

docket and will be available for inspection or copying at room PL-401 on the Plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this notice, call Rich Walter, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law (G-LRA), U.S. Coast Guard, telephone 202-267-1534. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Andrea M. Jenkins, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202-366-0271.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of the National Ombudsman of the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) has asked each Federal agency to adopt a policy that the agency will not retaliate against small businesses that question or complain about the way the agency does business. On February 11, 2004, the head of our agency, the Commandant of the Coast Guard, approved the following statement of Coast Guard policy:

If you question or lodge a complaint regarding a Coast Guard policy or action, to us or to anyone else, or if you seek outside help in dealing with a Coast Guard policy or action, the Coast Guard will not retaliate against you in any fashion. The Coast Guard wants you to be able to comment, question, or lodge a complaint about our policies or actions without fear that we will retaliate or try to discourage future questions or complaints. If you think the Coast Guard has broken this promise, we will investigate, take appropriate action, and make sure that mistakes are not repeated. You may comment, ask questions, or file a complaint about Coast Guard policies or actions by contacting your local Coast Guard office, or you can also contact the Small Business Administration Office of the National Ombudsman at 888-REG-FAIR (734-3247), fax: 202-481-5719, email: ombudsman@sba.gov.

Small businesses generally are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their field. If you need help determining whether or not your business qualifies as a "small business", contact the SBA's Office of the National Ombudsman using the information given in the preceding paragraph.

Dated: March 11, 2004.

John E. Crowley, Jr.,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Judge
Advocate General.

[FR Doc. 04-6037 Filed 3-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Transportation Security Administration

Notice of Intent To Request Approval From the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Three New Collections of Information; Registered Traveler (RT) Pilot Program; Satisfaction and Effectiveness Measurement Data Collection Instruments

AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration (TSA), DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: TSA invites public comment on the information collection requirement abstracted below that will be submitted to OMB in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Send your comments by May 17, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be delivered to Pamela Friedmann, Director Public Private Initiatives, Office of Transportation Security Policy, TSA Headquarters, West Tower, 11th Floor, TSA-9, 601 S. 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202-4220; or by e-mail at pamela.friedmann@dhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Conrad Huygen, Privacy Act Officer, Information Management Programs, TSA Headquarters, West Tower 412-S, TSA-17, 601 S. 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202-4220; telephone (571) 227-1954; facsimile (571) 227-2912.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Therefore, in preparation for submission of clearance of the following information collection, TSA solicits comments in order to—

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Description of Data Collection

TSA plans to conduct a pilot technology program in 2004, in a limited number of airports, to test and evaluate the merits of the Registered Traveler (RT) concept. This pilot program (RT Pilot) is designed to positively identify qualified, known travelers via advanced identification technologies for the purposes of expediting those passengers' travel experience at the airport security checkpoints and thereby enabling TSA to improve the allocation of its limited security resources.

TSA will collect and retain a minimal amount of personal information from individuals who volunteer to participate in the RT Pilot that will be used to verify an applicant's claimed identity, complete a background check, and, if applicable, issue an identification token prior to enrollment in the program. In addition, TSA will administer two instruments to measure customer satisfaction and to collect data on the effectiveness of the pilot technologies and business processes. The first instrument will be a survey of a representative percentage of the RT Pilot participants. The second instrument will be an interview conducted with the key stakeholders at sites participating in the RT Pilot. All surveys and interviews will be voluntary and anonymous.

The collection of information from individuals who volunteer to participate in the RT Pilot will be gathered electronically. This not only fulfills the requirements of the Government Paperwork Elimination Act, but it also facilitates the collection and processing of the data and provides an efficient means of retrieving credential information. Due to operational constraints and practical considerations, the RT customer service surveys and interviews will be conducted manually. RT surveys will be distributed at airports and the respondents may freely choose not to participate. The respondents who choose to participate in the surveys will be asked to return the completed survey in less than 30 days from the time of receipt; they may choose not to comply with this request. Key stakeholders involved in the RT Pilot will be asked to designate representative(s) to participate in short, individual interview sessions intended to evaluate the effectiveness of the RT Pilot from the stakeholders' perspective and to gather any additional feedback the stakeholder may wish to share. Stakeholders who choose to participate in the interview sessions will be asked to schedule an interview with TSA no later than 30 days after the completion

of the RT Pilot. Interview sessions will be conducted on a one-on-one basis at mutually agreed upon locations. Stakeholders may choose not to participate in the interview sessions.

Burden Estimates of Data Collection

For the initial RT Pilot program volunteer enrollments, we expect a total of 5,000 respondents and, based on an estimate of a 10-minute burden per respondent, a maximum total burden program-wide of 833 hours. For the survey submissions, we expect a total of 750 respondents and, based on an estimate of a 15-minute burden per respondent, a maximum total burden program-wide of 187.5 hours. For the stakeholder interview sessions, we expect approximately 20 stakeholder representatives to participate and, based on an estimate of a 45-minute burden per interview, a maximum total burden of 15 hours.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on March 12, 2004.

Susan T. Tracey,

Chief Administrative Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-6074 Filed 3-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[ES-960-1910BJ-4489] ES-052118, Group 36, Illinois

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey; Illinois

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will officially file the plat of the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines and the survey of the Lock and Dam No. 26 acquisition boundary in Township 9 South, Range 2 West, Fourth Principal Meridian, Illinois, accepted on March 11, 2004, in the Eastern States Office, Springfield, Virginia, 30 calendar days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

The survey was requested by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

All inquiries or protests concerning the technical aspects of the survey must be submitted in writing to the Chief Cadastral Surveyor, Eastern States, Bureau of Land Management, 7450 Boston Boulevard, Springfield, Virginia 22153, prior to the date of the official filing.

We will place a copy of the plat we described in the open files. Copies of the plat will be made available upon request and prepayment of the appropriate fee.

Dated: March 11, 2004.

Stephen D. Douglas,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor.

[FR Doc. 04-6078 Filed 3-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GJ-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[ES-960-1910BJ-4489] ES-052117, Group 35, Illinois

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey; Illinois

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will officially file the plat of the dependent resurvey of a portion of the north boundary, a portion of the subdivisional lines and the survey of the Lock and Dam Nos. 25 and 26 acquisition boundaries in Township 10 South, Range 2 West and the dependent resurvey of a portion of the east boundary, a portion of the subdivisional lines and the survey of the Lock and Dam No. 25 acquisition boundary in Township 10 South, Range 3 West, Fourth Principal Meridian, Illinois, accepted on March 11, 2004, in the Eastern States Office, Springfield, Virginia, 30 calendar days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

The survey was requested by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

All inquiries or protests concerning the technical aspects of the survey must be submitted in writing to the Chief Cadastral Surveyor, Eastern States, Bureau of Land Management, 7450 Boston Boulevard, Springfield, Virginia 22153, prior to the date of the official filing.

We will place a copy of the plat we described in the open files. Copies of the plat will be made available upon request and prepayment of the appropriate fee.

Dated: March 11, 2004.

Stephen D. Douglas,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor.

[FR Doc. 04-6079 Filed 3-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GJ-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Under 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2) and 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on March 5, 2004, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States et al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al.*, Civil Action Number CV 04-1490-RSWL (CWx), was

lodged with the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

The consent decree resolves claims against 38 defendants brought by the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") under sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, for response costs incurred and to be incurred by EPA and DTSC in responding to the release and threatened release of hazardous substances at the El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Area 1 Superfund Site in Los Angeles County, California. Under the Consent Decree, the Defendants will pay \$1,932,500 plus interest for past costs, pay all of EPA and DTSC's future costs relating to the interim remedy for the El Monte Operable Unit, and perform the interim remedy for the El Monte Operable Unit. The United States and DTSC covenant not to sue the 38 Defendants regarding the past costs, the interim remedy work, and future costs associated with the interim remedy work required to be performed under the Consent Decree.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States et al. v. Adams Family Trust, et al.*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-354/3.

Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, and the Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20041-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood, tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov, Fax No. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a