

nonprocurement programs and activities. A certification previously provided to that office remains currently valid until new or revised information applicable to that certification becomes available. In the event of new or revised applicable information, the MMS will require a subsequent certification before lease issuance can occur. Persons submitting such certifications should review the requirements of 43 CFR part 12, subpart D. A copy of the Debarment Certification Form is contained in the FNOS 190 Package.

Affirmative Action: The MMS requests that, prior to bidding, Equal Opportunity Affirmative Action Representation Form MMS 2032 (June 1985) and Equal Opportunity Compliance Report Certification Form MMS 2033 (June 1985) be on file in the MMS Gulf of Mexico region adjudication unit. This certification is required by 41 CFR part 60 and Executive Order No. 11246 of September 24, 1965, as amended by Executive Order No. 11375 of October 13, 1967. In any event, prior to the execution of any lease contract, both forms are required to be on file in the MMS Gulf of Mexico region adjudication unit.

Geophysical Data and Information Statement: Pursuant to 30 CFR 251.12, the MMS has a right to access geophysical data and information collected under a permit in the OCS. Every bidder submitting a bid on a block in Sale 190, or participating as a joint bidder in such a bid, must submit a Geophysical Data and Information Statement identifying any processed or reprocessed pre- and post-stack depth migrated geophysical data and information in its possession or control and used in the evaluation of that block. The existence, extent (*i.e.*, number of line miles for 2D or number of blocks for 3D) and type of such data and information must be clearly identified. The statement must include the name and phone number of a contact person, and an alternate, knowledgeable about the depth data sets (that were processed or reprocessed to correct for depth) used in evaluating the block. In the event such data and information includes data sets from different timeframes, you should identify only the most recent data set used for block evaluations.

The statement must also identify each block upon which a bidder participated in a bid but for which it does not possess or control such depth data and information.

Every bidder must submit a separate Geophysical Data and Information Statement in a sealed envelope. The envelope should be labeled

“Geophysical Data and Information Statement for Oil and Gas Lease Sale 190” and the bidder’s name and qualification number must be clearly identified on the outside of the envelope. This statement must be submitted to the MMS at the Gulf of Mexico Regional Office, Attention: Resource Evaluation (1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans, Louisiana 70123–2394) by 10 a.m. on Tuesday, March 16, 2004. The statement may be submitted in conjunction with the bids or separately. Do not include this statement in the same envelope containing a bid. These statements will not be opened until after the public bid reading at Lease Sale 190 and will be kept confidential. An example of preferred format for the geophysical data and information statement is included in the FNOS 190 Package.

Please refer to NTL No. 2003–G05 for more detail concerning submission of the geophysical data and information statement, making the data available to the MMS following the lease sale, preferred format, reimbursement for costs, and confidentiality.

Dated: February 5, 2004.

R.M. “Johnnie” Burton,

Director, Minerals Management Service.

[FR Doc. 04–3028 Filed 2–11–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open SystemC Initiative (“OSCI”)

Notice is hereby given that, on January 12, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Open SystemC Initiative (“OSCI”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Prosilog SA, Cergy-Prefecture, France; Panasonic, Secaucus, NJ; and Summit Design, Inc., Burlington, MA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Future Design Automation, Tokyo, Japan has been dropped as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned

activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OSCI intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 9, 2001, OSCI filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on January 3, 2002 (67 FR 350).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 22, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 16, 2003 (68 FR 26649).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04–3065 Filed 2–11–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”)

Notice is hereby given that, on January 6, 2004, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Advanced Media Technology Co., Ltd., Seongnam-City, Republic of Korea; AMX Corporation, Richardson, TX; Conexant Systems, Inc., San Diego, CA; DCM, Digital Communication Media AB, Kista, Sweden; Digipack Optical Disc, SA, Beriain, Spain; Eastern Asia Technology Limited, Singapore, Singapore; Ellion Digital Inc., Kyonggi-do, Republic of Korea; Pinnacale Systems, GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany; OSM LLC, Rochester, NY; Sandmartin Zhongshan Electronic Co., Ltd., Guangdong, People’s Republic of China; SoundMax Electronics Ltd., Hong Kong, Hong Kong-China; and WIS Technologies, Inc., San Jose, CA have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, ATL Electronics (M)Sdn. Bhd., Kedah, Malaysia; and ViXS Systems In.,

Toronto, Ontario, Canada have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April, 11, 2001, DVD CCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 8, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 12, 2003 (68 FR 64124).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04-3064 Filed 2-11-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—USB Flash Drive Allowance (“UFDA”)

Notice is hereby given that, on January 12, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), USB Flash Drive Alliance (“UFDA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Phison, Hsinchu, Taiwan; AddOn Technology Co., Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan; Alcor Micro Corp., Taipei, Taiwan; DataFab Systems, Inc., Taipei, Taiwan; and GlobalWare Solutions, Inc., Redwood City, CA have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and UFDA intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 12, 2003, UFDA filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 12, 2003 (68 FR 69423).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04-3066 Filed 2-11-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Ernesto A. Cantu, M.D., Revocation of Registration

On January 9, 2003, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Ernesto A. Cantu, M.D. (Dr. Cantu). Dr. Cantu was notified of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AC9115660, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), (a)(4), and 823(f), for reason that his continued registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. The order also notified Dr. Cantu that should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, his hearing right would be deemed waived.

The Order to Show Cause was sent by certified mail to Dr. Cantu at his registered location in San Antonio, Texas, but was subsequently returned to DEA with a post office notation “Returned to Sender—Unclaimed” stamped to the mailing envelope. According to the investigative file, a second copy of the Order to Show Cause was sent by facsimile machine on February 11, 2003, to Dr. Cantu’s attorney who accepted service on behalf of his client. Nevertheless, DEA has not received a request for hearing or any other reply from Dr. Cantu or anyone purporting to represent him in this matter.

Therefore, the Acting Deputy Administrator of DEA, finding that (1) thirty days having passed since the attempted delivery of the Order to Show Cause at Dr. Cantu’s registered address, (2) the Order to Show Cause having been returned and DEA’s unsuccessful attempts at redelivery of the same, and (3) no request for hearing having been received, concludes that Dr. Cantu is deemed to have waived his hearing right. *See David W. Linder*, 67 FR 12579 (2002). After considering material from the investigative file in this matter, the

Acting Deputy Administrator now enters her final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43(d) and (e) and 1301.46.

The Deputy Administrator finds that on December 7, 2001, Dr. Cantu entered into an Agreed Order with the Texas State Board of Medical Examiners (Board). One finding of the Agreed Order was that Dr. Cantu entered into a financial relationship with Pill Box Pharmacy (Pill Box), a drug store-pharmacy concern located in San Antonio, Texas, to provide controlled substances to individuals over the internet. The Agreed Order recounted that Pill Box ran an internet site which provided controlled substances and dangerous drugs to individuals in Texas and throughout the United States. The Agreed Order also found that Dr. Cantu agreed to provide consultations on behalf of the pharmacy in exchange for financial compensation.

The Board’s Agreed Order also found that between January 1, 2000 and July 2001, Dr. Cantu issued “well over 10,000 prescriptions” for controlled substances and dangerous drugs through Pill Box, without establishing a proper physician-patient relationship or performing a mental or physical exam. The Agreed Order further recounted instances where Dr. Cantu permitted his girl friend to represent herself as a doctor and provide telephone consultations with patients in connection with the internet prescribing of controlled substances. The Agreed Order further found that Dr. Cantu issued numerous prescriptions for controlled substances to individuals he had never met or examined, and in some instances, Dr. Cantu’s prescribing to these customers furthered their addictions to drugs. Dr. Cantu was also found to have issued a fictitious prescription for injectable Demerol, a Schedule II controlled substance, in the name of a patient that never received the prescription or the drug, and the Board also found probable cause to believe that Dr. Cantu and his girlfriend were abusing Demerol.

As part of the Agreed Order, the Board ordered the suspension of Dr. Cantu’s medical license for no less than one year until such time as Dr. Cantu requests in writing to have the suspension stayed or lifted and personally appears before the Board to demonstrate his fitness to practice medicine. There is no evidence before the Acting Deputy Administrator however, that Dr. Cantu’s license to practice medicine in the State of Texas has been reinstated.

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a), the Acting Deputy Administrator may