

interval, in the 1559–1605 MHz band. The e.i.r.p. of discrete emissions of less than 700 Hz bandwidth from such stations shall not exceed –80 dBW, averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval, in the 1559–1605 MHz band. Standard A Inmarsat terminals used as Global Maritime Distress and Safety System ship earth stations that do not meet the e.i.r.p. density limits specified in this paragraph may continue operation until December 31, 2007. Inmarsat-B terminals manufactured more than six months after **Federal Register** publication of the rule changes adopted in FCC 03–283 must meet these limits. Inmarsat B terminals manufactured before then are temporarily grandfathered under the condition that no interference is caused by these terminals to aeronautical satellite radio-navigation systems. The full-compliance deadline for grandfathered Inmarsat-B terminals is December 31, 2012.

(e) The e.i.r.p. density of emissions from mobile earth stations with assigned uplink frequencies between 1990 MHz and 2025 MHz shall not exceed –70 dBW/MHz, averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval, in frequencies between 1559 MHz and 1610 MHz. The e.i.r.p. of discrete emissions of less than 700 Hz bandwidth from such stations between 1559 MHz and 1605 MHz shall not exceed –80 dBW, averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval. The e.i.r.p. of discrete emissions of less than 700 Hz bandwidth from such stations between 1605 MHz and 1610 MHz manufactured more than six months after **Federal Register** publication of the rule changes adopted in FCC 03–283 shall not exceed –80 dBW, averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval.

(f) Mobile earth stations placed in service after July 21, 2002 with assigned uplink frequencies in the 1610–1660.5 MHz band shall suppress the power density of emissions in the 1605–1610 MHz band to an extent determined by linear interpolation from –70 dBW/MHz at 1605 MHz to –10 dBW/MHz at 1610 MHz.

(g) Mobile earth stations manufactured more than six months after **Federal Register** publication of the rule changes adopted in FCC 03–283 with assigned uplink frequencies in the 1610–1626.5 MHz band shall suppress the power density of emissions in the 1605–1610 MHz band-segment to an extent determined by linear interpolation from –70 dBW/MHz at 1605 MHz to –10 dBW/MHz at 1610 MHz averaged over any 2 millisecond

active transmission interval. The e.i.r.p. of discrete emissions of less than 700 Hz bandwidth from such stations shall not exceed a level determined by linear interpolation from –80 dBW at 1605 MHz to –20 dBW at 1610 MHz, averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval.

(h) Mobile earth stations manufactured more than six months after **Federal Register** publication of the rule changes adopted in FCC 03–283 with assigned uplink frequencies in the 1626.5–1660.5 MHz band shall suppress the power density of emissions in the 1605–1610 MHz band-segment to an extent determined by linear interpolation from –70 dBW/MHz at 1605 MHz to –46 dBW/MHz at 1610 MHz, averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval. The e.i.r.p. of discrete emissions of less than 700 Hz bandwidth from such stations shall not exceed a level determined by linear interpolation from –80 dBW at 1605 MHz to –56 dBW at 1610 MHz, averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval.

(i) The peak e.i.r.p. density of carrier-off state emissions from mobile earth stations manufactured more than six months after **Federal Register** publication of the rule changes adopted in FCC 03–283 with assigned uplink frequencies between 1 and 3 GHz shall not exceed –80 dBW/MHz in the 1559–1610 MHz band averaged over any 2 millisecond active transmission interval.

(j) A Root-Mean-Square detector shall be used for all power density measurements.

[FR Doc. 04–2530 Filed 2–5–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 27

[WT Docket No. 02–353; FCC 03–251]

#### Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document the Commission adopts service rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands, including provisions for application, licensing, operating and technical rules, and for competitive bidding. The Commission takes this action to facilitate the provision of new

services to the public, and to encourage optimum use of these frequencies.

**DATES:** Effective April 6, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Spencer or Eli Johnson, Attorneys, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at 202–418–1310.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's *Report and Order* in WT Docket No. 02–353, FCC 03–251, adopted on October 16, 2003 and released on November 25, 2003. The complete text of the *Report and Order* is available on the Commission's Internet site, at <http://www.fcc.gov>. It is also available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC, and may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., CY–B4202, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, facsimilie 202–0863–2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com).

#### I. Overview

1. The *Report and Order* adopts licensing, technical, and competitive bidding rules to govern the use of the spectrum at 1710–1755 and 2110–2155 MHz, which had previously been allocated for advanced wireless services, in a manner that will enable service providers to put this spectrum to use for any purpose consistent with its allocation. Specifically, the *Report and Order* decides the following issues. The flexible use of this spectrum is in the public interest and any use of this spectrum that is consistent with the spectrum's fixed and mobile allocation is permitted. The spectrum will be licensed under the Commission's flexible, market-oriented part 27 rules, as those rules are modified to reflect the particular characteristics of this spectrum. The licenses will be assigned through competitive bidding. Licenses will be issued using a geographic area licensing approach, with a mixture of licensing areas to provide for a variety of needs, including both large service providers and small and rural service providers. Spectrum blocks will be composed of different bandwidths to satisfy a variety of needs.

