

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that there was a shift of production from the workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Steelcase, Inc., Grand Rapids, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 12, 2002, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 11th day of December, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-305 Filed 1-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-53,737]

Tibbetts Industries, Inc., Camden, Maine; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December 8, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Tibbetts Industries, Inc., Camden, Maine.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 16th day of December, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-295 Filed 1-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-53,685]

TMH, Portage, Indiana; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on December

2, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed by Transportation-Communications International Union on behalf of workers at TMH, Portage, Indiana.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification issued on July 9, 2003, and which remains in effect (TA-W-53,685). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of December, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-296 Filed 1-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-53,751]

United States Postal Service, Remote Encoding Center, Cohoes, New York; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 23, 2003, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at United States Postal Service, Remote Encoding Center, Cohoes, New York.

The petitioning worker group is included in a petition filed on November 17, 2003 (TA-W-53,711), that is the subject of an ongoing investigation. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of December, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-292 Filed 1-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39,953]

Zexel Valeo Compressor USA, Decatur, Illinois; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the

Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on October 2, 2001, applicable to workers of Zexel Valeo Compressor USA, Decatur, Illinois. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 19, 2001 (66 FR 53251).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of automotive air conditioning compressors until the company ceased production by the end of 2001.

New information shows that workers were retained at the subject firm beyond the October 2, 2003 expiration date of the certification. These employees will complete the close-down process until their termination on December 31, 2003. Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to extend the October 2, 2003 expiration date for TA-W-39,953 to read December 31, 2003.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Zexel Valeo Compressor USA who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39,953 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Zexel Valeo Compressor USA, Inc., Decatur, Illinois, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 17, 2000, through December 31, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of December 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-298 Filed 1-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-423]

Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc., Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 3; Exemption**1.0 Background**

Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (DNC or the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-65 and NPF-49, which authorize operation of Millstone Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 (MP2 and MP3), respectively. The licenses provide, among other things, that the licensee is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of two pressurized-water reactors (PWRs) located in New London County in Connecticut; this exemption addresses only MP3. The nuclear steam system supplier for MP2 is Combustion Engineering, and the supplier for MP3 is Westinghouse Electric Corporation.

2.0 Request/Action

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), § 54.17(c) stipulates that an application for a renewed license may not be submitted to the Commission earlier than 20 years before the expiration of the operating license currently in effect.

DNC, however, requested by application dated December 13, 2002, as supplemented by letters dated April 28, 2003, and September 3, 2003, a schedular exemption from the 20-year restriction specified in 10 CFR 54.17(c) to allow it to submit a renewal application for MP3 earlier than 20 years before expiration of its operating license. Such an exemption would allow DNC to submit one application for renewal of the operating licenses of both MP2 and MP3, with the goal of attaining efficiencies for preparation and review of the application. The current operating license for MP2 (DPR-65) expires on July 31, 2015, whereas the current operating license for MP3 (NPF-49) expires on November 25, 2025. At the time the exemption request was filed, MP2 had more than 29 years of operating experience and MP3 had more than 18 years experience.

3.0 Discussion

Pursuant to 10 CFR 54.15, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 54, in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.12, when (1) The exemptions are authorized by law, (2) will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and (3) are consistent with the common defense and security. However, an exemption will not be granted unless special circumstances are present, as defined in Section 50.12(a)(2).

3.1 Authorized by Law

The Commission's basis for establishing the 20-year limit contained in 10 CFR 54.17(c) is discussed in the 1991 Statements of Consideration for part 54 of 10 CFR (56 FR 64963). The limit was established to ensure that substantial operating experience was accumulated by a licensee before a

renewal application is submitted such that any plant-specific concerns regarding aging would be disclosed. In amending the rule in 1995, the Commission sought public comment on whether the 20-year limit should be reduced. The Commission determined that sufficient basis did not exist to generically reduce the 20-year limit. However, the Commission did indicate in the Statements of Consideration for the amended rule (60 FR 22488), that it was willing to consider plant-specific exemption requests by applicants who believe that sufficient information is available to justify applying for license renewal prior to 20 years from expiration of the current license. DNC's exemption request is consistent with the Commission's intent to consider plant-specific requests and is permitted by 10 CFR 54.15.

The current operating licenses for MP2 and MP3, were issued in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA), and 10 CFR 50.51 which limit the duration of an operating license to a maximum of 40 years. In accordance with 10 CFR 54.31, the renewed license will be of the same class as the operating license currently in effect and cannot exceed a term of 40 years. Therefore, the terms of the renewed licenses for MP2 and MP3, are limited both by law and the Commission's regulations to 40 years. Additionally, 10 CFR 54.31(b) states that:

A renewed license will be issued for a fixed period of time, which is the sum of the additional amount of time beyond the expiration of the operating license (not to exceed 20 years) that is requested in a renewal application plus the remaining number of years on the operating license currently in effect. The term of any renewed license may not exceed 40 years.

The potential exists that because DNC's decision to apply early for license renewal for MP3, DNC may not obtain the maximum 20-year period of extended operation permitted by 10 CFR 54.31(b). Any actual reduction will depend on the date the renewed licenses are issued. If a reduction in the 20-year extension is required, and DNC desires further extension of MP3's operating licenses in the future, an additional renewal application can be submitted in accordance with 10 CFR part 54.

Therefore, should the Commission determine to renew the MP3 operating license, the term of the license will not exceed 40 years, and granting of MP3's exemption request will not result in violation of the AEA or the Commission's regulations.

3.2 No Undue Risk to Public Health and Safety

DNC's exemption request seeks only schedular relief regarding the date of submittal, and not substantive relief from the requirements of 10 CFR parts 51 or 54. DNC must still conduct all environmental reviews required by 10 CFR part 51 and all safety reviews and evaluations required by 10 CFR part 54 when preparing the applications for MP2 and MP3. The staff's review will verify that all applicable Commission regulations have been met before issuing the renewed licenses. Therefore, the staff finds that granting this schedular exemption will not represent an undue risk to public health and safety.

3.3 Consistent With the Common Defense and Security

As discussed previously, the exemption requested is only a schedular exemption. The NRC staff will review the license renewal application DNC submits pursuant to the requested exemption, to determine whether all applicable requirements are fully met. Accordingly, granting the requested exemption will be consistent with the common defense and security.

3.4 Special Circumstances Supporting Issuance of the Exemption

An exemption will not be granted unless special circumstances are present as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2). Specifically, 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) states that a special circumstance exists when "application of the regulation in the particular circumstances * * * is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule." In initially promulgating 10 CFR 54.17(c) in 1991, the Commission stated that the purpose of the time limit was "to ensure that substantial operating experience is accumulated by a licensee before it submits a renewal application" (56 FR 64963). At that time, the NRC found that 20 years of operating experience provided a sufficient basis for renewal applications. However, in issuing the amended 10 CFR part 54 in 1995, the Commission indicated it would consider an exemption to this requirement if sufficient information was available on a plant-specific basis to justify submission of an application to renew a license before completion of 20 years of operation (60 FR 22488).

The 20-year limit was imposed by the NRC to ensure that sufficient operating experience was accumulated to identify any plant-specific aging concerns. As set forth below, MP2 is sufficiently similar to MP3, such that the operating experience for MP2 applies to MP3. In

addition, MP3 has accumulated significant operating experience. Accordingly, under the requested exemption, sufficient operating experience will have been accumulated to identify any plant-specific aging concerns for both units.

DNC states that the two units at the Millstone site are similar in materials of construction and operating environments, many of the aging analyses to be performed for the structures, systems, and components (SSCs) of MP2 will be directly applicable to the SSCs of MP3. Both units are PWR units that utilize recirculating, U-tube type steam generators that produce saturated steam to drive turbine-generators. DNC states that the materials of construction for SSCs on both units are typically identical or similar. The materials used and the environments to which these materials are subjected determine the existence of aging effects. Both units at the Millstone site share common facilities/environments and have many similar components and materials.

