

| Manufacturer/Exporter | Net Subsidy Rate |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| AHMSA .....           | 13.37 %          |

The Department will also instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the percentage detailed above of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from the reviewed company, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

Because the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See 19 CFR 351.213(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation and the Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993); *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except that covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for each such company established in the most recently completed administrative review segment conducted under the Act. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Steel Products from Mexico*, 58 FR 37352

(July 9, 1993). These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2001, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 USC 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: January 6, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### **Appendix I—Issues Discussed in Decision Memorandum**

<http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov>, under the heading (“Federal Register Notices”).

#### **Methodology and Background Information**

- I. Subsidies Valuation Information
  - A. Allocation Period
  - B. Creditworthiness and Calculation of Discount Rate
- II. Change-in-Ownership
- III. Inflation Methodology
- IV. Analysis of Programs
  - A. Programs Conferring Subsidies
    1. Government of Mexico (GOM) Equity Infusions
    2. 1988 and 1990 Debt Restructuring of AHMSA Debt and the Resulting Discounted Prepayment in 1996 of AHMSA's Restructured Debt Owed to the GOM
    3. Grants from the Mexican Institute for Steel Research (IMIS)
    4. Lay-off Financing from the GOM Bestowed in 1994
    5. Bancomext Export Loans
    6. Committed Investment
    7. Immediate Deduction
  - B. Programs Determined Not to Confer Subsidies
    1. Petroleos Mexicanos (PEMEX) Guaranteed Provision of Natural Gas for Less Than Adequate Remuneration
    2. PITEX Duty-Free Imports for Companies That Export
    3. GOM Assumption of AHMSA Debt

in 1986

C. Program Determined Not to Exist  
1. NAFIN/Coahuila State Government Supplier Relief

D. Programs Determined To Be Not Used

1. FONEI Long-Term Financing
2. Export Financing Restructuring
3. Bancomext Trade Promotion Services and Technical Support
4. Empresas de Comercio Exterior or Foreign Trade Companies Program
5. Article 15 and Article 94 Loans
6. NAFIN Long-Term Loans

V. Total Ad Valorem Rate

VI. Analysis of Comments

*Comment 1:* Whether the Department Correctly Countervailed the Benefit Attributable to Committed Investment in AHMSA by the Grupo Acerero del Norte (GAN)

*Comment 2:* Whether the Department Correctly Investigated and Countervailed Benefits Conferred Under the Immediate Deduction Program  
*Comment 3:* Whether the Department Should Have Found AHMSA Uncreditworthy in 2000

*Comment 4:* Whether AHMSA's May 2, 2000 Renegotiated Bancomext Loans and the Corresponding Renegotiated Penalty Rate Are Countervailable  
*Comment 5:* Whether the Department Used an Appropriate Benchmark Interest Rate When Calculating the Benefit Attributable to the May 2, 2000 Renegotiated Bancomext Loans  
*Comment 6:* Whether the Department Used an Appropriate Benchmark Penalty Rate When Calculating the Benefit Attributable to AHMSA's May 2, 2000 Renegotiated Bancomext Loans  
*Comment 7:* Whether the Department Should Continue to Use the Same Person Test in Determining Whether Non-Recurring Pre-Privatization Subsidies Continue to Provide a Countervailable Benefit to AHMSA  
[FR Doc. 04-697 Filed 1-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **National Telecommunications and Information Administration**

#### **Public Safety Spectrum Management Forum**

**AGENCY:** National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce's National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) will host a two-day public safety spectrum management

forum that is open to the public. Both days will include invited speakers and breakout discussion sessions designed to garner public comment on the four objectives of the President's Spectrum Policy Initiative as they relate to public safety. The first breakout session will focus on addressing the nation's critical spectrum requirements. The discussion for the second breakout session will concentrate on developing a modernized and improved spectrum management system for state and local public safety agencies. The topic for the third breakout session will be centered on incentives for more efficient and beneficial spectrum use. This session will cover such topics as defining efficiency, balancing efficiency with effectiveness, measuring methods of efficiency, and identifying policies to achieve efficient spectrum use. The fourth breakout session will focus on the development of new and expanded services and technologies that improve efficiency and streamline technology deployment. All sessions will feature participation from representatives of key public safety associations and government agencies.

**DATES:** The forum will be held from 7 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Tuesday and Wednesday, February 10–11, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** The Public Safety Spectrum Management Forum will be held at the Omni Shoreham Hotel, 2500 Calvert Street, NW., Washington, DC 20008; telephone: (202) 234–0700. All events are open to the public. Parking is available on site for a fee. The hotel is located one-half block from the Woodley Park Metrorail stop on the Red line.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rich Orsulak, NTIA Public Safety Division, at (202) 482–9139, or electronic mail: [rorsulak@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:rorsulak@ntia.doc.gov). Please direct media inquiries to the Office of Public Affairs, NTIA, at (202) 482–7002.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This forum is one in a series of public meetings to support the President Bush's Spectrum Policy Initiative, which will result in recommendations to the Administration for improving spectrum management policies and procedures. On May 29, 2003, the President signed a Presidential Memorandum outlining the Administration's initiative for spectrum management reform. The President established the "Spectrum Policy Initiative" to promote the development and implementation of a U.S. spectrum policy for the 21st century. He directed the Secretary of Commerce to chair the initiative, which includes two courses of spectrum-related activity, one based

on an interagency task force and the other on a series of public meetings. The Department of Commerce will develop recommendations for revising policies and procedures to promote more efficient and beneficial use of spectrum. NTIA will provide additional information about the forum in the near future on its home page at <http://www.ntia.doc.gov>.

**Public Participation:** The forum will be open to the public on a first-come, first-served basis. Space is limited. The public meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Any member of the public wishing to attend and requiring special services, such as sign language interpretation or other ancillary aids, should contact Rich Orsulak at (202) 482–9139 or at [rorsulak@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:rorsulak@ntia.doc.gov), at least three (3) days prior to the meeting.

Dated: January 7, 2004.

**Kathy D. Smith,**

Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–603 Filed 1–12–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–60–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before February 12, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Melanie Kadlic, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the Internet address [Melanie\\_Kadlic@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Melanie_Kadlic@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the

information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: January 8, 2004.

**Angela C. Arrington,**

Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

### Office of the Undersecretary

**Type of Review:** New.

**Title:** Survey for the Study of the Ronald E. McNair Postbaccalaureate Achievement Program Participants.

**Frequency:** One time.

**Affected Public:** Individuals or household.

**Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:**

Responses: 2,313.

Burden Hours: 579.

**Abstract:** Follow-up survey data from current and former McNair program participants to determine program completion, employment status.

Requests for copies of the submission for OMB review; comment request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2368. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651 or to the e-mail address [vivan.reese@ed.gov](mailto:vivan.reese@ed.gov). Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address [OCIO\\_RIMG@ed.gov](mailto:OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov) or faxed to (202) 708–9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Shelia Carey at her e-mail address [Shelia.Carey@ed.gov](mailto:Shelia.Carey@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf