

margin exists for the period May 3, 2001, through November 30, 2002:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Essar Steel Ltd. ....	0.00

The Department will disclose the calculations used in its analysis to parties to this proceeding within five days of the publication date of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.224(b). Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of the publication date of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). If requested, a hearing will be held 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 309(c). Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 7 days after the deadline for filing case briefs. See 19 CFR 309(d). Parties who submit written arguments are requested to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument and (3) a table of authorities. Further, we request that parties submitting written comments provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on a diskette. The Department will publish the notice of the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments or hearing, within 120 days from the publication date of this notice.

#### Assessment Rate

Upon completion of this administrative review, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate for merchandise subject to this review. If the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess the importer-specific rate uniformly on all entries made during the POR. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of review. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of review, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting assessment rates against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of the importers' entries during the review period.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except that if the rate is *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, no cash deposit rate will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be the "all others" rate of 38.72 percent, which is the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.<sup>1</sup> See *Amended Final Determination*. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 15, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E3-00613 Filed 12-22-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

<sup>1</sup>The "all others" cash deposit rate, applied by CBP, is reduced to account for the export subsidy rate found in the countervailing duty investigation. The adjusted "all others" rate is 23.87 percent.

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-421-807]

#### Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From The Netherlands

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended antidumping duty order.

**SUMMARY:** On November 29, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from the Netherlands. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands*, 66 FR 59565 (November 29, 2001). As a result of the Court of International Trade's (the Court's) decisions in *Corus Staal BV, et al. v. United States*, 283 F. Supp. 2d 1357 (CIT 2003) (*Corus Staal BV III*) and *Corus Staal BV, et al. v. United States*, 279 F. Supp. 2d 1363 (CIT 2003) (*Corus Staal BV II*), we are publishing this notice of amended antidumping duty order.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah Scott (202) 482-2657 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 23, 2003.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, the products covered are certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products of a rectangular shape, of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal and whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers), regardless of thickness, and in straight lengths, of a thickness of less than 4.75 mm and of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness. Universal mill plate (i.e., flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm, but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a thickness of not less than 4.0 mm, not in coils and without patterns in relief) of a thickness not less than 4.0 mm is not included within the scope of this order.

Specifically included within the scope of this order are vacuum degassed, fully stabilized (commonly referred to as interstitial-free (IF)) steels, high strength low alloy (HSLA) steels, and the substrate for motor lamination steels. IF steels are recognized as low carbon steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as titanium or niobium (also commonly referred to as columbium), or both, added to stabilize carbon and nitrogen elements. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, vanadium, and molybdenum. The substrate for motor lamination steels contains micro-alloying levels of elements such as silicon and aluminum.

Steel products to be included in the scope of this order, regardless of definitions in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), are products in which: (i) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; (ii) the carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and (iii) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated:

1.80 percent of manganese, or  
2.25 percent of silicon, or  
1.00 percent of copper, or  
0.50 percent of aluminum, or  
1.25 percent of chromium, or  
0.30 percent of cobalt, or  
0.40 percent of lead, or  
1.25 percent of nickel, or  
0.30 percent of tungsten, or  
0.10 percent of molybdenum, or  
0.10 percent of niobium, or  
0.15 percent of vanadium, or  
0.15 percent of zirconium.

All products that meet the physical and chemical description provided above are within the scope of this order unless otherwise excluded. The following products, by way of example, are outside or specifically excluded from the scope of this order:

Alloy hot-rolled steel products in which at least one of the chemical elements exceeds those listed above (including, *e.g.*, ASTM specifications A543, A387, A514, A517, A506).

Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE)/American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI) grades of series 2300 and higher.

Ball bearings steels, as defined in the HTS.

Tool steels, as defined in the HTS.  
Silico-manganese (as defined in the HTS) or silicon electrical steel with a silicon level exceeding 2.25 percent.

ASTM specifications A710 and A736.  
USS Abrasion-resistant steels (USS AR 400, USS AR 500).

All products (proprietary or otherwise) based on an alloy ASTM

specification (sample specifications: ASTM A506, A507).

Non-rectangular shapes, not in coils, which are the result of having been processed by cutting or stamping and which have assumed the character of articles or products classified outside chapter 72 of the HTS.

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the HTS at subheadings:

7208.10.15.00, 7208.10.30.00,  
7208.10.60.00, 7208.25.30.00,  
7208.25.60.00, 7208.26.00.30,  
7208.26.00.60, 7208.27.00.30,  
7208.27.00.60, 7208.36.00.30,  
7208.36.00.60, 7208.37.00.30,  
7208.37.00.60, 7208.38.00.15,  
7208.38.00.30, 7208.38.00.90,  
7208.39.00.15, 7208.39.00.30,  
7208.39.00.90, 7208.40.60.30,  
7208.40.60.60, 7208.53.00.00,  
7208.54.00.00, 7208.90.00.00,  
7211.14.00.90, 7211.19.15.00,  
7211.19.20.00, 7211.19.30.00,  
7211.19.45.00, 7211.19.60.00,  
7211.19.75.30, 7211.19.75.60, and  
7211.19.75.90. Certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon steel flat products covered by this order, including: vacuum degassed fully stabilized; high strength low alloy; and the substrate for motor lamination steel may also enter under the following tariff numbers:  
7225.11.00.00, 7225.19.00.00,  
7225.30.30.50, 7225.30.70.00,  
7225.40.70.00, 7225.99.00.90,  
7226.11.10.00, 7226.11.90.30,  
7226.11.90.60, 7226.19.10.00,  
7226.19.90.00, 7226.91.50.00,  
7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and  
7226.99.00.00. Subject merchandise may also enter under 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.90.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, and 7212.50.00.00. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

#### Amended Antidumping Duty Order

In accordance with section 735(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Tariff Act), the Department published its final determination that sales of hot-rolled steel from the Netherlands were being made at less than fair value in the United States. See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products*, 66 FR 50408 (October 3, 2001) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, as amended, *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products*, 66 FR 55637 (November 2, 2001) (collectively, *Final*

*Determination*). On November 13, 2001, the International Trade Commission notified the Department of its final determination pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Tariff Act that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of certain hot-rolled steel from the Netherlands. On November 29, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on hot-rolled steel from the Netherlands. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands*, 66 FR 59565 (November 29, 2001) (*Antidumping Duty Order*).

Subsequent to the publication of the Department's antidumping duty order, the petitioners (National Steel Corporation, Bethlehem Steel Corporation, and United States Steel Corporation) and the respondent (Corus Staal BV and Corus Steel USA Inc. (collectively, Corus)) challenged certain aspects of the Department's *Final Determination* before the Court. In addition, the Department requested a voluntary remand with respect to the inadvertent omission of the proper language from the antidumping duty order to cease collection of provisional measures six months after the publication of the preliminary determination, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Tariff Act. On March 7, 2003, the Court issued a remand order to the Department for the sole purpose of revising its antidumping duty order to preclude collection of provisional measures beyond the six-month period pursuant to section 733(d) of the Tariff Act, and also to explain its practice of interpreting the provisional measures time period, *i.e.*, in calendar months or the equivalent in six 30-day periods. See *Corus Staal BV v. United States*, 259 F. Supp. 2d 1253 (CIT 2003). See *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands: Notice of Final Court Decision and Suspension of Liquidation*, 68 FR 60912 (October 24, 2003) for a summary of the ensuing litigation.

On September 29, 2003, the Court affirmed the Department's remand determination and entered a final judgment order in regards to the *Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order*. See *Corus Staal BV III*; see also *Corus Staal BV II*. As a result, the Department is now amending the antidumping duty order on hot-rolled steel from the Netherlands to lift suspension of liquidation 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** until the date of

publication of the *Antidumping Duty Order*. Because the preliminary determination was published on May 3, 2001, and the *Antidumping Duty Order* was published on November 29, 2001, the Department will issue instructions to Customs and Border Protection (Customs) to terminate suspension of liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise made between October 30, 2001, and November 28, 2001, inclusive, without regard to antidumping duties (*i.e.*, release all bonds and refund all cash deposits). In addition, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Tariff Act, the Department will also instruct Customs to resume collection, effective November 29, 2001, of a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping duty margins published in the *Final Determination*. Effective November 29, 2001, Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping duty margins as noted below. The "All Others" rate applies to all exporters of subject hot-rolled steel from the Netherlands not specifically listed. The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Producer/manufacturer/ exporter	Cash deposit rate (percent)
Corus Staal BV .....	2.59
All Others .....	2.59

This notice constitutes the amended antidumping duty order with respect to certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This amended order is published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 16, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E3-00616 Filed 12-22-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

#### Silicon Metal From Brazil: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of an antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On August 22, 2003, in response to a request made by the petitioners<sup>1</sup>, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review of silicon metal from Brazil, for the period of review (POR) July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. Because the petitioners have withdrawn their request for review, and there were no other requests for review for this time period, the Department is rescinding this review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213 (d)(1).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 23, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maisha Cryor, telephone: (202) 482-5831, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 2, 2003, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil, covering the POR July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 68 FR 39511 (July 2, 2003). On August 22, 2003, pursuant to a request made by the petitioners, the Department initiated an administrative review of Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais-Minasligas (Minasligas) and Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio (CBCC), both of which are subject to the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil for the POR July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part* 68 FR 50750, 50751 (August 22, 2003). On November 19, 2003, the

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners are Elkem Metals Company and Globe Metallurgical Inc.

petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review of Minasligas.

#### Rescission of Review

19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) provides that a party that requests an administrative review may withdraw the request within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested administrative review. Since the notice of initiation of this administrative review was published on August 22, 2003, and the petitioners, the party requesting this administrative review, withdrew their request for review within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Further, we are rescinding the review with respect to CBCC because, since the initiation of this current review, the Department has revoked the order in part, with respect to CBCC, effective for entries made on or after July 1, 2002. *See Silicon Metal from Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation of Order in Part* 68 FR 57670, 57671 (October 6, 2003).

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 18, 2003.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E3-00617 Filed 12-22-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-25-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington,