

E. Safety Determination

1. *U.S. population.* As presented previously, the exposure of the U.S. general population to amicarbazone is low, and the risks, based on comparisons to the RfD, are minimal. The margins of safety from the use of amicarbazone are well within EPA's acceptable limits. Arvesta Corporation concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to the U.S. population from aggregate exposure to amicarbazone residues.

2. *Infants and children.* The complete toxicological data base, including the developmental toxicity and two-generation reproduction studies were considered in assessing the potential for additional sensitivity of infants and children to residues of amicarbazone. The developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits did not indicate any increased sensitivity of rats or rabbits to *in-utero* exposure to amicarbazone. The two-generation reproduction study did not reveal any increased sensitivity of rats to prenatal or postnatal exposure to amicarbazone. Furthermore, none of the other toxicology studies indicated any data demonstrating that young animals were more sensitive to amicarbazone than adult animals. The data taken collectively clearly demonstrate that application of an FQPA uncertainty for increased sensitivity of infants and children is unnecessary for amicarbazone.

F. International Tolerances

Amicarbazone is registered for use on corn and sugarcane in Brazil. The tolerance for these uses in 0.02 ppm.

[FR Doc. 04-1237 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7612-1]

Brunswick Wood Preserving Superfund Site; Notice of Proposed Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement.

SUMMARY: Under section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has entered into an Administrative Agreement (Agreement) at the Brunswick Wood Preserving Superfund Site (Site) located in Glynn County, Brunswick, Georgia, with Kerr-McGee Chemical L.L.C. EPA

will consider public comments on the Agreement until February 23, 2004. EPA may withdraw from or modify the Agreement should such comments disclose facts or considerations which indicate the Agreement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Copies of the Agreement are available from: Ms. Paula V. Batchelor, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Waste Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, (404) 562-8887.

Written comment may be submitted to Greg Armstrong at the above address within 30 days of the date of publication.

Dated: November 19, 2003.

Rosalind H. Brown,

Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Waste Management Division.

[FR Doc. 04-1235 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7612-4]

Proposed CERCLA Section 122(h) Administrative Agreement for Recovery of Past Costs for the Morgan Materials, Inc. Superfund Site, City of Buffalo, Erie County, NY

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), Region II, of a proposed administrative agreement pursuant to section 122(h) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h), with the settling parties, Morgan Materials, Inc. ("Morgan"), and Donald Sadkin (collectively, the "Settling Parties"), for recovery of past response costs concerning the Morgan Materials, Inc. Superfund Site ("Site") located in the City of Buffalo, Erie County, New York. The settlement requires payments to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund which total \$425,000: \$300,000 from Morgan, and \$125,000 from Donald Sadkin. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue the Settling Parties pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for EPA's past

response costs. For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the settlement. EPA will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations that indicate that the proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper or inadequate. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at EPA Region II, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before February 23, 2004.

ADDRESSES: To request a copy of the proposed settlement agreement, please contact the individual identified below. The proposed settlement is also available for public inspection at EPA Region II offices at 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. Comments should reference the Morgan Materials, Inc. Superfund Site, City of Buffalo, Erie County, New York, Index No. CERCLA-02-2004-2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Carr, Assistant Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866. Telephone: 212-637-3170.

Dated: January 7, 2004.

Kathleen Callahan,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 2.

[FR Doc. 04-1373 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

January 15, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the

Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before March 22, 2004. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Les Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0937.

Title: Establishment of a Class A Television Service.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.

Number of Respondents: 600.

Estimated Time Per Response: 0.017 hours-52 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 280,420.

Total Annual Costs: \$1,327,500.

Needs and Uses: The Report and Order in MM Docket No. 00-10 adopted rules for Class A LPTV broadcasters. Class A LPTV broadcasters are subject to the Commission's operating rules for full-service television stations. The Report and Order modified all pertinent 47 CFR Part 73 rules to indicate their applicability to Class A LPTV licenses. The information collection requirements contained within the Report and Order ensure that the integrity of the TV spectrum is not compromised. These requirements also ensure that unacceptable interference is not caused to existing radio services, and that statutory requirements are met. The Part

73 rules ensure that the stations are operated in the public interest.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-1336 Filed 1-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 96-45; DA 03-4113]

**NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners
Petition for Designation as an Eligible
Telecommunications Carrier in the
State of Florida**

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Wireline Competition Bureau sought comment on the NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners' petition seeking designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) to receive federal universal service support for service offered in certain rural and non-rural study areas in the state of Florida.

DATES: Comments are due on or before February 2, 2004. Reply comments are due on or before February 17, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. See

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for further filing instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen Franklin, Attorney, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, (202) 418-7400, TTY (202) 418-0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's public notice, CC Docket No. 96-45, DA 03-4113, released December 30, 2003. On September 16, 2003, NPCR, Inc. d/b/a/ Nextel Partners (Nextel Partners) filed a petition seeking designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC). Nextel Partners provides commercial mobile radio service and seeks designation as an ETC so that it can receive federal universal service support for its service offered in the State of Florida in rural study areas currently served by GTC, Inc."FL, Frontier Communications' South, ALLTEL Florida, Inc., and Quincey Telephone Company and in non-rural wire centers served by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Nextel Partners contends that the Florida Public Service Commission (Florida Commission) does not regulate commercial mobile radio service providers for purposes of ETC designations and provides a declaration from the Florida Commission asserting its lack of jurisdiction. Nextel Partners submits that the Commission has jurisdiction under section 214(e)(6) to consider and grant its petition. Nextel Partners also maintains that it satisfies all the statutory and regulatory prerequisites for ETC designation and that its designation as an ETC will serve the public interest.

The petitioner must provide copies of its petition to the Florida Commission. The Commission will also send a copy of this public notice to the Florida Commission by overnight express mail to ensure that the Florida Commission is notified of the notice and comment period.

Pursuant to §§ 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments as follows: comments are due February 2, 2004, and reply comments are due February 17, 2004. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies. See *Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121, May 1, 1998.

Comments filed through the ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>. Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed. If multiple docket or rulemaking numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, however, commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov, and should include the following words in the body of the message, "get form <your e-mail address>." A sample form and directions will be sent in reply.

Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, commenters must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial