Albuquerque, NM 87109; telephone 505/816–1313.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action notifies the public of a review and comment period for the draft “To-Be” trust business model from the date of publication to March 31, 2004. The draft “To-Be” trust business model is available by accessing http://www.ost.doi.gov. If you do not have internet access, a copy of the draft “To-Be” trust business model is available on Compact Disk (CD) format. For a copy of the CD please write to: Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians, Trust Program Management Center, 4400 Mainhead NE., Albuquerque, NM 87109, or call 505/816–1313.

Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish us to withhold your name, street address, and other contact information (such as fax or phone number) from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will honor your request to the extent allowable by law. We will make available for public inspection in their entirety all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses.

This notice is published in accordance with the authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Special Trustee for American Indians by 209 DM 11.


Ross Swimmer,
Special Trustee for American Indians, Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians.

[FR Doc. 04–2407 Filed 2–4–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–2W–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–494]

Certain Automotive Measuring Devices, Products Containing Same, and Bezels for Such Devices; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Extending the Target Date for Completion of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on January 7, 2004, extending the target date for completion of the above-captioned investigation to January 20, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of the ALJ’s ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission issued a notice of investigation dated June 16, 2003, naming Auto Meter Products, Inc. (“Auto Meter”) of Sycamore, Illinois, as the complainant and several companies as respondents. On June 20, 2003, the notice of investigation was published in the Federal Register, 68 FR 37023. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain automotive measuring devices, products containing same, and bezels for such devices, by reason of infringement of U.S. Registered Trademark Nos. 1,732,643 and 1,407,472, and U.S. Supplemental Register No. 1,903908, and infringement of the complainant’s trade address. Subsequently, seven more firms were added as respondents based on two separate motions filed by Auto Meter.

On December 16, 2003, Auto Meter filed a motion to extend the target date for completion of the investigation and to modify procedural schedule. On December 23, 2003, respondents American Products, Inc., Equus products, Inc., GR Motorsports, Inc. (d/b/a Matrix GR Motorsports) and Hiper Industries, Inc. (d/b/a R–1 Racing Sports) filed an opposition to Auto Meter’s motion. On December 24, 2003, respondent Blitz North America, Inc., filed a joinder to the above opposition. On December 30, 2003, Auto Meter filed a motion for leave to reply, and a reply, on January 7, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 15) extending the target date for completion of this investigation from August 20, 2004, to January 20, 2005. No party petitioned for review of the ID.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–2409 Filed 2–4–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act


In this action United States seeks the reimbursement of response costs in connection with the United Scrap Lead Superfund Site in Troy, Miami County, Ohio (“the Site”) pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq. The Consent Decree resolves the United States’ claims against Defendants Broadway Iron & Metal, Barker Junk Company, Inc., Movers Auto Wrecking, and U.S. Waste materials, for response costs incurred as a result of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site. Two of these settlements are “ability-to-pay” settlements based on financial analyses conducted by the Department’s Antitrust Corporate Finance Unit. All of the settling Defendants made de minimis contributions of waste to the Site. The four settling parties collectively will pay the United States $137,499.18. The United States’ remaining outstanding costs exceed $9,000,000 and are being sought from the remaining defendants in this case.

The Consent Decree also resolves the United Scrap Lead Respondent Group’s (“Respondent Group”) CERCLA claims against the same parties for response costs incurred by the Respondent Group.
in cleaning up the Site under an earlier
Consent Decree. The settling parties will
daily pay the Respondent Group a total of
$38,782.55.

The Department of Justice will receive
for a period of thirty (30) days from the
date of this publication comments
relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the
Assistant Attorney General of the
Environment and Natural Resources
Division, Department of Justice, P.O.
Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044, and
should refer to United States v. A-L
Processors, f.k.a. Atlas-Leaderer Co., et
al., D.J. Ref. 90–11–3–279B.

The Consent Decree may be examined
at the Office of the United States
Attorney, Southern District of Ohio,
Federal Building Room 602, 200 West
Second Street, Dayton, Ohio, or at the
Region 5 Office of the Environmental
Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson
Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590.

During the public comment period the
proposed Consent Decree may also be
examined on the following Department of
Justice Web site:
http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html. A copy
of the proposed Consent Decree may also
be obtained by mail from the
Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611,
U.S. Department of Justice, Washington,
DC 20044–7611, or by faxing or e-
mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood
(tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no.
(202) 514–0097, phone confirmation
number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a
copy from the Consent Decree Library,
please enclose a check in the amount of
$5.75 (23 pages at 25 cents per page
reproduction cost) payable to the U.S.
Treasury.

William Bright.
Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement
Section, Environment and Natural Resources
Division.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Amended
Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

In accordance with 28 CFR 50.7,
notice is hereby given that on January
22, 2004, a proposed Consent Decree in
United States v. Ace Ethanol, L.L.C.
(“Ace Ethanol”) Civil Action No. 04 C
0034 S, was lodged with the United
States District Court for the Western
District of Wisconsin.

In a complaint filed simultaneously
with the lodging of the proposed
Consent Decree, the United States and
the State of Wisconsin (“Plaintiffs”)
asserted claims on behalf of the U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency
(“EPA”) and the Wisconsin Department
of Natural Resources (“WDNR”) against
the owners and operators of an ethanol
mill in Stanley, Wisconsin, pursuant to
section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act
sought injunctive relief and civil
penalties for violations of the
Prevention of Significant Deterioration
(“PSD”) provisions of the Act and
regulations promulgated thereunder;
New Source Performance Standards
(“NSPS”), 40 CFR part 60, subparts Db,
Dc, Kb, and VV; National Emission
Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
(“NESHAP”), 40 CFR part 63; and the
Wisconsin State implementation plan.

In the proposed Consent Decree, Ace
Ethanol agrees, among other things,
to install a regenerative thermal oxidizer
to control volatile organic compound
(“VOC”), particulate, and carbon
monoxide emissions from its dryer;
achieve at least 95 percent removal of
VOCs; meet a stringent limit on nitrogen
oxide (“NOx”) emissions from its gas
boilers and a new, plant-wide cap on
hazardous air pollutant emissions;
implement programs to reduce
emissions during loading and transport
operations and to manage dust on roads
at the facility; comply with various
monitoring and record-keeping
requirements; apply for a PSD permit
from the WDNR; and pay a civil penalty
of over $300,000 to the State.

The Department of Justice will receive
comments relating to the proposed
Consent Decree for a period of thirty
(30) days from the date of this
publication. Comments should be
addressed to the Assistant Attorney
General, Environment and Natural
Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S.
Department of Justice, Washington, DC
20044–7611, and should refer to:
United States v. Ace Ethanol, L.L.C., D.J. Ref.
90–3–2–1–06176.

The proposed Consent Decree may be
examined at the Office of the United
States Attorney for the Western District
of Wisconsin, Suite 303, City Station,
660 West Washington Avenue, Madison,
Wisconsin 53703, and at U.S. EPA
Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd.,
Chicago, IL 60604. During the public
comment period the proposed Consent
Decree may also be examined on the
following Department of Justice Web
html.

A copy of the proposed Consent
Decree, may also be obtained by mail
from the Consent Decree Library, P.O.
Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice,
Washington, DC 20044–7611, or by
faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia
Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov),
fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone
confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In
requesting a copy from the Consent
Decree Library, please enclose a check
in the amount of $10.50 (25 cents per
page reproduction cost) payable to the
U.S. Treasury.

William D. Brighton.
Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement
Section, Environment and Natural Resources
Division.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Partial Consent
Decree Under the Comprehensive
Environmental Response,
Compensation, and Liability Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby
given that on January 27, 2004, a
proposed Amended Consent Decree in
United States v. Central Maine Power
Company, Civil Action No. 90–302B,
was lodged with the United States
District Court for the District of Maine.

On September 3, 1991, the United
States District Court for the District of
Maine entered a Consent Decree
between the United States and Central
Maine Power Company (“CMP”). In the
Consent Decree, CMP agreed to perform
the remedy selected in a 1989 Record of
Decision (“1989 ROD”) for the F.
O’Connor Superfund Site (“Site”).

Subsequently discovered conditions at the
Site resulted in a determination by the
United States Environmental
Protection Agency (“EPA”) and the
Maine Department of Environmental
Protection (“Maine DEP”), that it would be
technically impracticable to restore
the groundwater at the Site to drinking
water standards within a reasonable
period of time.

Following issuance of a proposed
plan and public comment period, EPA
signed a 2002 Record of Decision
Amendment (“2002 ROD Amendment”)
to address the changes to the 1989 ROD.
The 2002 ROD Amendment included
active and passive oil recovery, long-
term groundwater monitoring, a five-
year review, and a restrictive covenant
between CMP and the Maine DEP which
prevents use of the Site groundwater.

The 2002 ROD amendment also
addressed minor changes and
clarifications to the original 1989
remedy for source control. With
necessary changes in the remedy, EPA
is amending the 1991 Consent Decree
and its Appendix II, Remedial Design/
Remedial Action Statement of Work to
make these documents consistent with
the changes, and provide enforcement