

APPENDIX—Continued

[Petitions instituted between 02/23/2004 and 02/27/2004]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
54,362	Bose Corp. (Comp)	Blythewood, SC	02/26/2004	02/24/2004
54,363	Tru-Mold Shoes, Inc. (Wkrs)	Buffalo, NY	02/26/2004	02/20/2004
54,364	Sandlapper Fabrics (CT)	Danbury, CT	02/26/2004	02/25/2004
54,365	Greenpoint Bank (Wkrs)	Lake Success, NY	02/26/2004	02/18/2004
54,366	Summitville Tiles, Inc. (Comp)	Summitville, OH	02/26/2004	02/19/2004
54,367	Holophane/Acuity (Wkrs)	Newark, OH	02/26/2004	02/19/2004
54,368	Multi-Form Inc. (Comp)	Bristol, CT	02/26/2004	02/18/2004
54,369	SEH America (Comp)	Vancouver, WA	02/26/2004	01/27/2004
54,370	Parker Hosiery (Wkrs)	Ft. Payne, AL	02/27/2004	02/09/2004
54,371	Boston Gear Colfax (Wkrs)	Louisburg, NC	02/27/2004	02/20/2004
54,372	Watts Regulator-Webster Valve Division (NH)	Franklin, NH	02/27/2004	02/24/2004
54,373	Eagle Tool Company (Comp)	Dyersville, IA	02/27/2004	02/25/2004
54,374	B and B Marketing (Comp)	Ft. Payne, AL	02/27/2004	02/23/2004
54,375	International Paper (Wkrs)	Georgetown, SC	02/27/2004	02/26/2004
54,376	Lisbon Textile Prints, Inc. (Comp)	Jewett City, CT	02/27/2004	02/05/2004
54,377	Russell Corporation (Wkrs)	Alexandre City, AL	02/27/2004	02/02/2004
54,378	Roaring and Cumberland Mfg. (Wkrs)	Sparta, TN	02/27/2004	02/24/2004
54,379	Carolina Rubber Roll (Wkrs)	Greenville, SC	02/27/2004	02/20/2004
54,380	Senior Flexonics Pathway Division (Comp)	Oak Ridge, TN	02/27/2004	02/26/2004

[FR Doc. 04-6553 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,537]

Pacific Rim Log Scaling Bureau, Lacey, WA; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On February 13, 2004, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The Department published the notice in the **Federal Register** on February 25, 2004 (69 FR 8701).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition was filed on behalf of workers at Pacific Rim Log Scaling Bureau, Lacey, Washington. The petition was denied because the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of section 222 of the Act and are not service

workers whose separations were caused importantly by a reduced demand for their services from a parent firm, a firm otherwise related to their firm by ownership or a firm related by control.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner does not refute the service designation but alleges that the subject firm is a “collective” consisting of timber companies, the workers “actually work for timber companies that own the [b]ureau” and the worker separations were caused by a reduced demand for services by “collective” members. The petitioner infers that the timber companies function as the subject firm’s parent company and the subject firm should be certified because several of those companies are TAA certified.

The Department conducted an investigation to determine whether the worker separations were caused importantly by a reduced demand for their services from a parent firm, a firm otherwise related by ownership or a firm related by control.

The investigation revealed that the subject firm is a corporation without a parent company or any affiliates. A board of directors, consisting of 33 members, is elected by shareholders to manage the corporation. Although most of the subject firm’s customers are shareholders, no individual timber company can have more than one person on the board of directors at any time. Furthermore, the main purpose of creating the subject firm was to promote fair competition among the timber companies. Thus, there is no firm controlling the subject firm.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 8th day of March, 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-6545 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-53,673]

S&S Distribution Center, a Subsidiary of Land N Sea Company, Inc., Roebuck, SC; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at S&S Distribution Center, a subsidiary of Land N Sea Company, Inc., Roebuck, South Carolina. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department’s determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-53,673; S&S Distribution Center, a Subsidiary of Land N Sea Company, Inc. Roebuck, South Carolina (March 5, 2004)

Signed at Washington, DC this 15th day of March, 2004.

Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-6544 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,802]

Sappi Cloquet LLC, d/b/a Sappi Fine Paper North America, Cloquet, MN; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Sappi Cloquet LLC, d/b/a Sappi Fine Paper North America, Cloquet, Minnesota. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-52,802; Sappi Cloquet LLC, d/b/a Sappi Fine Paper North America, Cloquet, Minnesota (March 5, 2004).

Signed in Washington, DC this 15th day of March, 2004.

Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-6549 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,848]

WSW Company of Sharon, Inc., a Subsidiary of Wormser Company, Rebel Screeners, Inc., Sharon, TN; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 30, 2003, applicable to workers of WSW Company of Sharon, Inc., a subsidiary of Wormser Co., Rebel Screeners, Inc.,

Sharon, Tennessee. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 22, 2003 (68 FR 43373).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers produce children's apparel and are not separately identifiable by product line.

New findings show that there was a previous certification, TA-W-39,088, issued on December 7, 2001, for workers of WSW Company of Sharon, Inc., Sharon, Tennessee who were engaged in employment related to the production of children's apparel. That certification expired December 7, 2003. To avoid an overlap in worker group coverage, the certification is being amended to change the impact date from May 12, 2002, to December 8, 2003, for workers of the subject firm.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-51,848 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of WSW Company of Sharon, Inc., a subsidiary of Wormser Company, Rebel Screeners, Inc., Sharon, Tennessee, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 8, 2003, through June 30, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 6th day of March, 2004.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-6551 Filed 3-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the

Employment Standards Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection: Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements, Supply and Service. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this Notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before May 24, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Ms. Hazel M. Bell, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S-3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693-0418, fax (202) 693-1451, E-mail bell.hazel@dol.gov. Please use only one method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or E-mail).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background: The Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) is responsible for the administration of three equal opportunity programs prohibiting employment discrimination and requiring affirmative action. The OFCCP administers Executive Order 11246, as amended; Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; and the affirmative action provisions of the Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, as amended (VEVRAA), 38 U.S.C. 4212. The regulations implementing the Executive Order program are found at 41 CFR Parts 60-1, 60-2, 60-3, 60-4, 60-20, 60-30, 60-40, and 60-50. The regulations implementing Section 503 are published at 41 CFR part 60-741. The regulations implementing VEVRAA are found at 41 CFR Part 60-250. The regulations require contractors to develop and maintain Affirmative Action Programs (AAP). OFCCP reviews these AAPs through its compliance evaluation process. The Supply and Service Scheduling Letter provides the contractor notice of its selection for a compliance evaluation and requests the submission of its Affirmative Action Programs and supporting documentation. The supporting documentation includes compensation data (Itemized Listing question number 11). OFCCP uses the Item 11 data purely to determine whether OFCCP should investigate a contractor's compensation practices further, as a means of targeting and allocating the agency's investigative resources. OFCCP is not using Item 11 data to make any kind of determination of whether a violation has occurred. OFCCP only determines that a violation has occurred based on careful investigation of a contractor's