FY 2000 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE
	Col B + C	Col B + C	Col B + C	Col C/D	Col C/D	Col F/G	Col F/G	Col E/H	Lesser of Col C or J	Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$415,006,229	\$4,451,770	\$419,458,000	1.07%	\$248,000,000	69.57%	\$356,475,492	\$3,801,453	\$3,801,453	\$2,644,871
ALASKA	\$2,906,827	\$1,611,765	\$4,518,592	87.94%	\$10,000,000	98.80%	\$16,722,408	\$14,638,754	\$14,638,754	\$6,753,979
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$28,474,900	\$122,391,000	23.27%	\$1,000,000	75.82%	\$122,876,214	\$28,587,787	\$28,587,787	\$16,770,684
ARKANSAS	\$2,422,649	\$819,351	\$3,242,000	25.27%	\$2,000,000	62.00%	\$2,745,367	\$893,037	\$893,037	\$905,460
CALIFORNIA	\$2,151,435,462	\$0	\$2,151,435,462	0.00%	\$986,000,000	45.82%	\$1,908,263,983	\$0	\$0	\$0
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,778	\$174,495,219	0.34%	\$158,000,000	51.67%	\$158,000,000	\$538,551	\$538,551	\$289,278
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$7,069,000	\$310,428,275	23.82%	\$164,000,000	50.00%	\$328,000,000	\$84,679,353	\$84,679,353	\$42,339,678
DELAWARE	\$39,532,234	\$6,545,138	\$46,077,372	14.20%	\$4,000,000	50.00%	\$8,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$5,354,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$184,468,014	\$149,714,988	\$334,183,000	44.80%	\$197,000,000	58.92%	\$348,949,188	\$156,151,080	\$156,151,080	\$4,345,939
FLORIDA	\$407,343,957	\$0	\$407,343,957	0.00%	\$241,000,000	59.19%	\$402,471,610	\$0	\$0	\$0
GEORGIA	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.00%	\$1,000,000	51.07%	\$1,428,571	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAWAII	\$315,868,908	\$89,408,278	\$405,277,186	22.06%	\$193,000,000	50.00%	\$386,000,000	\$85,155,617	\$85,155,617	\$42,577,809
ILLINOIS	\$19,960,783	\$153,586,302	\$173,547,085	65.16%	\$191,000,000	61.74%	\$309,381,840	\$203,434,877	\$193,986,302	\$94,811,928
INDIANA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$42,000,000	63.06%	\$12,686,330	\$0	\$0	\$0
IOWA	\$11,587,208	\$76,683,508	\$88,270,716	86.87%	\$42,000,000	60.03%	\$89,969,071	\$60,778,699	\$60,778,699	\$36,485,450
KANSAS	\$158,804,908	\$132,917,148	\$291,722,056	19.08%	\$130,000,000	70.53%	\$184,288,078	\$35,137,069	\$35,137,069	\$74,893,410
KENTUCKY	\$99,957,958	\$60,986,342	\$160,944,300	37.83%	\$84,000,000	60.22%	\$103,938,257	\$111,248,357	\$111,248,357	\$78,229,648
LOUISIANA	\$22,226,467	\$109,873,531	\$132,100,000	84.47%	\$68,000,000	51.46%	\$126,848,894	\$48,093,309	\$48,093,309	\$27,458,189
MAINE	\$469,653,946	\$109,873,531	\$579,527,477	16.38%	\$273,000,000	50.00%	\$356,000,000	\$108,288,943	\$108,288,943	\$57,458,189
MARYLAND	\$153,236,800	\$304,765,552	\$457,999,352	69.33%	\$237,000,000	51.11%	\$450,046,993	\$109,456,383	\$109,456,383	\$50,138,881
MASSACHUSETTS	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.82%	\$33,000,000	51.48%	\$44,102,956	\$29,216,912	\$29,216,912	\$14,989,270
MICHIGAN	\$182,608,033	\$0	\$182,608,033	0.00%	\$136,000,000	74.48%	\$177,069,393	\$11,424,636	\$11,424,636	\$2,706,414
MINNESOTA	\$27,048	\$207,234,616	\$234,282,664	28.42%	\$179,000,000	60.81%	\$626,342,753	\$178,007,759	\$178,007,759	\$107,712,489
MISSISSIPPI	\$37,048	\$0	\$37,048	0.00%	\$200,000	50.00%	\$216,929	\$0	\$0	\$0
MISSOURI	\$8,445,102	\$1,811,337	\$10,256,439	21.83%	\$37,000,000	60.86%	\$74,000,000	\$1,800,909	\$1,800,909	\$1,096,193
MONTANA	\$3,560,000	\$0	\$3,560,000	0.00%	\$37,000,000	60.86%	\$74,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEBRASKA	\$94,753,948	\$37,370,461	\$132,124,409	50.85%	\$18,000,000	50.00%	\$260,000,000	\$131,441,400	\$131,441,400	\$47,376,974
NEVADA	\$78,742,533	\$0	\$78,742,533	3.78%	\$18,000,000	50.00%	\$130,000,000	\$336,429,212	\$336,429,212	\$168,214,606
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$4,490,019	\$64,768	\$4,554,787	3.78%	\$18,000,000	50.00%	\$130,000,000	\$154,706	\$154,706	\$110,009
NEW JERSEY	\$2,418,869,368	\$193,201,956	\$2,612,071,324	51.98%	\$1,438,000,000	60.00%	\$2,872,609,658	\$574,514,778	\$574,514,778	\$287,307,589
NEW MEXICO	\$193,201,956	\$236,072,992	\$429,274,948	51.98%	\$248,000,000	60.00%	\$442,442,058	\$212,239,270	\$212,239,270	\$145,182,533
NORTH CAROLINA	\$214,923	\$98,478	\$313,401	83.17%	\$1,000,000	74.48%	\$1,420,000	\$98,478	\$98,478	\$59,068
NORTH DAKOTA	\$58,731,958	\$24,239,711	\$82,971,669	14.05%	\$43,000,000	50.00%	\$86,000,000	\$31,809,931	\$31,809,931	\$15,904,966
OHIO	\$24,019,968	\$13,273,268	\$37,293,236	53.89%	\$16,000,000	50.00%	\$32,000,000	\$19,976,029	\$19,976,029	\$2,248,378
OREGON	\$19,537,318	\$3,537,318	\$23,074,636	59.37%	\$10,000,000	50.00%	\$20,000,000	\$5,564,433	\$5,564,433	\$300,554,203
OREGON	\$38,261,415	\$5,387,852	\$43,649,267	16.16%	\$58,000,000	53.77%	\$93,720,719	\$2,332,338	\$2,332,338	\$1,254,040
PENNSYLVANIA	\$106,581,187	\$7,076,311	\$113,657,498	15.13%	\$282,000,000	69.95%	\$374,655,240	\$61,529,240	\$61,529,240	\$43,039,793
RHODE ISLAND	\$366,591,187	\$776,311	\$367,367,498	70.46%	\$1,000,000	63.72%	\$1,455,189	\$751,299	\$751,299	\$516,930
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$21,120	\$0	\$21,120	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$1,220,516,400	\$292,513,592	\$1,513,029,992	19.31%	\$806,000,000	61.16%	\$1,313,559,322	\$253,950,160	\$253,950,160	\$156,823,818
TENNESSEE	\$3,621,116	\$0	\$3,621,116	20.13%	\$3,000,000	61.16%	\$4,919,872	\$860,153	\$860,153	\$615,139
TEXAS	\$9,071,297	\$9,071,297	\$18,142,594	31.33%	\$18,000,000	63.24%	\$28,920,308	\$9,030,628	\$9,030,628	\$5,620,628
UTAH	\$19,713,482	\$7,770,268	\$27,483,750	45.79%	\$68,000,000	51.83%	\$127,733,698	\$7,240,282	\$7,240,282	\$3,741,064
VERMONT	\$171,725,815	\$163,036,435	\$334,762,250	48.92%	\$166,000,000	51.83%	\$320,277,831	\$156,373,901	\$156,373,901	\$81,048,593
VIRGINIA	\$66,962,608	\$18,987,045	\$85,949,653	22.09%	\$61,000,000	74.78%	\$81,572,633	\$17,946,091	\$17,946,091	\$13,420,087
WASHINGTON	\$6,509,524	\$4,992,011	\$11,501,535	40.68%	\$100,000	58.78%	\$11,908,813	\$4,818,859	\$4,818,859	\$2,640,404
WEST VIRGINIA	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
WISCONSIN	\$13,502,879,245	\$4,180,441,152	\$17,683,320,397	64.04%	\$9,278,300,000	64.04%	\$16,442,052,403	\$4,019,238,751	\$3,916,817,914	\$2,184,062,903
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$13,502,879,245	\$4,180,441,152	\$17,683,320,397	64.04%	\$9,278,300,000	64.04%	\$16,442,052,403	\$4,019,238,751	\$3,916,817,914	\$2,184,062,903

