INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–501]

In the Matter of Certain Encapsulated Integrated Circuit Devices and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on November 17, 2003, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Amkor Technology, Inc. of West Chester, Pennsylvania. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on December 2 and 9, 2003. The complaint as supplemented alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain encapsulated integrated circuit devices and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1–4, 7, 17, 18, and 20–23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,433,277; claims 1–4, 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,728; and claims 1, 2, 13 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,455,356. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain encapsulated integrated circuit devices or products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–4, 7, 17, 18, and 20–23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,433,277; claims 1–4, 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,728; and claims 1, 2, 13 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,455,356; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 12, 2003, Ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain encapsulated integrated circuit devices or products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–4, 7, 17, 18, and 20–23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,433,277; claims 1–4, 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,728; and claims 1, 2, 13 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,455,356; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—

Amkor Technology, Inc., 1345 West Enterprise Drive, West Chester, Pennsylvania 19380.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd, No. 2 Jalan Lapangan Terbang, 31350 Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia.

Carsem Semiconductor Sdn Bhd, Lot 52986, Taman Meru Industrial Estate, 30020 Jelapang, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia.

Carsem, Inc., 17890 Castleton Street, Suite 245, City of Industry, California 91748.

(c) David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with §210.13 of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to that respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against that respondent.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03–31252 Filed 12–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1057
(Preliminary)]

Certain Processed Hazelnuts From Turkey

Determination

On the basis of the record developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673a(a) (the Act), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Turkey of certain processed hazelnuts, provided for in subheadings 0802.22.00 and 0808.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

The record is defined in §207.2(f) of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).
United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Commencement of Final Phase Investigation

Pursuant to § 207.18 of the Commission’s rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigation. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the Federal Register as provided in § 207.21 of the Commission’s rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of an affirmative preliminary determination in the investigation under section 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determination is negative, upon notice of an affirmative final determination in that investigation under section 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigation need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigation. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigation.

Background

On October 21, 2003, a petition was filed with the Commission and Commerce on behalf of Westnut LLC, Dundee, OR; Northwest Hazelnut Co., Hubbard, OR; Hazelnut Growers of Oregon, Cornelius, OR; Willamette Filbert Growers, Newberg, OR; Evergreen Orchards, McMinnville, OR; and Evonuk Orchards, Eugene, OR, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured and threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of certain processed hazelnuts from Turkey. Accordingly, effective October 21, 2003, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation No. 731–TA–1057 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission’s investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of October 22, 2003 (68 FR 61465). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on November 12, 2003, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on December 12, 2003. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3656 (December 2003), entitled Certain Processed Hazelnuts from Turkey: Investigation No. 731–TA–1057 (Preliminary).

By order of the Commission.
Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 03–31253 Filed 12–18–03; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–52,525]
Alcatel Internetworking (PE), Spokane, WA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of September 30, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The Department’s determination notice was signed on October 10, 2003. The notice was published in the Federal Register on November 6, 2003 (68 FR 62832).

The Department reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the petitioner has provided additional information. Therefore, the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of November, 2003.
Linda G. Poole,
Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
[FR Doc. 03–31304 Filed 12–18–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–52,538]
Custom Tool and Design, Inc., Erie, PA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of October 10, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor’s Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on September 23 2003, and will