

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Census Bureau**

**Survey of Industrial Research and Development**

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before May 14, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Julius Smith, Jr., Census Bureau, Room 2135, Building 4, Washington, DC 20233-6900, 301-763-4683 (or via the Internet at [julius.smith.jr@census.gov](mailto:julius.smith.jr@census.gov)) and Raymond M. Wolfe, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 965, Arlington, VA 22230, 703-292-7789 (or via the Internet at [rwolfe@nsf.gov](mailto:rwolfe@nsf.gov)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

The Survey of Industrial Research and Development (R&D), has been conducted annually since 1953. The information collection involves the estimation of the expenditures on research and development performed within the United States by industrial firms. Historically, the survey has been sponsored by the National Science Foundation (NSF), with the Census Bureau acting as the collection agent. Under a joint project agreement between NSF and the Census Bureau, the Census Bureau plans to assume sponsorship and submit the R&D Survey for OMB review as a new collection.

Industry accounts for over 70 percent of total U.S. R&D each year and since its inception, the survey has provided continuity of statistics on R&D expenditures by major industry groups and by source of funds. The survey is the industrial component of the NSF

statistical program that seeks “\* \* \* to provide a central clearinghouse for the collection, interpretation, and analysis of data on scientific and engineering resources and to provide a source of information for policy formulation by other agencies of the Federal government,” as mandated in the National Science Foundation Act of 1950. Statistics from the survey will be released by the Census Bureau and published in NSF’s annual publication series Research and Development in Industry. The proposed collection will continue the survey for three years.

**II. Method of Collection**

The survey will be mailed to a statistical sample of approximately 31,100 companies to collect information on the amount and sources of funds for and character of R&D performed and contracted out by industrial firms, and information on sales and employment of the firms themselves.

**III. Data**

*OMB Number:* 3145-0027 (NSF).  
*Form Number:* RD-1 (long form); RD-1A (abbreviated form).  
*Type of Review:* Regular review.  
*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents .....	RD-1 RD-1A	2,600 28,500
Total .....	.....	31,100

*Estimated Time Per Response:* RD-1—18 hours; RD-1A—1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 75,300.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* The estimated cost to the respondents is \$1,235,673.

*Respondent’s Obligation:* Mandatory.  
*Legal Authority:* Title 13, United States Code, Sections 182, 224, and 225.

**IV. Request for Comments**

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or

included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 9, 2004.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 04-5734 Filed 3-12-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Bureau of Industry and Security**

**Safe Harbor Guidance**

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before May 14, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Stephen Baker, BIS ICB Liaison, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Management, Department of Commerce, Room 6622, 14th & Constitution Ave., NW., room 6877, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

The information is needed to enable the Department to confirm whether an exporter has resolved red flags surrounding their export transaction, thus qualifying for a safe harbor and avoiding prosecution. The information report is not mandatory. Exporters can qualify for this safe harbor on their own by taking certain steps outlined in the Export Administration Regulations. It is hoped that by offering exporters this option, it will provide additional

security to exporters in those cases where they want confirmation that the Department agrees that all red flags have been resolved.

## II. Method of Collection

Written submission.

## III. Data

OMB Number: None.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: New collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 to 4 hours per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 160 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$8,200.

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 9, 2004.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-5733 Filed 3-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-822]

#### Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not to Revoke the Antidumping Duty Order, in Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not to Revoke, in Part.

**SUMMARY:** On November 7, 2003, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we have made changes to the dumping margin calculations for the final results. We find that certain helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China were being sold in the United States below normal value by Hangzhou Spring Washer Co., Ltd. during the period October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2002. We have also determined not to revoke the antidumping duty order on the subject merchandise with respect to this company.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 15, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ryan Langan, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-2613.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 7, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative review of certain helical spring lock washers ("HSLWs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and its preliminary determination not to revoke the antidumping duty order, in part (*Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 63060 (November 7, 2003) ("*Preliminary*

*Results*"). We received surrogate value information from the sole respondent, Hangzhou Spring Washer Co., Ltd. ("Hangzhou"), on December 16, 2003. On January 5, 2004, the petitioner, Shakeproof Assembly Components Division of Illinois Tool Works, Inc. ("Shakeproof"), and Hangzhou submitted case briefs. On January 12, 2004, the petitioner and Hangzhou submitted rebuttal briefs.

The Department has completed the antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are HSLWs of carbon steel, of carbon alloy steel, or of stainless steel, heat-treated or non-heat-treated, plated or non-plated, with ends that are off-line. HSLWs are designed to: (1) function as a spring to compensate for developed looseness between the component parts of a fastened assembly; (2) distribute the load over a larger area for screws or bolts; and, (3) provide a hardened bearing surface. The scope does not include internal or external tooth washers, nor does it include spring lock washers made of other metals, such as copper.

HSLWs subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheading 7318.21.0030 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("*HTSUS*"). Although the *HTSUS* subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

##### Period of Review

The period of review ("POR") is October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2002. This is the ninth administrative review of the order.

##### Price Comparisons

We calculated export price and normal value based on the same methodology used in the Preliminary Results with the following exceptions: For the steel wire rod and steel scrap surrogate values, we included the Indian import statistics for France. For selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses, the total cost of production ("TCOP"), and total labor, we corrected programming errors. The corrected margin program deducts steel scrap revenue from SG&A expenses and TCOP, and we have excluded plating labor from the total labor calculation. Pursuant to section 351.408(c)(3) of the Department's regulations, we valued labor using the regression-based wage rate for the PRC published by Import