CHART 11.—FINAL FY 2001 IMD DSH LIMITS
 [Key to the Chart of the FFY 2001 IMD Limitations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This Column contains the States' total computable FFY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This Column contains the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE, Col. B + C. This Column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE Col. C/D. This Column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D).
Column F	FY 2001 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This Column contains the States' final FFY 2001 DSH allotments.
Column G	FFY 2001 FMAP.
Column H	FY 2001 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col. F/G. This Column contains FFY 2001 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 2001 DSH ALLOTMENT. Col. E x H. This Column contains the applicable percent of FFY 2001 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as Column E x Column H).
Column J	FY 2001 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col. C or I. The Column contains the lesser of the lesser of Column I or C.
Column K	FY 2001 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE, Col. G x J. This Column contains the total computable IMD DSH Limit from Col. J and converts that amount into a Federal share (calculated as Col. G x Col. J).

CHART 12.—FINAL FFY 2002 IMD DSH LIMITS
 [Key to the Chart of the FFY 2002 IMD Limitations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D).
Column F	FY 2002 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This column contains the States' final FFY 2002 DSH allotments.
Column G	FFY 2002 FMAP.
Column H	FY 2002 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col. F/G. This column contains FFY 2002 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 2002 DSH ALLOTMENT. Col. E x H. This column contains the applicable percent of FFY 2002 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as Column E x Column H).
Column J	FY 2002 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col. C or I. The column contains the lesser of the lesser of Column I or C.
Column K	FY 2002 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE, Col. G x J. This column contains the total computable IMD DSH Limit from Col. J and converts that amount into a Federal share (calculated as Col. G x Col. J).

CHART 13.—PRELIMINARY FY 2003 IMD DSH LIMITS
 [Key to the Chart of the FFY 2003 IMD Limitations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D).
Column F	FY 2003 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This column contains the States' preliminary FFY 2003 DSH allotments.
Column G	FFY 2003 FMAP.
Column H	FY 2003 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col. F/G. This column contains FFY 2003 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 2003 DSH ALLOTMENT. Col. E x H. This column contains the applicable percent of FFY 2003 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as Column E x Column H).
Column J	FY 2003 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col. C or I. The column contains the lesser of Column I or C.
Column K	FY 2003 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE, Col. G x J. This column contains the total computable IMD DSH Limit from Col. J and converts that amount into a Federal share (calculated as Col. G x Col. J).

CHART 14.—PRELIMINARY FY 2004 IMD DSH LIMITS
 [Key to the Chart of the FFY 2004 IMD Limitations]

Column	Description
Column A	STATE.
Column B	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the States' total computable FFY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE. This column contains the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FFY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FFY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D).
Column F	FY 2004 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT. This column contains the States' preliminary FFY 2004 DSH allotments.
Column G	FFY 2004 FMAP.
Column H	FY 2004 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col. F/G. This column contains FFY 2004 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 2004 DSH ALLOTMENT. Col. E x H. This column contains the applicable percent of FFY 2004 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as Column E x Column H).
Column J	FY 2004 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col. C or I. The column contains the lesser of the lesser of Column I or C.
Column K	FY 2004 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE, Col. G x J. This column contains the total computable IMD DSH Limit from Col. J and converts that amount into a Federal share (calculated as Col. G x Col. J).

A STATE	B INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	C IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	D TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	E APPLICABLE PERCENT Col D	PRELIMINARY FY 2004 IMD DSH LIMITS			H FY 2004 DSH ALLOTMENT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col F + G	I APPLICABLE PERCENT OF FY 2004 DSH ALLOTMENT Col E x H	J FY 2004 IMD DSH LIMIT TOTAL COMPUTABLE Lesser of Col C or I	K FY 2004 IMD DSH LIMIT FEDERAL SHARE Col G x J
					F FY 2004 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT	G FY 2004 FMAP	F FY 2004 FEDERAL SHARE DSH ALLOTMENT				
ALABAMA	\$413,006,229	\$4,451,770	\$417,457,999	1.07%	\$289,640,400	70.76%	\$409,385,724	\$4,365,687	\$289,640,400	\$3,088,724	
ALASKA	\$2,506,827	\$17,611,765	\$20,118,592	33.00%	\$10,596,600	58.39%	\$18,147,971	\$5,988,830	\$10,596,600	\$3,496,874	
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$2,474,900	\$96,391,000	23.27%	\$95,369,400	67.80%	\$141,792,150	\$2,988,678	\$95,369,400	\$19,152,218	
ARKANSAS	\$2,422,649	\$819,351	\$3,242,000	25.07%	\$2,440,879	74.67%	\$30,053,406	\$7,595,401	\$2,440,879	\$819,351	
CALIFORNIA	\$2,189,879,543	\$1,555,919	\$2,191,435,462	0.07%	\$1,032,579,800	50.00%	\$2,065,169,600	\$1,466,263	\$1,032,579,800	\$733,132	
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,776	\$174,495,217	0.34%	\$87,127,600	50.00%	\$174,255,200	\$593,958	\$87,127,600	\$286,973	
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$105,573,725	\$408,933,000	25.82%	\$188,384,000	50.00%	\$376,768,000	\$97,269,727	\$188,384,000	\$48,634,863	
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	33.00%	\$4,709,600	50.00%	\$9,419,200	\$3,108,336	\$4,709,600	\$1,554,168	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,234	\$6,545,136	\$46,077,370	14.20%	\$37,676,800	70.00%	\$53,824,000	\$7,645,336	\$37,676,800	\$4,581,959	
FLORIDA	\$184,468,014	\$148,714,986	\$334,183,000	33.00%	\$188,384,000	59.93%	\$319,674,190	\$105,492,483	\$188,384,000	\$62,186,720	
GEORGIA	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$407,343,557	0.00%	\$263,141,000	59.58%	\$424,876,797	\$0	\$263,141,000	\$0	
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	58.90%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
IDAHO	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.00%	\$8,551,019	70.46%	\$12,135,990	\$0	\$8,551,019	\$0	
ILLINOIS	\$315,868,508	\$89,408,276	\$405,276,784	22.06%	\$202,512,800	50.00%	\$405,025,600	\$89,352,862	\$202,512,800	\$44,676,431	
INDIANA	\$79,960,783	\$153,566,302	\$233,527,085	33.00%	\$201,335,400	62.32%	\$323,067,134	\$106,612,134	\$201,335,400	\$66,440,882	
IOWA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$20,486,621	63.93%	\$32,045,395	\$0	\$20,486,621	\$0	
KANSAS	\$11,587,208	\$76,663,508	\$88,250,716	33.00%	\$38,854,200	60.82%	\$63,983,920	\$21,081,694	\$38,854,200	\$12,821,886	
KENTUCKY	\$158,804,908	\$37,443,073	\$196,247,981	19.08%	\$136,578,400	70.08%	\$194,861,464	\$37,178,533	\$136,578,400	\$26,068,434	
LOUISIANA	\$1,078,512,169	\$132,917,149	\$1,211,429,318	10.97%	\$1,121,960,000	71.63%	\$1,021,862,348	\$112,117,988	\$1,121,960,000	\$80,310,122	
MAINE	\$22,226,467	\$60,958,342	\$83,184,809	33.00%	\$88,901,600	66.01%	\$149,828,208	\$49,443,309	\$88,901,600	\$32,637,528	
MARYLAND	\$469,653,946	\$120,873,531	\$590,527,477	18.36%	\$287,285,600	50.00%	\$574,571,200	\$47,402,124	\$287,285,600	\$32,701,062	
MASSACHUSETTS	\$133,258,800	\$304,765,552	\$438,024,352	33.92%	\$248,608,800	58.88%	\$446,607,264	\$105,603,251	\$248,608,800	\$52,751,625	
