

Check List” and a draft “Categorical Exclusion Determination” are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. Comments on this section will be considered before we make the final decision on whether the rule should be categorically excluded from further environmental review.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 147

Continental shelf, Marine safety, Navigation (water).

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 147 as follows:

#### PART 147—SAFETY ZONES

1. The authority citation for part 147 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 14 U.S.C. 85; 43 U.S.C. 1333; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Add § 147.833 to read as follows:

##### § 147.833 Na Kika FDS Safety Zone.

(a) *Description.* Na Kika FDS, Mississippi Canyon 474 “A” (MC 474 “A”), located at position 28°31’14.86” N, 88°17’19.69” W. The area within 500 meters (1640.4 feet) from each point on the structure’s outer edge is a safety zone. These coordinates are based upon [NAD 83].

(b) *Regulation.* No vessel may enter or remain in this safety zone except the following—

- (1) An attending vessel;
- (2) A vessel under 100 feet in length overall not engaged in towing; or
- (3) A vessel authorized by the Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

Dated: October 6, 2003.

**J.W. Stark,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, 8th Coast Guard Dist., Acting.*

[FR Doc. 04–1141 Filed 1–16–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–U**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 52

[SIP NO. SD–001–0016b; FRL–7606–7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of South Dakota; Regulations for State Facilities in Rapid City

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP)

revisions submitted by the State of South Dakota on June 27, 2002. The June 27, 2002, submittal consists a revision to the administrative rules of South Dakota. These revisions add a new chapter to regulate fugitive emissions of particulate matter from State facilities and State contractors that conduct a construction activity or continuous operation activity in the Rapid City air quality control zone. The intended effect of this action is to make the revisions to the administrative rules of South Dakota federally enforceable. In the “rules and regulations” section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State’s SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as noncontroversial SIP revisions and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before February 19, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P–AR, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466.

Comments may also be submitted electronically, or through hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions (part (I)(B)(1)(i) through (iii) of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section) described in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., excluding Federal holidays, at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the South Dakota

Department of Environmental and Natural Resources, Air Quality Program, Joe Foss Building, 523 East Capitol, Pierre, South Dakota 57501.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Laurel Dygowski, EPA, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Mailcode 8P–AR, Denver, Colorado 80202, (303) 312–6144, e-mail [dygowski.laurel@epa.gov](mailto:dygowski.laurel@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is located in the rules and regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: December 19, 2003.

**Kerrigan G. Clough,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.*

[FR Doc. 04–1036 Filed 1–16–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 271

[FRL–7609–7]

#### Pennsylvania: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revision

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pennsylvania has applied to EPA for final authorization of the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA proposes to grant final authorization to Pennsylvania. In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is authorizing the changes by an immediate final rule. EPA did not make a proposal prior to the immediate final rule because we believe this action is not controversial and we do not expect comments that oppose it. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the immediate final rule. Unless we receive written comments which oppose this authorization during the comment period, the immediate final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and we will not take further action on this proposal. However, if we receive comments that oppose this action, or portions thereof, we will withdraw the relevant portions of the immediate final rule, and they will not take effect. We will then respond to public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. You may not have another opportunity for comment.

If you want to comment on this action, you must do so at this time.

**DATES:** Send your written comments by February 19, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Charles Bentley, Mailcode 3WC21, RCRA State Programs Branch, U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone number: (215) 814-3379. Comments may also be submitted electronically to: *bentley.pete@epa.gov*, or by facsimile at (215) 814-3163. Comments in electronic format should identify this specific notice. You can view and copy Pennsylvania's application from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following locations: Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Land Recycling and Waste Management, P.O. Box 8471, Rachel Carson State Office Building, Harrisburg, PA 17105-8471, Phone number (717) 787-6239; Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Southwest Regional Office, 400 Waterfront Drive, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-4745, Phone number: (412) 442-4120; and EPA Region III, Library, 2nd Floor, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029, Phone number: (215) 814-5254. Persons with a disability may use the AT&T Relay Service to contact Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection by calling (800) 654-5984 (TDD users), or (800) 654-5988 (voice users).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Bentley, Mailcode 3WC21, RCRA State Programs Branch, U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029, Phone number: (215) 814-3379.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, please see the immediate final rule published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 4, 2003.

**James W. Newsom,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, EPA Region III.*

[FR Doc. 04-1043 Filed 1-16-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 1, 61, and 69

[CC Docket No. 96-128; DA 03-4027]

#### Implementation of Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; Comments requested.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission seeks comments on a Petition For Rulemaking or, in the Alternative, Petition To Address Referral Issues In A Pending Rulemaking (*Wright Petition*) filed in CC Docket 96-128. In the *Wright Petition*, "Petitioners request that the Commission prohibit exclusive inmate calling service agreements and collect call-only restrictions at privately-administered prisons and require such facilities to permit multiple long distance carriers to interconnect with prison telephone systems."

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before February 9, 2004, and reply comments are due on or before February 19, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Marlene H. Dortch, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW., TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. See Supplementary Information for information on additional instructions for filing paper copies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joi Roberson Nolen, Wireline Competition Bureau, 202-418-1520.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 3, 2003, Martha Wright and other prison inmate and non-inmate petitioners (collectively, Petitioners) filed a Petition For Rulemaking or, in the Alternative, Petition To Address Referral Issues In A Pending Rulemaking (*Wright Petition*) with the Federal Communications Commission (Commission). In the *Wright Petition*, "Petitioners request that the Commission prohibit exclusive inmate calling service agreements and collect call-only restrictions at privately-administered prisons and require such facilities to permit multiple long distance carriers to interconnect with prison telephone systems." Petitioners support the *Wright Petition* with evidence that it is technically feasible to provide such interconnection and provide for all necessary security and other penological needs. Petitioners originally sought relief regarding this issue in *Wright, et al. v. Corrections*

*Corporation of America, et al.*, which was referred to the Commission under the doctrine of primary jurisdiction. See *Wright v. Corrections Corp. of America*, C.A. No. 00-293 (GK) (D.D.C. Aug. 22, 2001). The Commission is currently examining long distance telephone service rates imposed on inmates and their families in an ongoing proceeding regarding the provision of inmate payphone service. See Implementation of Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order on Remand and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 67 FR 17039 (2002) (Inmate Payphone Rulemaking).

The *Wright Petition* contains assertions that are responsive to issues raised in the *Inmate Payphone Rulemaking*. Thus, the Commission will consider the *Wright Petition* as an *ex parte* presentation in the *Inmate Payphone Rulemaking*. The Commission seeks comment on the *Wright Petition* because it raises important issues to be considered in the *Inmate Payphone Rulemaking*. Interested parties may file comments regarding the *Wright Petition* February 9, 2004 of this public notice. Reply comments may be filed February 19, 2004 of this public notice. In filing their pleadings, parties should reference the following docket number: CC Docket 96-128.

This matter shall be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules. See 47 CFR 1.1200, 1.1206. Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentations must contain summaries of the substance of the presentations and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a one- or two-sentence description of the views and arguments presented generally is required. See 47 CFR 1.1206(b). Other rules pertaining to oral and written *ex parte* presentations in permit-but-disclose proceedings are set forth in section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.1206(b).

**Filing Procedures.** Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies. See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121 (1998). Comments filed through ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>. Commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing