

**SUMMARY:** It is the intention of NRCS in Indiana to issue one (1) new conservation practice standards in Section IV of the FOTG. The new standard is: Drainage Water Management (554). This practice may be used in conservation systems that treat highly erodible land and/or wetlands.

**DATES:** Comments will be received for a 30-day period commencing with this date of publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all requests and comments to Jane E. Hardisty, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 6013 Lakeside Blvd., Indianapolis, Indiana 46278. Copies of this standard will be made available upon written request. You may submit your electronic requests and comments to [darrell.brown@in.usda.gov](mailto:darrell.brown@in.usda.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jane E. Hardisty, 317-290-3200.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 343 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 states that after enactment of the law, revisions made to NRCS state technical guides used to carry out highly erodible land and wetland provisions of the law, shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days, the NRCS in Indiana will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period, a determination will be made by the NRCS in Indiana regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of changes will be made.

Dated: February 19, 2004.

**Jane E. Hardisty,**  
State Conservationist, Indianapolis, Indiana.  
[FR Doc. 04-4602 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-16-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### Task Force on Agricultural Air Quality

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting; correction.

#### Correction

In FR Doc. 04-3457, in the issue of February 18, 2004, make the following correction to the **ADDRESSES**. On page 7616, in the third column, in the second through fourth lines of the **ADDRESSES** section, correct "Sheraton Imperial Hotel, Page Road, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709; telephone: (919) 941-5050" to read "EPA

Headquarters Campus, Room 111 A, B, & C, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone: (919) 541-5436."

Dated: February 26, 2004.

**Helen V. Huntington,**  
Federal Register Liaison, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 04-4603 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1310-16-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A-602-805, A-484-802, A-419-802, A-588-864, A-791-818, A-570-889]**

#### Notice of Termination of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 2, 2004.

**SUMMARY:** On February 20, 2004, Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC (Kerr-McGee or Petitioner) withdrew its antidumping petitions, filed on July 31, 2003, regarding Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide (EMD) from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa. Based on this withdrawal, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is now terminating these investigations.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph Welton (Australia) at 202-482-0165, Doug Kirby (Greece) at 202-482-3782, John Drury (Ireland) at 202-482-0195, Mark Flessner (Japan) at 202-482-6312, Matthew Renkey (South Africa) at 202-482-2312, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Case History

On July 31, 2003, the Department received antidumping duty petitions (petitions) filed in proper form by Kerr-McGee. The Petitioner is a domestic producer of EMD. The Department initiated these investigations on August 20, 2003. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa and the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 51551 (August 27, 2003) (Initiation Notice). On September 22, 2003, the United States International Trade Commission (the ITC) preliminarily determined "that there is a reasonable indication that an industry

in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, and South Africa of electrolytic manganese dioxide." See *Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Australia, China, Greece, Ireland, Japan, and South Africa*, 68 FR 55062 (September 22, 2003). On February 20, 2004, Kerr-McGee withdrew its antidumping petitions by putting on the record of the investigation a letter to the Department. The only other two U.S. companies which are known to produce EMD, Energizer Battery Manufacturing Inc. (Energizer) and Erachem Comilog (Erachem,) both filed letters dated February 20, 2004, stating that each "has no interest in the continuation of these investigations."

#### Scope of the Investigation

This investigation covers all manganese dioxide (MnO<sub>2</sub>) that has been manufactured in an electrolysis process, whether in powder, chip or plate form. Excluded from the scope are natural manganese dioxide (NMD) and chemical manganese dioxide (CMD), including high-grade chemical manganese dioxide (CMD-U). The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2820.10.0000. The tariff classifications are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

#### Termination of the Investigation

On February 20, 2004 the Department received a letter from the Petitioner notifying the Department that the Petitioner is no longer interested in seeking relief and is withdrawing its antidumping petitions, filed on July 31, 2003, regarding EMD from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa. Under section 734(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Tariff Act), upon withdrawal of a petition, the administering authority may terminate an investigation after giving notice to all parties to the investigation. We have notified all parties to the investigation and the ITC of Petitioner's withdrawal and our intention to terminate. Section 351.207(b)(1) of the Department's regulations states the Department may terminate provided it concludes that termination is in the public interest. We have determined that termination would be in the public interest given that the Petitioner is no longer interested in seeking relief.