MICHIGAN	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.92%	\$38,854,200	50.00%	\$77,708,400	\$147,380,397	\$38,854,200	\$82,370,904	
MINNESOTA	\$182,608,033	\$0	\$182,608,033	0.00%	\$143,642,800	77.08%	\$186,555,475	\$13,249,772	\$143,642,800	\$4,628,607	
MISSISSIPPI	\$521,946,524	\$207,234,618	\$729,181,142	28.02%	\$446,234,600	61.47%	\$725,938,821	\$206,313,248	\$446,234,600	\$126,820,682	
MISSOURI	\$237,048	\$0	\$237,048	0.00%	\$5,904,833	72.85%	\$9,105,467	\$0	\$5,904,833	\$0	
MONTANA	\$6,449,102	\$1,811,337	\$8,260,439	21.93%	\$14,721,132	58.88%	\$24,580,284	\$5,389,929	\$14,721,132	\$1,084,810	
NEBRASKA	\$73,560,000	\$0	\$73,560,000	0.00%	\$43,553,800	54.93%	\$79,307,846	\$0	\$43,553,800	\$0	
NEVADA	\$92,675,916	\$94,763,948	\$187,439,864	33.00%	\$153,052,000	50.00%	\$305,321,000	\$101,020,929	\$153,052,000	\$47,376,974	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$736,742,539	\$357,370,461	\$1,094,113,000	32.65%	\$696,351,000	50.00%	\$1,212,125,000	\$396,111,795	\$696,351,000	\$178,685,231	
NEW JERSEY	\$6,490,015	\$264,786	\$671,276	3.78%	\$10,586,600	74.85%	\$14,157,114	\$284,786	\$10,586,600	\$190,707	
NEW MEXICO	\$2,418,869,569	\$605,000,000	\$3,023,869,569	20.11%	\$1,512,586,000	50.00%	\$3,023,819,000	\$605,000,000	\$1,512,586,000	\$302,900,000	
NORTH CAROLINA	\$193,201,868	\$236,072,627	\$429,274,495	33.00%	\$77,888,400	62.89%	\$442,110,422	\$145,896,439	\$77,888,400	\$97,169,512	
NORTH DAKOTA	\$214,522	\$956,476	\$1,170,998	33.00%	\$4,989,075	69.31%	\$7,274,300	\$988,478	\$4,989,075	\$675,229	
OHIO	\$55,711,566	\$92,421,738	\$148,133,304	4.85%	\$38,854,200	59.23%	\$64,695,289	\$95,940,167	\$38,854,200	\$55,340,223	
OKLAHOMA	\$20,019,868	\$3,272,438	\$23,292,306	4.05%	\$18,838,400	70.24%	\$26,620,046	\$3,768,851	\$18,838,400	\$2,299,129	
PENNSYLVANIA	\$48,457,968	\$19,975,092	\$68,433,060	33.00%	\$33,548,000	60.81%	\$38,723,894	\$12,778,988	\$33,548,000	\$7,770,840	
RHODE ISLAND	\$88,207,319	\$75,189,862	\$163,397,181	33.00%	\$95,407,001	58.76%	\$95,399,196	\$318,981,735	\$95,407,001	\$174,455,358	
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$108,503,167	\$2,397,833	\$110,901,000	2.16%	\$1,224,800	56.03%	\$109,271,462	\$2,362,600	\$1,224,800	\$1,323,765	
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$386,851,384	\$74,076,341	\$460,927,725	16.43%	\$308,478,800	69.86%	\$441,567,134	\$72,076,341	\$308,478,800	\$50,352,532	
TENNESSEE	\$321,120	\$1,912,899	\$2,234,019	33.00%	\$5,745,580	65.67%	\$8,749,170	\$2,887,226	\$5,745,580	\$493,378	
TEXAS	\$1,220,515,401	\$292,513,692	\$1,513,029,093	19.33%	\$900,711,000	60.22%	\$1,495,700,764	\$289,163,529	\$900,711,000	\$174,194,277	
UTAH	\$3,621,116	\$94,586	\$3,715,702	20.31%	\$10,205,551	71.72%	\$14,229,175	\$934,586	\$10,205,551	\$670,285	
VERMONT	\$19,979,252	\$9,071,297	\$29,050,549	31.23%	\$21,193,200	61.34%	\$34,550,375	\$9,071,297	\$21,193,200	\$5,564,324	
VIRGINIA	\$129,313,480	\$137,000,268	\$266,313,748	5.67%	\$92,519,327	50.00%	\$165,038,654	\$9,354,826	\$92,519,327	\$3,885,134	
WASHINGTON	\$163,836,435	\$171,725,615	\$335,562,050	33.00%	\$174,285,200	50.00%	\$348,510,400	\$115,008,432	\$174,285,200	\$57,504,216	
WEST VIRGINIA	\$66,962,608	\$18,887,045	\$85,849,653	22.00%	\$63,579,600	78.19%	\$84,568,585	\$18,603,009	\$63,579,600	\$13,987,602	
WISCONSIN	\$6,609,524	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	33.00%	\$49,177,300	58.41%	\$64,193,285	\$27,783,065	\$49,177,300	\$2,623,784	
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$117,740	59.77%	\$196,988	\$0	\$117,740	\$0	
TOTAL	\$13,501,123,328	\$4,181,997,071	\$17,683,120,397		\$10,147,583,456		\$17,964,747,611	\$3,441,993,075	\$10,147,583,456	\$1,868,148,779	

Addendum B: General Instructions and Mandatory Hospital DSH Reporting Requirements

States are required to submit, at least annually, DSH expenditure information by

December 31 of each year for the prior Federal fiscal year (FFY). For example, FFY 2004 reports should be submitted electronically to CMS central office no later than December 31, 2004. Total DSH expenditures reported on this form must

reflect the total DSH expenditures reported on the form CMS 64-9D for that year. States must use an Excel spreadsheet format, as specified in Addendum C.

Column	Description
Column A	Hospital Name/City Location.
Column B	Medicaid Provider Number.
Column C	Type of Hospital. Indicate if it is an acute, psychiatric, teaching, children's, rehabilitative or other. If other, specify type.
Column D	Type of Hospital Ownership. Indicate whether it is a privately owned and operated facility, State government owned or operated facility, non-State government owned or operated facility or a facility owned or operated by the IHS or tribal government.
Column E	Total Uncompensated Care. Indicate the total of the cost of services to Medicaid patients, less the amount paid by the State under the non-DSH payment provisions of the State plan and the cost of services to uninsured patients, less any cash payments made by them for the FFY being reported.
Column F	Total Medicaid Revenue. Indicate the total Medicaid revenue paid to the hospital for regular Medicaid payments, DSH payments and supplemental payments for the FFY being reported.

(Please see Addendum C for spreadsheet format and a sample spreadsheet.)

Addendum C: The Formatting Requirements for Submission of the Annual DSH Report

Excel Spreadsheet Format Requirements and Sample Spreadsheet

The following is the format for and a sample of the DSH report that States must submit beginning with FFY 2004:

Part I. Definition of Uncompensated Care

- Indicate the components and methodology used by the State to calculate uncompensated care.

Part II. FFY (Insert Year Reported)

Column	Description
Column A	Hospital Name/City Location.
Column B	Medicaid Provider Number.
Column C	Type of Hospital. Indicate if it is an acute, psychiatric, teaching, children's, rehabilitative or other. If other, specify type.
Column D	Type of Hospital Ownership. Indicate whether it is a privately owned and operated facility, State government owned or operated facility, non-State government owned or operated facility or a facility owned or operated by the IHS or tribal government.
Column E	Total Uncompensated Care. Indicate the total of the cost of services to Medicaid patients, less the amount paid by the State under the non-DSH payment provisions of the State plan and the cost of services to uninsured patients, less any cash payments made by them for the FFY being reported.
Column F	Total Medicaid Revenue. Indicate the total Medicaid revenue paid to the hospital for regular Medicaid payments, DSH payments and supplemental payments for the FFY being reported.
Column F1	Regular Service Payment. Indicate the regular Medicaid payments paid to the hospital, not including any DSH or supplemental payments for the FFY being reported.
Column F2	DSH Payment. Indicate the total DSH payments paid to the hospital for the FFY being reported. The payments and prior period adjustments.
Column F3	Non-DSH Supplemental Payment. Indicate any Medicaid supplemental payments paid to the Sample Excel Spreadsheet.

Authority: Section 1923(a)(2), (f), and (h) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396r-4(a)(2), (f), and (h), and Public Law 105-33). (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.778, Medical Assistance Program)

Dated: December 28, 2002.

Thomas A. Scully,

Acting Administrator, Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Dated: December 2, 2003.

Tommy G. Thompson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-6834 Filed 3-25-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[CMS-4071-N]

Medicare Program; Listening Session on Performance Measures for Public Reporting on the Quality of Hospital Care—April 27, 2004

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the first in a series of listening sessions to discuss next steps in the development of an expanded set of performance measures for public reporting on the quality of hospital care. Health care consumers, payers, plans, providers, purchasers and other interested parties are invited to attend this session to present their individual views. The opinions and alternatives provided during this session (and subsequent listening sessions) will assist us in our collaboration with the National Voluntary Hospital Reporting Initiative (NVHRI), as well as in our other hospital quality reporting and improvement efforts. Attendance at the listening session is free and open to the public, but advance registration is strongly encouraged.

DATES: *Meeting Date:* The listening session announced in this notice will be held on Tuesday, April 27, 2004, from 9 a.m. until noon.

Comment Deadline: Written comments must be received by July 30, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The listening session will be held at the Hilton Logan Airport, 85 Terminal Road, Boston, MA 02128; (617) 568-6700.

Written Statements or Comments: We will accept written comments, questions or other statements, not to exceed three

single-spaced, typed pages that are received by July 30, 2004. Send written comments, questions, or other statements to via mail to Lisa Lang, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Quality Measurement and Health Assessment Group, Mailstop S3-24-14, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850; or via email to lisa.lang@cms.hhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Lang, (410) 786-1182. You may also send inquiries via email to llang@cms.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In December 2002, the American Hospital Association (AHA), Federation of American Hospitals (FAH), Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) joined the Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Hospital Organizations (JCAHO) and CMS in the development of the National Voluntary Hospital Reporting Initiative (NVHRI), a voluntary initiative to collect and report hospital quality performance information. This collaboration expanded to include the National Quality Forum (NQF), Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), American Medical Association, Consumer-Purchaser Disclosure Project, American Association of Retired Persons (AARP) American Federation of Labor-Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO), and other external stakeholders. The collaborators support this initiative as the beginning of an ongoing effort to make hospital performance information more accessible to the public, payers, and providers of care and to stimulate the adoption of quality improvement strategies. As part of the NVHRI, hospitals across the country are currently voluntarily reporting a "starter set" of 10 clinical performance measures for three clinical conditions (heart attack, heart failure, and pneumonia) on the CMS Web site <http://www.cms.hhs.gov>.

In furtherance of this effort, we intend to engage the broad stakeholder community to identify its wishes for what should be included in an expanded set of measures for hospital public reporting. With input from the public and private sectors and from consumers, we will identify a set of measures that are both robust and of high priority to these stakeholders. The collaborators will host five listening sessions for this purpose. Sessions will be conducted in Boston, Orlando, Dallas, San Francisco, and Chicago. More detailed information about the

second and subsequent meetings will be presented in another **Federal Register** notice.

The discussion at the Boston listening session will draw upon, but not be limited to, the priority areas for measurement of clinical quality performance identified by the National Quality Forum, the Institute of Medicine, and others would like to receive about hospital quality of care. We anticipate that these listening sessions will help identify priority areas for assessing clinical quality of care, some of which have performance measures that are ready for the immediate next phase of public reporting and others, where the measures will need refinement or final testing. We also expect that some areas of interest will require additional research and development. After reviewing the set of measures determined to be appropriate for public reporting, we will ask the National Quality Forum to formally consider any measures that it has not yet endorsed.

The listening sessions are a key element of the CMS Hospital Quality Initiative. The Hospital Quality Initiative uses a variety of tools to stimulate and support a significant improvement in the quality of hospital care. The initiative aims to refine and standardize hospital data, data transmission, and performance measures to construct a single robust, prioritized, and standard quality measure set for hospitals. Our ultimate goal is that all private and public purchasers, oversight and accrediting entities, payers, and providers of hospital care would voluntarily use the same measures in their public reporting activities.

Through the listening sessions, we expect to be able to identify a robust and comprehensive measure set for hospital public reporting, and thereby support the efforts of the NVHRI, as well as the CMS Quality Improvement Organization (QIO) program and other CMS hospital quality improvement and reporting efforts. The listening sessions will provide a unique opportunity to consult with a broad and diverse set of public and private stakeholders to assess the face validity and demand for measures to be proposed for the next and subsequent expansions of the current public reporting activity.

In advance of the meeting, participants may wish to consult the CMS Hospital Quality Initiative Web site (<http://www.cms.hhs.gov/quality/hospital/>) to learn more about the NVHRI and other activities related to the CMS Hospital Quality Initiative. Participants may also wish to review