

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

[Docket No. ER00-2173-002, et al.]

**Northern Indiana Public Service
Company, et al.; Electric Rate and
Corporate Filings**

January 26, 2004.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

**1. Northern Indiana Public Service
Company, EnergyUSA-TPC Corp.,
Whiting Clean Energy, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER00-2173-002]

Take notice that on January 15, 2004, Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO), EnergyUSA-TPC Corp. (TPC), and Whiting Clean Energy, Inc. (Whiting) (jointly NiSource Companies) tendered for filing their three-year analysis regarding market power in the relevant generation markets. In addition, TPC and Whiting submitted revised market-based rate tariffs incorporating the market behavior rules adopted by the Commission on November 17, 2003, in Docket Nos. EL01-118-000 and 001.

Comment Date: February 5, 2004.

2. PJM Interconnection, LLC

[Docket No. ER04-368-001]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), submitted for filing a substitute construction service agreement (CSA) among PJM, Borough of Chambersburg and Monongahela Power Company, the Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power. PJM requests a waiver of the Commission's 60-day notice requirement to permit a December 17, 2003, effective date for the substitute CSA.

PJM states that copies of this filing were served upon the parties to the agreements, the state regulatory commissions within the PJM region, and the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

**3. The Connecticut Light and Power
Company**

[Docket No. ER04-408-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), on behalf of its affiliate, the Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P), filed the executed Original Service Agreement No. 104 (the Service

Agreement) by and between CL & P and Lake Road Trust (Lake Road) under Northeast Utilities System Companies' Open Access Transmission Tariff No. 10. NUSCO requests an effective date of December 31, 2003, for the Service Agreement, and requests any waivers of the Commission's regulations that may be necessary to permit such an effective date.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been sent to Lake Road.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

4. PJM Interconnection, LLC

[Docket No. ER04-410-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), submitted for filing an executed interconnection service agreement (ISA) among PJM and Borough of Chambersburg, and Monongahela Power Company, the Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power. PJM requests a waiver of the Commission's 60-day notice requirement to permit a December 17, 2003, effective date for the ISA.

PJM states that copies of this filing were served upon the parties to the agreement and the state regulatory commissions within the PJM region.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

5. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER04-411-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, a National Grid Company (Niagara Mohawk), tendered for filing Original Service Agreement No. 333 (Service Agreement) between Niagara Mohawk and Besicorp-Empire Power Company, LLC (POWER CO.) under the New York Independent System Operator's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

**6. The Connecticut Light and Power
Company**

[Docket No. ER04-412-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), on behalf of its affiliate, the Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P), filed executed Original Service Agreement No. 105 by and between CL&P and Waterside Power, LLC (Waterside) under Northeast Utilities System Companies' Open Access Transmission Tariff No. 10. NUSCO and Waterside request an effective date of January 16, 2004.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been sent to Waterside.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

7. Maine Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER04-420-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, Maine Public Service Company (MPS) submitted for filing an executed interconnection agreement between MPS and Boralex Fort Fairfield, Inc. MPS requests an effective date of January 7, 2004, for the agreement.

MPS states that copies of this filing were served upon Boralex Fort Fairfield, Inc., the Maine Public Service Commission, and the Maine Office of Public Advocate.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

**8. Western Massachusetts Electric
Company**

[Docket No. ER04-421-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), on behalf of its affiliate, Western Massachusetts Electric Company (WMECO), filed an executed Interconnection and Operations Agreement by and between WMECO and Berkshire Power Company, LLC (Berkshire Power) designated as Original Service Agreement No. 103 (Service Agreement) under Northeast Utilities System Companies' Open Access Transmission Tariff No. 10. NUSCO and Berkshire Power request an effective date for the Service Agreement of January 20, 2004, and request any waivers of the Commission's regulations that may be necessary to permit such an effective date.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been sent to Berkshire Power.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

**9. American Transmission Company
LLC**

[Docket No. ER04-422-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, American Transmission Company LLC (ATCLLC) tendered for filing a Generation-Transmission Interconnection Agreement between ATCLLC and White Pine Copper Refinery, Inc. ATCLLC requests an effective date of January 16, 2004.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

**10. Niagara Mohawk Power
Corporation**

[Docket No. ER04-423-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, Flat Rock Windpower, LLC (Flat Rock), pursuant to a request by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, a National Grid Company (Niagara Mohawk), filed an Interconnection Service Agreement between Flat Rock and Niagara Mohawk (the Agreement) subject to the NYISO's Open Access Transmission Tariff. On behalf of Niagara Mohawk, Flat Rock

requests an effective date of January 16, 2004, for the Agreement and seeks a waiver of the Commission's prior notice requirement.