Based on information currently on the record, the Department is terminating the antidumping duty investigations regarding EMD from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa.

This action is taken pursuant to section 734(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act and section 351.207(b)(1) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: February 25, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-4615 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-848

#### Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (PRC) in response to a request from Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Ocean Flavor). The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2002 through February 28, 2003. The preliminary results are listed below in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 2, 2004

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Addilyn Chams-Eddine or Thomas Gilgunn, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0648 or (202) 482-4236, respectively.

#### Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China on September 15, 1997. See *Notice of Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China*, 62 FR 48218. On

March 31, 2003, the Department received a timely request for a new shipper review under the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(c) of the Department's regulations on Shanghai Ocean Flavor. In its request, Shanghai Ocean Flavor identified Jiangxi Quanfu Aquatic Food Co., Ltd. (Jiangxi Quanfu) as the sole company that produced the crawfish tail meat exported for its new shipper sales. On April 30, 2003, the Department initiated this new shipper review for the period September 1, 2002 through February 28, 2003. (See *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 68 FR 23962 (May 6, 2003).)

On May 12, 2003 we issued a questionnaire to Shanghai Ocean Flavor. On June 17, 2002, we received its sections A, C, and D questionnaire response. On August 5, 2003, we issued a supplemental questionnaire to Shanghai Ocean Flavor. We received the response to this questionnaire on August 19, 2003. On November 7, 2003, we issued a second supplemental questionnaire to Shanghai Ocean Flavor. We received its response to the second supplemental questionnaire on November 18, 2003. We issued a third supplemental questionnaire to Shanghai Ocean Flavor on November 14, 2003. We received its response to the third supplemental questionnaire on November 20, 2003.

On August 22, 2003, we requested information from the U.S. importer of Shanghai Ocean Flavor's new shipper sales. We received its response to the questionnaire on September 11, 2003. We issued a supplemental questionnaire on November 7, 2003, to the U. S. importer of Shanghai Ocean Flavor's new shipper shipments. We received its response to the supplemental questionnaire December 4, 2003.

On September 15, 2003, the Department extended the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 120 days until February 24, 2004. See *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review*, 68 FR 53960 (September 15, 2003).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is freshwater crawfish tail meat, in all its forms (whether washed or with fat on, whether purged or unpurged), grades,

and sizes; whether frozen, fresh, or chilled; and regardless of how it is packed, preserved, or prepared. Excluded from the scope of the order are live crawfish and other whole crawfish, whether boiled, frozen, fresh, or chilled. Also excluded are saltwater crawfish of any type, and parts thereof. Freshwater crawfish tail meat is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) under item numbers 1605.40.10.10 and 1605.40.10.90, which are the new HTS numbers for prepared foodstuffs, indicating peeled crawfish tail meat and other, as introduced by the U.S. Customs Service in 2000, and HTS items 0306.19.00.10 and 0306.29.00, which are reserved for fish and crustaceans in general. The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

#### Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we conducted verification of the questionnaire responses of Shanghai Ocean Flavor. We used standard verification procedures, including on-site inspection of the exporter's and manufacturer's facilities and the examination of relevant sales and financial records. Our verification results are outlined in the *New Shipper Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat (tail meat) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) (A-570-848): Sales and Factors Verification Report for Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd.*, dated February 19, 2004 (*Shanghai Ocean Flavor Verification Report*). A public version of this report is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) located in room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building.

#### Separate Rates

The Department has treated the PRC as a non-market-economy (NME) country in all past antidumping investigations and in prior segments of this proceeding. See, e.g., *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Bulk Aspirin From the People's Republic of China*, 65 FR 33805 (May 25, 2000), and *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate from the People's Republic of China*, 65 FR 19873 (April 13, 2000). A designation as an NME remains in effect until it is revoked by the Department. See section 771(18)(C) of the Act. Accordingly, there is a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the PRC are subject to