

**SUMMARY:** It is the intention of NRCS in Indiana to issue one (1) new conservation practice standards in Section IV of the FOTG. The new standard is: Drainage Water Management (554). This practice may be used in conservation systems that treat highly erodible land and/or wetlands.

**DATES:** Comments will be received for a 30-day period commencing with this date of publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all requests and comments to Jane E. Hardisty, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 6013 Lakeside Blvd., Indianapolis, Indiana 46278. Copies of this standard will be made available upon written request. You may submit your electronic requests and comments to [darrell.brown@in.usda.gov](mailto:darrell.brown@in.usda.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jane E. Hardisty, 317-290-3200.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 343 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 states that after enactment of the law, revisions made to NRCS state technical guides used to carry out highly erodible land and wetland provisions of the law, shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days, the NRCS in Indiana will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period, a determination will be made by the NRCS in Indiana regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of changes will be made.

Dated: February 19, 2004.

**Jane E. Hardisty,**  
State Conservationist, Indianapolis, Indiana.  
[FR Doc. 04-4602 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-16-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### Task Force on Agricultural Air Quality

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting; correction.

#### Correction

In FR Doc. 04-3457, in the issue of February 18, 2004, make the following correction to the **ADDRESSES**. On page 7616, in the third column, in the second through fourth lines of the **ADDRESSES** section, correct "Sheraton Imperial Hotel, Page Road, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709; telephone: (919) 941-5050" to read "EPA

Headquarters Campus, Room 111 A, B, & C, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711; telephone: (919) 541-5436."

Dated: February 26, 2004.

**Helen V. Huntington,**  
Federal Register Liaison, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 04-4603 Filed 3-1-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1310-16-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A-602-805, A-484-802, A-419-802, A-588-864, A-791-818, A-570-889]**

#### Notice of Termination of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 2, 2004.

**SUMMARY:** On February 20, 2004, Kerr-McGee Chemical LLC (Kerr-McGee or Petitioner) withdrew its antidumping petitions, filed on July 31, 2003, regarding Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide (EMD) from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa. Based on this withdrawal, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is now terminating these investigations.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph Welton (Australia) at 202-482-0165, Doug Kirby (Greece) at 202-482-3782, John Drury (Ireland) at 202-482-0195, Mark Flessner (Japan) at 202-482-6312, Matthew Renkey (South Africa) at 202-482-2312, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Case History

On July 31, 2003, the Department received antidumping duty petitions (petitions) filed in proper form by Kerr-McGee. The Petitioner is a domestic producer of EMD. The Department initiated these investigations on August 20, 2003. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa and the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 51551 (August 27, 2003) (Initiation Notice). On September 22, 2003, the United States International Trade Commission (the ITC) preliminarily determined "that there is a reasonable indication that an industry

in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, and South Africa of electrolytic manganese dioxide." See *Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Australia, China, Greece, Ireland, Japan, and South Africa*, 68 FR 55062 (September 22, 2003). On February 20, 2004, Kerr-McGee withdrew its antidumping petitions by putting on the record of the investigation a letter to the Department. The only other two U.S. companies which are known to produce EMD, Energizer Battery Manufacturing Inc. (Energizer) and Erachem Comilog (Erachem,) both filed letters dated February 20, 2004, stating that each "has no interest in the continuation of these investigations."

#### Scope of the Investigation

This investigation covers all manganese dioxide (MnO<sub>2</sub>) that has been manufactured in an electrolysis process, whether in powder, chip or plate form. Excluded from the scope are natural manganese dioxide (NMD) and chemical manganese dioxide (CMD), including high-grade chemical manganese dioxide (CMD-U). The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 2820.10.0000. The tariff classifications are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

#### Termination of the Investigation

On February 20, 2004 the Department received a letter from the Petitioner notifying the Department that the Petitioner is no longer interested in seeking relief and is withdrawing its antidumping petitions, filed on July 31, 2003, regarding EMD from Australia, Greece, Ireland, Japan, South Africa. Under section 734(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Tariff Act), upon withdrawal of a petition, the administering authority may terminate an investigation after giving notice to all parties to the investigation. We have notified all parties to the investigation and the ITC of Petitioner's withdrawal and our intention to terminate. Section 351.207(b)(1) of the Department's regulations states the Department may terminate provided it concludes that termination is in the public interest. We have determined that termination would be in the public interest given that the Petitioner is no longer interested in seeking relief.