DNC also stated that many of the procedures that govern site activities are not unit-specific and require the consideration of operating experience at both Millstone units. Both units share many of the same maintenance activities and other existing aging management programs, making them more effective by relying on the experience at both units. The Millstone site organization shares a common operating experience review department, such that operating experience and corrective actions are continually shared between the units. The Millstone site also utilizes their Corrective Action Program (CAP), in which a multi-disciplinary team reviews Condition Reports (CRs). As part of this review, the team identifies CRs that could affect other operating units and that need to be evaluated for both units. The direct exchange of operating experience by this common operating experience review and by the CAP ensures the evaluation of MP2 aging issues that could be applicable to MP3. The shared operating experience and dedicated system engineering responsibilities also result in a continual evaluation of the effectiveness of plant programs used to manage the effects of aging of plant equipment for both units.

While the units at the Millstone site have common operation, maintenance, use of operating experience, and environment, MP2 and MP3 are of different PWR design. MP2 is a Combustion Engineering PWR design and MP3 is a Westinghouse 4-Loop PWR design. The nuclear steam supply

system (NSSS) design, thermal output, containment and Category 1 structures, of these two designs are significantly different. In a letter dated April 28, 2003, the applicant provided supplemental information to justify the applicability of MP2's operating experience as the basis for the exemption request or to discuss how industry-wide Westinghouse 4-Loop operating experience can supplement MP3's operating experience. In addition, on July 18, 2003, the NRC requested additional information to justify the applicability of MP2's containment and Category 1 structures operating experience as the basis for the exemption or to discuss how industry-wide operating experience can supplement MP3's operating experience.

3.4.1 NSSS Design

The staff reviewed the supplemental information provided by the applicant in its letter to the NRC dated April 28, 2003. DNC compared the MP2 and MP3 NSSS SSCs to those in the applicable sections of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report and listed the comparative results in the attachment to the letter. Based on Section II.A of the attachment and its related discussions, the applicant stated that the operating experience from MP2 is applicable to MP3 with regard to identifying NSSS-related aging effects. The staff reviewed the contents of Section II.A and determined that although there are differences in NSSS design and configuration between MP2 and MP3, both units do exhibit similar aging effects, and their aging effects are comparable to those of the GALL Report. The staff also reviewed the applicant's assertions that: (1) MP3 has the benefit of industry operating experience, particularly for those PWRs that have the same NSSS design (Surry and North Anna); (2) as of the date of their submittal, nine Westinghouse 4-Loop PWRs have accumulated at least 20 years of operating experience and five other plants have close to 20 years of operating experience; and (3) the MP3 license renewal application (LRA) will also reflect industry experience identified in the GALL Report as well as other industry programs.

The staff finds that the justifications provided by the applicant for these assertions are based on factual information and are reasonable. Based on the above discussion, the staff concludes that with respect to MP2 and MP3 NSSS design, configuration, and management of NSSS-related aging effects, the applicant has provided adequate justifications for the NRC

consideration of granting MP3's request for exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 54.17(c)

3.4.2 Thermal Output

The staff reviewed the supplemental response provided by the applicant in its letter to the NRC dated April 28, 2003. The staff noted that DNC compared MP2 and MP3 thermal outputs, which results in differences in neutron flux and fluence to which the reactor vessels and the reactor vessel internals (RVI) are exposed. DNC indicated that the differences in thermal output do not significantly affect the reactor coolant temperature. In addition, it was noted that the MP2 and MP3 reactor vessel operating temperatures are similar and closely match those specified in the GALL Report for the PWR reactor vessel environment. The staff compared the operating temperatures through the reactor vessel integrity database with those in the GALL Report and found that the licensee's justification was reasonable.

In addition, DNC indicated that the higher core power density and correspondingly, a higher fluence for MP3 which may result in the emergence of certain aging effects earlier in plant life than would be the case for MP2. However, it was noted that there are no unique aging effects for the MP3 RVI and that the same aging effects would require management for both units.

The licensee also stated that on an industry-wide basis, the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Materials Reliability Program (MRP) addresses aging effects associated with PWR RVI. It was noted that the EPRI MRP reviewed the function of each internal PWR component (including Westinghouse and CE). For those internals that could impact safety, the EPRI MRP considered the aging mechanisms that could cause degradation of RVI component and is developing strategies to manage the resulting aging effects. Therefore, the licensee indicated that the operating experience gained from the EPRI MRP could be applied to MP3 in assisting in the identification of plant-specific concerns regarding aging. The staff finds this approach acceptable.

The staff finds that the justification provided by the applicant for these assertions are based on factual information and are reasonable. Based on the above discussion, the staff concludes that with respect to MP2 and MP3 thermal output differences, the applicant has provided adequate justification for the staff's consideration of granting the MP3 request for

exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 54.17(c).

3.4.3 Containment and Category 1 Structures

The staff reviewed the additional information provided by the applicant in its letter to the NRC dated September 3, 2003. In the attachment to the letter, DNC compared the MP2 and MP3 containment and Category 1 structures and components in Table 1; MP3 and other Stone and Webster Engineering Corp. plants' containment and Category 1 structures and components in Table 2; and MP3 and the applicable sections of the GALL Report containment and Category 1 structures and components in Table 3. Based on the Table 1 comparisons and its related discussions, the applicant stated that the operating experience from MP2 is applicable to MP3 with identifying containment and Category 1 structure-related aging effects, except when there were differences such as in the architect-engineer, containment type, and groundwater protection. For the differences previously noted, the applicant relied on the operating experience from plants (Table 2) that have the same architect-engineer, containment type, and groundwater protection such as North Anna Units 1 and 2, Surry Units 1 and 2, Beaver Valley Unit 1, and Haddam Neck. Even though these plants have the same architect-engineer, containment type, and groundwater protection as MP3, the environments are different. MP3 is located in a coastal area and the other plants are located in inland environments. For the environmental difference, the applicant relied on the GALL Report for additional operating experience. The staff reviewed the applicant's assertions that MP3 also has the benefit of industry operating experience, particularly for those PWRs with the same architect engineer, containment type, and groundwater protection; and the MP3 LRA will also reflect industry experience identified in the GALL Report, as well as other industry programs.

The staff finds that the justifications provided by the applicant for these assertions are based on factual information and are reasonable. Based on the above discussion, the staff concludes that, with respect to MP2 and MP3 containment and Category 1 structures design, structural configuration and management of structural-related aging effects, the applicant has provided adequate justifications for the NRC's consideration of granting MP3's request

for exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 54.17(c).

Therefore, sufficient combined operating experience from MP2 and industry exists to satisfy the intent of 10 CFR 54.17(c), and the application of the regulation in this case is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule. The staff finds that DNC's request meets the requirement, in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2), that special circumstances exist to grant the exemption.

3.5 Summary

Based on the foregoing, the staff finds that the requested exemption is acceptable in that it is authorized by law; will not present an undue risk to public health and safety; is consistent with the common defense and security; and that special circumstances are present, under 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii). Should DNC submit an application to renew the licenses for MP2 and MP3, the application must demonstrate full compliance with 10 CFR parts 51 and 54 for both units and include information addressing the similarity in design, operation, maintenance, operating experience, and environments of the units to support submittal of the dual-unit application. In the course of its review of an application to renew the licenses for the units at the Millstone site, the NRC staff will examine how the actual operating experience, available from both units and from industry, applies to the particular SSCs evaluated.

4.0 Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants DNC a schedular exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 54.17(c). Specifically, this schedular exemption allows DNC to apply for a renewed license for MP3 earlier than 20 years before the expiration of the operating license currently in effect.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (68 FR 7529).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of December, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Cornelius Holden,

Acting Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04-315 Filed 1-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-354]

PSEG Nuclear LLC; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) has granted the request of PSEG Nuclear LLC (the licensee) to withdraw its July 9, 2003, application, as supplemented by its August 14, 2003, letter, for a proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 for the Hope Creek Generating Station, Unit No. 1, located in Salem County, New Jersey.

The proposed amendment would have revised the facility's Technical Specifications by extending the time allowed to complete repairs or upgrades to the control room emergency filtration (CREF) system up to 30 days.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on August 5, 2003 (68 FR 46245). However, by letter dated November 21, 2003, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated July 10, 2003, as supplemented by letter dated August 14, 2003, and the licensee's letter dated November 21, 2003, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of December 2003.