

<sup>2</sup>If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of honey from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>3</sup>In the countervailing duty investigation of Honey from Argentina, the Department solicited information from the Government of Argentina (GOA) on an aggregate or industry-wide basis in accordance with section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act, rather than from individual producers and exporters, due to the large number of producers and exporters of Honey in Argentina. See *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Honey from Argentina*, 66 FR 50613-01 (October 4, 2001). In accordance with section 351.213(b) of the regulations, the GOA and the petitioners have requested an administrative review of this countervailing duty order. No individual exporters requested the review pursuant to section 351.213(b) of the regulations. Accordingly, the Department will be conducting the review of this order on an aggregate basis.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under section 351.211 or a determination under section 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: January 15, 2004.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-1357 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-831]

#### **Certain Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the final results of the review of stainless steel sheet and strip

in coils ("SSSS") from Taiwan. This review covers the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 22, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Laurel LaCivita, Enforcement Group III—Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4243.

#### **Background**

On August 27, 2002, the Department published a notice of initiation of a review of SSSS from Taiwan covering the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 67 FR 55000 (August 27, 2002). On August 6, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of review. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 46582 (August 6, 2003), ("Preliminary Results"). In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department stated that it would make its final determination for the antidumping duty administrative review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the *Preliminary Results*, or not later than December 4, 2003. On December 8, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register**, a notice extending the deadline for the final results of review by 43 days, stating that completing the final results within the 120-day period was not practicable. See *Certain Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 68355, (December 8, 2003).

#### **Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Review**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by an additional 60 days. Completion of the final results

within the 120-day period is not practicable for the following reasons: (1) This review requires the Department to analyze YUSCO's corporate affiliations and relationships; (2) This review involves certain complex issues which were raised by petitioners after the verification and after the preliminary results of review; and (3) The review involves a large number of transactions and complex adjustments.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by 17 days until February 2, 2004.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: January 16, 2004.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.*

[FR Doc. 04-1358 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

### **Denial of Commercial Availability Request Under the United States-Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA)**

January 15, 2004.

**AGENCY:** The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Denial of the request alleging that certain printed, 100 percent rayon, herringbone fabrics, for use in apparel articles, cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA.

**SUMMARY:** On November 13, 2003 the Chairman of CITA received a petition from Alarmex Holdings Group, Inc. that certain printed, 100 percent rayon, herringbone fabric, of 220 g/m<sup>2</sup> fabric weight, of 20's singles spun rayon yarn, of 100 X 64 construction, classified in subheading 5516.14.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), for use in apparel articles, cannot be supplied by

the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. It requested that apparel of such fabrics be eligible for preferential treatment under the CBTPA. Based on currently available information, CITA has determined that these subject fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner and therefore denies the request.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janet Heinzen, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-3400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** Section 213(b)(2)(A)(v)(II) of the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act, as added by Section 211(a) of the CBTPA; Section 6 of Executive Order No. 13191 of January 17, 2001.

**Background**

The CBTPA provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for qualifying textile and apparel products. Such treatment is generally limited to products manufactured from yarns and fabrics formed in the United States or a beneficiary country. The CBTPA also provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for apparel articles that are both cut (or knit-to-shape) and sewn or otherwise assembled in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries from fabric or yarn that is not formed in the United States, if it has been determined that such fabric or yarn cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. In Executive Order No. 13191, the President delegated to CITA the authority to determine whether yarns or fabrics cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA and directed CITA to establish procedures to ensure appropriate public participation in any such determination. On March 6, 2001, CITA published procedures that it will follow in considering requests. (66 FR 13502).

On November 13, 2003, the Chairman of CITA received a petition from Alarmex Holdings Group, Inc. that certain printed, 100 percent rayon, herringbone fabric, of 220 g/m<sup>2</sup> fabric weight, of 20's singles spun rayon yarn, of 100 X 64 construction, classified in subheading 5516.14.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner and requesting quota- and duty-free treatment under the CBTPA for apparel articles that are both cut and

sewn in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries from such fabrics.

On November 19, 2003, CITA solicited public comments regarding this request (68 FR 65256), particularly with respect to whether these fabrics can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. On December 5, 2003, CITA and the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative offered to hold consultations with the relevant Congressional committees. We also requested the advice of the U.S. International Trade Commission and the relevant Industry Sector Advisory Committees.

Based on the information provided, including review of the request, public comments and advice received, and our knowledge of the industry, CITA has determined that certain printed, 100 percent rayon, herringbone fabrics, classified in subheading 5516.14.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), for use in apparel articles, can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Alarmex Holdings Group's petition is denied.

**Philip J. Martello,**

*Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc.04-1412 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

---

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Office of the Secretary**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Defense Security Service, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Defense Security Service announces the proposed continuation of a public information collection affecting cleared Department of Defense contractors and seeks public comments on the provision thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection, (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the

information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by March 22, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to: Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office (DISCO), ATTN: Ms. Virginia Heimrich, Deputy Director, 2780 Airport Dr., Suite 400, Columbus, OH 43219-2268.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instrument, please write to the above address, or call DISCO at (614) 827-1530/1528.

*Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number:* Personnel Security Clearance Change Notification; DISCO Form 562; 0704-0418.

*Type of Request:* Reinstatement.

*Needs and Uses:* DISCO Form 562 is used by contractors participating in the National Industrial Security Program to report various changes in employee personnel clearance status or identification information, e.g., reinstatements, conversions, terminations, changes in name or other previously submitted information.

*Affected Public:* Business or Other For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 45,816.

*Number of Respondents:* 11,454.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 20.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 12 minutes.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Summary of Information Collection**

The execution of the DISCO FORM 562 is a factor in making a determination as to whether a contractor employee is eligible to have a security clearance. These requirements are necessary in order to preserve and maintain the security of the United States through establishing standards to prevent the improper disclosure of classified information.

Dated: January 14, 2004.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 04-1277 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**