Flat Rock states that it has served a copy of the filing on Niagara Mohawk, the NYISO and the New York State Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

11. Valley Electric Association, Inc.

[Docket No. ER04-424-000]

Take notice that on January 16, 2004, Valley Electric Association, Inc. (Valley) tendered for filing an Interconnection Agreement between Valley and Ivanpah Energy Center, LP designated as Service Agreement No. 1 under Valley's Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Comment Date: February 6, 2004.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or TTY, (202) 502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-168 Filed 2-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PF04-1-000]

Golden Pass LNG LP and Golden Pass Pipeline LP; Notice of Environmental Review and Scoping for the Golden Pass LNG Terminal and Pipeline Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

January 26, 2004.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) that will discuss the environmental impacts of Golden Pass LNG LP's and Golden Pass Pipeline LP's (collectively referred to as Golden Pass) proposed Golden Pass LNG Terminal and Pipeline Project in Texas and Louisiana. The proposed facilities would consist of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) import terminal and one or more interconnecting pipelines. The Commission will use this EIS in its decision-making process to determine whether or not the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

The Golden Pass LNG Terminal and Pipeline Project is currently in the preliminary design stage. At this time no formal application has been filed with the FERC. For this project, the FERC staff is initiating its National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review prior to receiving the application. This will allow interested stakeholders to be involved early in project planning and to identify and resolve issues before an application is filed with the FERC. A docket number (PF04-1-000) has been established to place information filed by Golden Pass and related documents issued by the Commission, into the public record.¹ Once a formal application is filed with the FERC, a new docket number will be established.

This notice is being sent to residents within 0.5 mile of the proposed LNG terminal site; landowners along the various pipeline routes under consideration; Federal, state, and local government agencies; elected officials; environmental and public interest groups; Native American tribes; and local libraries and newspapers.

With this notice, we² are asking these and other Federal, state, and local agencies with jurisdiction and/or

special expertise with respect to environmental issues to formally cooperate with us in the preparation of the EIS. These agencies may choose to participate once they have evaluated the proposal relative to their responsibilities. Agencies which would like to request cooperating status should follow the instructions for filing comments described later in this notice. We encourage government representatives to notify their constituents of this planned project and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

Some affected landowners may be contacted by a project representative about the acquisition of an easement to construct, operate, and maintain the proposed pipeline. If so, the company should seek to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement. In the event that the project is certificated by the Commission, that approval conveys the right of eminent domain for securing easements for the pipeline. Therefore, if easement negotiations fail to produce an agreement, the company could initiate condemnation proceedings in accordance with state law.

Summary of the Proposed Project

Golden Pass proposes to construct and operate an LNG import terminal and natural gas pipeline to import LNG and deliver up to 2 billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/d) of natural gas to existing intrastate and interstate pipeline systems.

The LNG receiving terminal would be located approximately 10 miles south of Port Arthur, Jefferson County, Texas and 2 miles northwest of the town of Sabine Pass on the Sabine-Neches Waterway (Port Author Ship Channel). The terminal would be designed to accept LNG cargoes, temporarily store and vaporize LNG, and would contain up to five LNG storage tanks with an approximate capacity of 160,000 cubic meters (m³) each. It would be constructed in two phases, with a nominal output of 1 billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/d) for the first phase (three LNG tanks), increasing to 2 Bcf/d in the second phase when all five LNG storage tanks are in operation. Each tank would be approximately 150 feet tall and 250 feet in diameter.

The terminal would contain a dedicated slip and berths capable of accommodating the unloading of two LNG tankers. The berths would be designed for 200,000 m³ LNG tankers, such that the entire ship within the slip would be outside of the existing ship channel. One LNG tanker would visit the terminal every 4 days in the initial

¹ To view information in the docket, follow the instructions for using the eLibrary link at the end of this notice.

² "We," "us," and "our" refer to the environmental staff of the Office of Energy Projects.