2. Applicants and licensees must report the regulatory status of their service offerings. There will be no ownership restrictions other than those contained in section 310 and no spectrum aggregation limits or eligibility restrictions. The initial license term will be 15 years with 10 year renewal terms.

Licensees will be subject to the substantial service requirement of 47 CFR 27.14. No interim performance requirements are imposed. Disaggregation and partitioning will be permitted. Other rules of general applicability may apply to licensees in these bands (*i.e.*, the ULS rules in part 1, the CMRS rules in part 20, EEO rules and 911 rules).

3. Mobile transmissions will be allowed in the 1710–1755 MHz block and base transmissions in the 2110–2155 MHz block. The Order establishes in-band and out-of-band interference criteria, rules to avoid interference with grandfathered Government operations, and, radiofrequency standards and coordination requirements along the Canadian and Mexican borders.

4. Licenses will be assigned through use of part 1 competitive bidding rules. There will be bidding credits of 15% for small businesses and 25% for very small businesses.

## II. Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

5. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA), an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) was incorporated in the Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 1.7 and 2.1 GHz Bands Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), 67 FR 78209, (December 23, 2002). The Commission sought written public comment on the proposals in the NPRM, including comment on the IRFA. This present Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (FRFA) conforms to the RFA.

### A. Need for, and Objectives of, Adopted Rules

6. In the *Report and Order*, we adopt service rules for Advanced Wireless Services (AWS) in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands, including provisions for application, licensing, operating and technical rules, and for competitive bidding. Licensees in these bands will have the flexibility to provide any fixed or mobile service that is consistent with the allocations for this spectrum. We will license this spectrum under our market-oriented part 27 rules and, in order to accommodate differing needs, our band plan includes both localized and regional geographic service areas and symmetrically paired spectrum blocks with the pairings being composed of different bandwidths. Our licensing plan will allow the marketplace rather than the Commission to ultimately determine what services are offered in this spectrum and what technologies are utilized to provide these services. The licensing framework that we adopt for these bands will ensure that this spectrum is efficiently

utilized and will foster the development of new and innovative technologies and services, as well as encourage the growth and development of broadband services.

7. Our actions bring us closer to our goals of achieving the universal availability of broadband access and increasing competition in the provision of such broadband services both in terms of the types of services offered and in the technologies utilized to provide those services. The widespread deployment of broadband will bring new services to consumers, stimulate economic activity, improve national productivity, and advance many other objectives—such as improving education, and advancing economic opportunity for more Americans. By encouraging the growth and development of broadband, our actions today also foster the development of facilities-based competition. We achieve these objectives by taking a market-oriented approach to licensing this spectrum that provides greater certainty, minimal regulatory intervention, and leads to greater benefits to consumers.

### B. Summary of Significant Issues Raised by Public Comments in Response to the IRFA

8. We received no comments directly in response to the IRFA in this proceeding. We did, however, consider the potential impact of our rules on smaller entities. For example, we have adopted a building block approach to the licensing of this spectrum, including some smaller geographic licensing areas and some smaller spectrum block sizes. We have also provided for partitioning and disaggregation of licenses and we have adopted spectrum leasing policies. Finally, we have adopted 15 percent and 25 percent “bidding credits” for small and very small businesses, respectively. These policies should provide increased opportunities for small entities to acquire the appropriate amount of spectrum for their particular needs.

### C. Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which the Adopted Rules Will Apply

9. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of and, where feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by the proposed rules, if adopted. The RFA generally defines the term “small entity” as having the same meaning as the terms “small business,” “small organization,” and “small government jurisdiction.” In addition, the term “small business” has the same meaning as the term “small business concern” under the Small

Business Act. A small business is one which: (i) Is independently owned and operated; (ii) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (iii) satisfies any additional criteria established by the SBA. Nationwide, there are approximately 22.4 million small businesses, total, according to the SBA data.

10. A small organization is generally “any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.” Nationwide, as of 1992, there were approximately 275,801 small organizations. Last, the definition of “small governmental jurisdiction” is one with populations of fewer than 50,000. The term “small governmental jurisdiction” is defined as “governments of cities, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts, with a population of less than fifty thousand.” As of 1997, there were about 87,453 governmental jurisdictions in the United States. This number includes 39,044 county governments, municipalities, and townships, of which 37,546 (approximately 96.2%) have populations of fewer than 50,000, and of which 1,498 have populations of 50,000 or more. Thus we estimate the number of small governmental jurisdictions overall to be 84,098 or fewer.

11. The rules adopted in the Order affect applicants who wish to provide service in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands. As discussed in the Order, we do not know precisely the type of service that a licensee in these bands might seek to provide. Nonetheless, we anticipate that the services that will be deployed in these bands may have capital requirements comparable to those in the broadband Personal Communications Service (PCS), and that the licensees in these bands will be presented with issues and costs similar to those presented to broadband PCS licensees. Further, at the time the broadband PCS service was established, it was similarly anticipated that it would facilitate the introduction of a new generation of service. Therefore, the Order adopts the same small business size standards here that the Commission adopted for the broadband PCS service. In particular, the Order defines a “small business” as an entity with average annual gross revenues for the preceding three years not exceeding \$40 million, and a “very small business” as an entity with average annual gross revenues for the preceding three years not exceeding \$15 million. The Order also provides small businesses with a bidding credit of 15 percent and very small businesses with a bidding credit of 25 percent.

12. We do not yet know how many applicants or licensees in these bands will be small entities. Thus, the Commission assumes, for purposes of this FRFA, that all prospective licensees are small entities as that term is defined by the SBA or by our two special small business size standards for these bands. Although we do not know for certain which entities are likely to apply for these frequencies, we note that the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands are comparable to those used for cellular service and personal communications service.

13. Wireless Telephony Including Cellular, Personal Communications Service (PCS) and SMR Telephony Carriers. The SBA has developed a small business size standard for wireless small businesses within the two separate categories of Paging and Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications. Under both SBA categories, a wireless business is small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. According to the Commission's most recent data, 1,387 companies reported that they were engaged in the provision of wireless service. Of these 1,387 companies, an estimated 945 have 1,500 or fewer employees and 442 have more than 1,500 employees. Consequently, the Commission estimates that most wireless service providers are small entities that may be affected by the rules and policies adopted herein.

#### Description of Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements for Small Entities

14. Applicants for AWS licenses in the 1710–1755 MHz and the 2110–2155 MHz bands will be required to submit short-form auction applications using FCC Form 175. In addition, winning bidders must submit long-form license applications through the Universal Licensing System using Form 601, FCC Ownership Disclosure Information for the Wireless Telecommunications Services using FCC Form 602, and other appropriate forms.

#### Steps Taken To Minimize Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered

15. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant alternatives that it has considered in reaching its adopted approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among others): (i) The establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (ii) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements

under the rule for small entities; (iii) the use of performance, rather than design, standards; and (iv) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for small entities.

16. We have taken significant steps to reduce burdens on small entities wherever possible. To provide opportunities for small entities to participate in any auction that is held, we provide bidding credits for small businesses and very small businesses as defined in Section C of this FRFA. The bidding credits adopted are 15 percent for small businesses and 25 percent for very small businesses. We have found that the use of tiered or graduated small business size standards is useful in furthering our mandate under section 309(j) of the Communications Act to promote opportunities for, and disseminate licenses to, a wide variety of applicants.

17. Regarding our decision to apply our part 27 rules to this spectrum, we do not anticipate any adverse impact on small entities. The flexibility afforded by part 27 of our rules should benefit large and small entities alike, because licensees will be in a stronger position to meet changes in demand for services. Under this approach, all licensees will have the freedom to determine the services to be offered and the technologies to be used in providing these services. An alternative to this decision would have been to determine specific allowable service in each frequency band and apply the applicable rule part to the licensing of such services. This approach, however, would be unsatisfactory because it is too restrictive, and in any event, it is unclear that this approach would benefit small entities more than the flexible licensing approach we have decided upon today.

18. Regarding our decision to license this spectrum by geographic area, we anticipate that on balance small entities will benefit from this licensing approach. Geographic licensing in these bands supports the Commission's overall spectrum management goals in that it allows licensees to quickly respond to market demand. Small entities that acquire spectrum that is licensed on a geographic area basis will benefit from such flexibility. Moreover, we have attempted to strike a balance here by using varying sizes of geographic areas. For example, small entities may be more interested in spectrum licensed by smaller geographic areas rather than in spectrum licensed on a nationwide or large regional basis. Consequently, we have decided to include licensing areas based on MSAs and RSAs. As RCA

observes, MSAs and RSAs permit entities who are only interested in serving rural areas to acquire spectrum licenses for these areas alone and avoid acquiring spectrum licenses with high population densities that make purchase of license rights too expensive for these types of entities. These types of service providers could acquire an RSA and create a new service area or they could expand an existing service territory or supplement the spectrum they are licensed to operate in by adding an RSA. They could also combine a few MSAs and RSAs to create a larger but localized service territory. MSAs and RSAs allow entities to mix and match rural and urban areas according to their business plans. By being smaller, these types of geographic service areas provide entry opportunities for smaller carriers, new entrants, and rural telephone companies. Their inclusion in our band plan will foster service to rural areas and tribal lands and thereby bring the benefits of advanced services to these areas. An alternative to our decision to use geographic areas for licensing would have been to employ a site-by-site licensing approach. Site-by-site licensing, however, would be an inefficient licensing method due to a greater strain on Commission resources and less flexibility afforded to licensees.

19. We have also made the decision to license the spectrum in different bandwidths. We do not believe this will disadvantage small entities. In fact, we have decided that the RSA/MSA license areas will be licensed as paired spectrum at 1735–1740 and 2135–2140 for a total of 734 licenses, thus providing the opportunity for entities to obtain a license encompassing as little as 10 megahertz of spectrum. Other spectrum will be licensed in pairs of 10 and 15 MHz blocks, providing flexibility to licensees in constructing their systems. Our approach provides maximum flexibility for both small and large entities to offer a wide range of communications services.

20. We have also decided to permit the disaggregation and partitioning of these spectrum blocks. Licensees will thus be able to increase or decrease the size of their service areas to better meet market demands. Allowing licensees to partition and/or disaggregate their licensed spectrum should improve opportunities for small entities to acquire spectrum for their particular needs. An alternative to this approach would have been to prohibit partitioning and disaggregation; we believe that such an approach could foreclose options for small entities.

21. In addition, we have decided that this spectrum will also be subject to the

rules recently adopted in the *Secondary Markets Report and Order*. In that Order, we took action to remove unnecessary regulatory barriers to the development of secondary markets. The Order established new policies and procedures that enable most wireless licensees, including part 27 licensees, to lease some or all of their spectrum usage rights to third-party spectrum lessees. Application of the new secondary market rules to this spectrum should help ensure that small businesses and rural carriers can acquire spectrum to meet their business needs by allowing more entities access to the AWS spectrum and permit the marketplace, rather than the Commission, to decide what use is made of this spectrum.

22. We believe our objectives of ensuring both efficient use of spectrum and diversity of licensees can best be achieved by adopting a variety of license areas and spectrum block sizes, and ensuring the ability of licensees to partition and disaggregate their licenses and fully participate in the secondary markets. By adopting some smaller geographic licensing areas and some smaller spectrum block sizes, we believe we will encourage participation by smaller and rural entities, without the necessity of adopting set-asides and eligibility restrictions, because such licenses will be less expensive and should more closely mirror such bidders' needs. We believe that these same factors support our decision to decline to adopt other suggested alternatives, such as spectrum aggregation limits, in this band.

23. Finally, regarding our decision to require a showing of "substantial service" at license renewal time, we do not anticipate any adverse impact on small entities. An alternative would have been to adopt a "minimal coverage" requirement. We believe, however, that the substantial service standard is better because it will provide both small and large entities the flexibility to determine how best to implement their business plans based on actual service to end users.

#### Report to Congress

24. The Commission will send a copy of the Order, including this FRFA, in a report to be sent to Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act. In addition, the Commission will send a copy of the Order, including the FRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

### III. Ordering Clauses

25. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d), the rules adopted herein shall become effective April 6, 2004.

26. It is further ordered that part 27 of the Commission's rules shall become effective April 6, 2004. Information collections contained in these rules will be effective upon OMB approval.

27. It is further ordered that the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, shall send a copy of the Report and Order, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 27

Communications common carriers, Radio.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**  
Secretary.

#### Rule Changes

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 27 as follows:

### PART 27—MISCELLANEOUS WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 27 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 301, 302, 303, 307, 309, 332, 336, and 337 unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 27.1 is amended by adding a paragraph (b)(8) to read as follows:

#### § 27.1 Basis and purpose.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(8) 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz.

\* \* \* \* \*

3. Section 27.3 is amended by redesignating paragraphs (m) through (p) as paragraphs (n) through (q), and by adding new paragraph (m) to read as follows:

#### § 27.3 Other applicable rule parts.

\* \* \* \* \*

(m) *Part 64*. This part sets forth the requirements and conditions applicable to telecommunications carriers under the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act.

\* \* \* \* \*

4. Section 27.4 is amended by adding the following in alphabetical order to read as follows:

#### § 27.4 Terms and definitions.

*Advanced wireless service (AWS)*. A radiocommunication service licensed

pursuant to this part for the frequency bands specified in § 27.5(h).

\* \* \* \* \*

5. Section 27.5 is amended by adding a new paragraph (h) to read as follows:

#### § 27.5 Frequencies.

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) *1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands*. The following frequencies are available for licensing pursuant to this part in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands:

(1) Two paired channel blocks of 10 megahertz each are available for assignment as follows:

Block A: 1710–1720 MHz and 2110–2120 MHz; and

Block B: 1720–1730 MHz and 2120–2130 MHz.

(2) Two paired channel blocks of 5 megahertz each are available for assignment as follows:

Block C: 1730–1735 MHz and 2130–2135 MHz; and

Block D: 1735–1740 MHz and 2135–2140 MHz.

(3) One paired channel block of 15 megahertz each is available for assignment as follows:

Block E: 1740–1755 MHz and 2140–2155 MHz.

6. Section 27.6 is amended by adding a new paragraph (h) to read as follows:

#### § 27.6 Service areas.

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) *1710–1755 and 2110–2155 MHz bands*. AWS service areas for the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands are as follows:

(1) Service areas for Block A (1710–1720 MHz and 2110–2120 MHz) are based on Economic Areas (EAs) as defined in paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) Service areas for Blocks B (1720–1730 MHz and 2120–2130 MHz), C (1730–1735 MHz and 2130–2135 MHz), and E (1740–1755 MHz and 2140–2155 MHz) are based on Regional Economic Area Groupings (REAGs) as defined by paragraph (a) of this section.

(3) Service areas for Block D (1735–1740 MHz and 2135–2140 MHz) are based on cellular markets comprising Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Rural Service Areas (RSAs) as defined by Public Notice Report No. CL–92–40 "Common Carrier Public Mobile Services Information, Cellular MSA/RSA Markets and Counties," dated January 24, 1992, DA 92–109, 7 FCC Rcd 742 (1992), with the following modifications:

(i) The service areas of cellular markets that border the U.S. coastline of the Gulf of Mexico extend 12 nautical miles from the U.S. Gulf coastline.

(ii) The service area of cellular market 306 that comprises the water area of the Gulf of Mexico extends from 12 nautical miles off the U.S. Gulf coast outward into the Gulf.

7. Section 27.11 is amended by adding a new paragraph (i) to read as follows:

**§ 27.11 Initial authorization.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(i) 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands. Initial authorizations for the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands shall be for 5, 10 or 15 megahertz of spectrum in each band in accordance with § 27.5(h) of this part.

(1) Authorizations for Block A, consisting of two paired channels of 10 megahertz each, will be based on those geographic areas specified in § 27.6(h)(1).

(2) Authorizations for Block B, consisting of two paired channels of 10 megahertz each, will be based on those geographic areas specified in § 27.6(h)(2).

(3) Authorizations for Block C, consisting of two paired channels of 5 megahertz each, will be based on those geographic areas specified in § 27.6(h)(2).

(4) Authorizations for Block D, consisting of two paired channels of 5 megahertz each, will be based on those geographic areas specified in § 27.6(h)(3).

(5) Authorizations for Block E, consisting of two paired channels of 15 megahertz each, will be based on those geographic areas specified in § 27.6(h)(2).

8. Section 27.13 is amended by adding a new paragraph (g) to read as follows:

**§ 27.13 License period.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands. Authorizations for the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands will have a term not to exceed ten years from the date of initial issuance or renewal, except that authorizations issued on or before December 31, 2009, shall have a term of fifteen years.

9. Section 27.14 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

**§ 27.14 Construction requirements; Criteria for comparative renewal proceedings.**

(a) AWS and WCS licensees must make a showing of “substantial service” in their license area within the prescribed license term set forth in § 27.13. “Substantial” service is defined as service which is sound, favorable, and substantially above a level of mediocre service which just might

minimally warrant renewal. Failure by any licensee to meet this requirement will result in forfeiture of the license and the licensee will be ineligible to regain it.

\* \* \* \* \*

10. Section 27.15 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(2) to read as follows:

**§ 27.15 Geographic partitioning and spectrum disaggregation.**

(a) \* \* \*

(2) AWS and WCS licensees may apply to partition their licensed geographic service area or disaggregate their licensed spectrum at any time following the grant of their licenses.

\* \* \* \* \*

11. Section 27.50 is amended by redesignating paragraphs (d) through (g) as paragraphs (e) through (h) and adding a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

**§ 27.50 Power and antenna height limits.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) The following power and antenna height requirements apply to stations transmitting in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands:

(1) Fixed and base stations transmitting in the 2110–2155 MHz band are limited to a peak effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) of 1640 watts and a peak output power of 100 watts.

(2) Fixed, mobile, and portable (hand-held) stations operating in the 1710–1755 MHz band are limited to a peak EIRP of 1 watt. Fixed stations operating in this band are limited to a maximum antenna height of 10 meters above ground, and mobile and portable stations must employ a means for limiting power to the minimum necessary for successful communications.

\* \* \* \* \*

12. Section 27.53 is amended by redesignating paragraphs (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k) as paragraphs (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l), and adding a new paragraph (g) to read as follows:

**§ 27.53 Emission limits.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) For operations in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands, the power of any emission outside a licensee’s frequency block shall be attenuated below the transmitter power (P) by at least  $43 + 10 \log_{10}(P)$  dB.

(1) Compliance with this provision is based on the use of measurement instrumentation employing a resolution bandwidth of 1 megahertz or greater. However, in the 1 megahertz bands immediately outside and adjacent to the licensee’s frequency block, a resolution bandwidth of at least one percent of the

emission bandwidth of the fundamental emission of the transmitter may be employed. The emission bandwidth is defined as the width of the signal between two points, one below the carrier center frequency and one above the carrier center frequency, outside of which all emissions are attenuated at least 26 dB below the transmitter power.

(2) When measuring the emission limits, the nominal carrier frequency shall be adjusted as close to the licensee’s frequency block edges, both upper and lower, as the design permits.

(3) The measurements of emission power can be expressed in peak or average values, provided they are expressed in the same parameters as the transmitter power.

\* \* \* \* \*

13. Section 27.55 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 27.55 Signal strength limits.**

(a) *Field strength limits.* For the following bands, the predicted or measured median field strength at any location on the geographical border of a licensee’s service area shall not exceed the value specified unless the adjacent affected service area licensee(s) agree(s) to a different field strength. This value applies to both the initially offered service areas and to partitioned service areas.

(1) 2110–2155, 2305–2320 and 2345–2360 MHz bands: 47 dB $\mu$  V/m.

(2) 698–764 and 776–794 MHz bands: 40 dB $\mu$  V/m.

(3) The paired 1392–1395 MHz and 1432–1435 MHz bands and the unpaired 1390–1392 MHz band (1.4 GHz band): 47 dB $\mu$ V/m.

(b) *Power flux density limit.* For base and fixed stations operating in the 698–746 MHz band, with an effective radiated power (ERP) greater than 1 kW, the power flux density that would be produced by such stations through a combination of antenna height and vertical gain pattern must not exceed 3000 microwatts per square meter on the ground over the area extending to 1 km from the base of the antenna mounting structure.

14. Section 27.57 is amended by adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

**§ 27.57 International coordination.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Operation in the 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands is subject to international agreements with Mexico and Canada.

15. Section 27.63 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 27.63 Disturbance of AM broadcast station antenna patterns.**

AWS and WCS licensees that construct or modify towers in the immediate vicinity of AM broadcast stations are responsible for measures necessary to correct disturbance of the AM station antenna pattern which causes operation outside of the radiation parameters specified by the FCC for the AM station, if the disturbance occurred as a result of such construction or modification.

(a) *Non-directional AM stations.* If tower construction or modification is planned within 1 kilometer (0.6 mile) of a non-directional AM broadcast station tower, the AWS or WCS licensee must notify the licensee of the AM broadcast station in advance of the planned construction or modification. Measurements must be made to determine whether the construction or modification would affect the AM station antenna pattern. The AWS or WCS licensee is responsible for the installation and continued maintenance of any detuning apparatus necessary to restore proper non-directional performance of the AM station tower.

(b) *Directional AM stations.* If tower construction or modification is planned within 3 kilometers (1.9 miles) of a directional AM broadcast station array, the AWS or WCS licensee must notify the licensee of the AM broadcast station in advance of the planned construction or modification. Measurements must be made to determine whether the construction or modification would affect the AM station antenna pattern. The AWS or WCS licensee is responsible for the installation and continued maintenance of any detuning apparatus necessary to restore proper performance of the AM station array.

16. A new subpart L is added to read as follows:

**Subpart L—1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz Bands****Licensing and Competitive Bidding Provisions**

27.1101 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands subject to competitive bidding.

27.1102 Designated entities.

**Relocation of Incumbents**

27.1111 Relocation of fixed microwave service licensees in the 2110–2150 MHz band.

**Protection of Incumbent Operations**

27.1131 Protection of Part 101 operations.  
27.1132 Protection of Part 21 operations.  
27.1133 Protection of Part 74 and Part 78 operations.  
27.1134 Protection of Federal Government operations.

27.1135 Protection of non-Federal Government Meteorological-Satellite operations.

**Subpart L—1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz Bands****Licensing and Competitive Bidding Provisions****§ 27.1101 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz bands subject to competitive bidding.**

Mutually exclusive initial applications for 1710–1755 MHz and 2110–2155 MHz band licenses are subject to competitive bidding. The general competitive bidding procedures set forth in 47 CFR part 1, subpart Q will apply unless otherwise provided in this subpart.

**§ 27.1102 Designated entities.**

(a) *Eligibility for small business provisions.* (1) A small business is an entity that, together with its affiliates, its controlling interests and the affiliates of its controlling interests, has average gross revenues that are not more than \$40 million for the preceding three years.

(2) A very small business is an entity that, together with its affiliates, its controlling interests and the affiliates of its controlling interests, has average gross revenues that are not more than \$15 million for the preceding three years.

(b) *Bidding credits.* (1) A winning bidder that qualifies as a small business, as defined in this section, or a consortium of small businesses may use a bidding credit of 15 percent, as specified in § 1.2110(f)(2)(iii) of this chapter, to lower the cost of its winning bid on any of the licenses in this part.

(2) A winning bidder that qualifies as a very small business, as defined in this section, or a consortium of very small businesses may use a bidding credit of 25 percent, as specified in § 1.2110(f)(2)(ii) of this chapter, to lower the cost of its winning bid on any of the licenses in this part.

**Relocation of Incumbents****§ 27.1111 Relocation of fixed microwave service licensees in the 2110–2150 MHz band.**

Part 101, subpart B of this chapter contains provisions governing the relocation of incumbent fixed microwave service licensees in the 2110–2150 MHz band.

**Protection of Incumbent Operations****§ 27.1131 Protection of Part 101 operations.**

All AWS licensees, prior to initiating operations from any base or fixed station, must coordinate their frequency

usage with co-channel and adjacent channel incumbent, Part 101 fixed-point-to-point microwave licensees operating in the 2110–2155 MHz band. Coordination shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of § 24.237 of this chapter.

**§ 27.1132 Protection of Part 21 operations.**

All AWS licensees, prior to initiating operations from any base or fixed station, must coordinate their frequency usage with co-channel and adjacent channel incumbent Part 21 MDS licensees operating in the 2150–2155 MHz band. In the event that AWS and MDS licensees cannot reach agreement in coordinating their facilities, either licensee may seek the assistance of the Commission, and the Commission may then, at its discretion, impose requirements on either or both parties.

**§ 27.1133 Protection of Part 74 and Part 78 operations.**

AWS operators must protect previously licensed Broadcast Auxiliary Service (BAS) or Cable Television Radio Service (CARS) operations in the adjacent 2025–2110 MHz band. In satisfying this requirement AWS licensees must, before constructing and operating any base or fixed station, determine the location and licensee of all BAS or CARS stations authorized in their area of operation, and coordinate their planned stations with those licensees. In the event that mutually satisfactory coordination agreements cannot be reached, licensees may seek the assistance of the Commission, and the Commission may, at its discretion, impose requirements on one or both parties.

**§ 27.1134 Protection of Federal Government operations.**

(a) *Protection of Department of Defense operations in the 1710–1755 MHz band.* The Department of Defense (DoD) operates communications systems in the 1710–1755 MHz band at 16 protected facilities, nationwide. AWS licensees must accept any interference received from these facilities and must protect the facilities from interference. AWS licensees shall protect the facilities from interference by restricting the operation of their base and fixed stations from any locations that could potentially permit AWS mobile, fixed, and portable stations transmitting in the 1710–1755 MHz band to cause interference to government operations within the radii of operation of the 16 facilities (the radii of operation of each facility is indicated in the third column of Table 1 immediately following paragraph (a)(3) of this section). In

addition, AWS licensees shall be required to coordinate any operations that could permit mobile, fixed, and portable stations to operate in the specified areas of the 16 facilities, as defined in paragraph (a)(3) of this section. Protection of these facilities in this manner shall take place under the following conditions:

(1) At the Yuma, Arizona and Cherry Point, North Carolina facilities, all operations shall be protected indefinitely.

(2) At the remaining 14 facilities, airborne and military test range operations shall be protected until such

time as these systems are relocated to other spectrum, and precision guided munitions (PGM) operations shall be protected until such time as these systems are relocated to other spectrum or until PGM inventory at each facility is exhausted, whichever occurs first.

(3) AWS licensees whose transmit operations in the 1710–1755 MHz band consist of fixed or mobile operations with nominal transmit EIRP values of 100 mW or less and antenna heights of 1.6 meters above ground or less shall coordinate their services around the 16 sites at the distance specified in row a. of Table 2. AWS licensees whose

transmit operations in the 1710–1755 MHz band consist of fixed or mobile operations with nominal transmit EIRP values of 1 W or less and antenna heights of 10 meters above ground or less shall coordinate their services around the 16 sites at the distance specified in row b. of Table 2. These coordination distances shall be measured from the edge of the operational distances indicated in the third column of Table 1, and coordination with each affected DoD facility shall be accomplished through the Commander of the facility.

TABLE 1.—PROTECTED DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES

Location	Coordinates	Radius of operation
Cherry Point, NC .....	34°58' N 076°56' W	100
Yuma, AZ .....	32°32' N 113°58' W	120
China Lake, CA .....	35°41' N 117°41' W	120
Eglin AFB, FL .....	30°29' N 086°31' W	120
Pacific Missile Test Range/Point Mugu, CA .....	34°07' N 119°30' W	80
Nellis AFB, NV .....	36°14' N 115°02' W	160
Hill AFB, UT .....	41°07' N 111°58' W	160
Patuxent River, MD .....	38°17' N 076°25' W	80
White Sands Missile Range, NM .....	33°00' N 106°30' W	80
Fort Irwin, CA .....	35°16' N 116°41' W	50
Fort Rucker, AL .....	31°13' N 085°49' W	50
Fort Bragg, NC .....	35°09' N 079°01' W	50
Fort Campbell, KY .....	36°41' N 087°28' W	50
Fort Lewis, WA .....	47°05' N 122°36' W	50
Fort Benning, GA .....	32°22' N 084°56' W	50
Fort Stewart, GA .....	31°52' N 081°37' W	50

TABLE 2.—COORDINATION DISTANCES FOR THE PROTECTED DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES

1710–1755 MHz transmit operations	Coordination distance (km)
a. EIRP <=100 mW, antenna height <=1.6 m AG .....	35
b. EIRP <=1 W, antenna height <=10 m AG .....	55

(b) *Protection of non-DoD operations in the 1710–1755 MHz and 1755–1761 MHz bands.* Until such time as non-DoD systems operating in the 1710–1755 MHz and 1755–1761 MHz bands are relocated to other spectrum, AWS licensees shall protect such systems by satisfying the appropriate provisions of TIA Telecommunications Systems Bulletin 10–F, “Interference Criteria for Microwave Systems,” May, 1994 (TSB 10–F).

(c) *Protection of Federal Government operations below 1710 MHz.* AWS

licensees operating fixed stations in the 1710–1755 MHz band, if notified that such stations are causing interference to radiosonde receivers operating in the Meteorological Aids Service in the 1675–1700 MHz band or a meteorological-satellite earth receiver operating in the Meteorological-Satellite Service in the 1675–1710 MHz band, shall be required to modify the stations’ location and/or technical parameters as necessary to eliminate the interference.

(d) *Recognition of NASA Goldstone facility operations in the 2110–2120*

*MHz band.* The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) operates the Deep Space Network (DSN) in the 2110–2120 MHz band at Goldstone, California (see Table 3). NASA will continue its operations of high power transmitters (nominal EIRP of 105.5 dBW with EIRP up to 119.5 dBW used under emergency conditions) in this band at this location. AWS licensees must accept any interference received from the Goldstone DSN facility in this band.

TABLE 3.—LOCATION OF THE NASA GOLDSTONE DEEP SPACE FACILITY

Location	Coordinates	Maximum transmitter output power
Goldstone, California .....	35°18' N 116°54' W	500 kW

**§ 27.1135 Protection of non-Federal Government Meteorological-Satellite Operations.**

AWS licensees operating fixed stations in the 1710–1755 MHz band, if notified that such stations are causing interference to meteorological-satellite earth receivers operating in the Meteorological-Satellite Service in the 1675–1710 MHz band, shall be required to modify the stations' location and/or technical parameters as necessary to eliminate the interference.

[FR Doc. 04–1835 Filed 2–5–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Parts 54 and 64**

[CC Docket Nos. 96–45 and 03–123; FCC 03–232]

**Application of Federal Accounting and Auditing Standards to the Universal Service Fund and Telecommunications Relay Services Fund**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission amends its rules governing certain financial reporting and auditing requirements applicable to the Universal Service Fund and the Telecommunications Relay Services Fund to ensure that the Commission can maintain its obligations under federal financial management and reporting statutes and directives of the Office of Management and Budget. The Commission also clarifies its rules regarding compensation limitations for employees of the Universal Service Administrative Company.

**DATES:** Effective March 8, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cara Voth, Attorney, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418–7400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Order in CC Docket Nos. 96–45 and 03–123, FCC 03–232 released on October 3, 2003. The full text of this document is available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room CY–A257, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**I. Introduction**

1. By this Order, we amend our rules governing certain financial reporting and auditing requirements applicable to the Universal Service Fund and the

Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) Fund (collectively referred to as the Funds) to ensure that the Commission can maintain its obligations under federal financial management and reporting statutes and directives of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Specifically, we will require the administrators of the Funds (hereafter “Administrators”) to prepare financial statements for the Funds consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for federal agencies (Federal GAAP) and to keep the Funds in accordance with the United States Government Standard General Ledger (USGSGL). We will also require the Administrators to conduct audits of the Funds pursuant to generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). Further, because the Funds are agency programs included on the Commission's annual financial statement, the Funds may be subject to a number of federal financial and reporting statutes. We revise our rules to reflect this, and to note that, where appropriate under relevant law, the Funds may be subject to similar statutes that are enacted in the future. We also clarify our rules regarding compensation limitations for employees of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC).

**II. Discussion**

2. The Universal Service Fund and the TRS Fund are components of the Commission's annual financial statements. In preparing these financial statements, the Commission is required to follow Federal GAAP and maintain its accounts according to the USGSGL pursuant to the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (FFMIA). Because the OMB has concluded that all components included on agency financial statements must comply with Federal GAAP, we direct the Administrators of the Universal Service Fund and the TRS Fund to prepare financial statements for those funds consistent with Federal GAAP and to keep any related accounts in accordance with the USGSGL as of October 1, 2004. Similarly, as discussed more specifically below, because audits of the Commission's financial statements are conducted according to GAGAS, we direct the Administrators to conduct certain audits of the Universal Service Fund and the TRS Fund according to GAGAS.

3. The modifications we make to our rules regarding audits are intended to reflect the distinction between audits of the Funds and audits of the Administrators of the Funds. When the Administrators of the Universal Service

Fund or TRS Fund, or any independent auditors hired by such Administrators, conduct audits of the beneficiaries of the Universal Service Fund, contributors to the Universal Service Fund or TRS Fund, or any providers of service under the universal service support mechanisms and the TRS program, such audits shall be conducted in accordance with GAGAS. For example, audits conducted of beneficiaries of the schools and libraries support mechanism pursuant to § 54.516 of the Commission's rules must follow GAGAS. In contrast, audits conducted of the Administrators may be conducted according to generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS). For example, the required audit of the Universal Service Fund Administrator pursuant to § 54.717 of the Commission's rules may continue to be conducted according to GAAS. Similarly, any audit of the TRS Fund Administrator may be conducted pursuant to GAAS. Because the TRS Fund will be audited as a component of the Commission's financial statements, we find that the yearly audit of the TRS Fund pursuant to § 64.604(c)(5)(iii)(D) is no longer necessary, and we delete § 64.604(c)(5)(iii)(D) from the Commission's rules.

4. Because the Funds are components of the Commission's financial statements, the Administrators, in their capacity as administrators of the Funds, may also need to comply with relevant provisions of certain federal financial management and reporting statutes and rules. We therefore amend our rules to reflect the fact that the Funds are also subject to certain existing legal requirements, *e.g.*, the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 and relevant portions of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996. As appropriate under federal law, the Commission will also apply relevant provisions of similar federal laws that may be enacted in the future.

5. Finally, we take this opportunity to clarify our rules by adding a note to § 54.715(b) of the Commission's rules. Section 54.715(b) provides that the annual rate of pay for officers and employees of the Administrator of the universal service support mechanisms may not “exceed the annual rate of basic pay for level I of the Executive schedule.” The note we add clarifies that the compensation to be included when calculating whether an employee's rate of pay exceeds Level I of the Executive Schedule does not include life insurance benefits, retirement benefits (including payments to 401(k) plans), health insurance benefits, or other similar benefits,