

potential petitioners that believe that an industry in the United States is being injured because a foreign competitor is being subsidized unfairly. Since a variety of detailed information is required under the law before initiation of a countervailing duty investigation, the Form is designed to extract such information in the least burdensome manner possible.

**Affected Public:** Businesses or other for-profit.

**Frequency:** On occasion.

**Respondent's Obligation:** Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

**OMB Desk Officer:** David Rostker, (202) 395-7340.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, or e-mail [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office building, Washington, DC 20503 within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 2, 2003.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-30322 Filed 12-5-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Census Bureau

#### **Generic Clearance for Master Address File (MAF) and Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (TIGER) Update Activities**

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before February 6, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625,

14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Bob Tomassoni, Bureau of the Census, SFC2, Room 1308A, Washington, DC 20233. Phone Number 301-763-2036 (or via the Internet at [Robert.G.Tomassoni@Census.Gov](mailto:Robert.G.Tomassoni@Census.Gov)).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Abstract**

The Census Bureau presently operates a generic clearance covering activities involving respondent burden associated with updating our Master Address File (MAF) and Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (TIGER) system. (The MAF is the Census Bureau's address database and TIGER is the geographic database.) We now propose to extend that generic clearance to cover update activities we will undertake during the next three fiscal years.

Under the terms of the generic clearance, we plan to submit a request for OMB approval that will describe all planned activities for the entire period; we will not submit a clearance package for each updating activity. We will send a letter to OMB at least two weeks before the planned start of each activity that gives more exact details, examples of forms, and final estimates of respondent burden. We also will file a year-end summary with OMB after the close of each fiscal year giving results of each activity conducted. This generic clearance enables OMB to review our overall strategy for MAF and TIGER updating in advance, instead of reviewing each activity in isolation shortly before the planned start. The Census Bureau used the MAF for mailing and delivering questionnaires to households during Census 2000. The MAF is also used as a sampling frame for our demographic current surveys. In the past, the Census Bureau built a new address list for each decennial census. The MAF we built for Census 2000 is meant to be kept current, thereby, eliminating the need to build a completely new address list for future censuses and surveys. The TIGER is a geographic system that maps the entire country in Census Blocks with applicable address range or living quarter location information. Linking MAF and TIGER allows us to assign each address to the appropriate Census Block, produce maps as needed and publish results at the appropriate level of geographic detail. The following are

descriptions of each activity we plan to conduct under the clearance for the next three fiscal years.

##### *1. Record Linkage Follow-Up (Address Duplication Check) Evaluation*

In an effort to compile the most accurate Master Address File (MAF), the Census Bureau is planning the Record Linkage Follow-Up Address Duplication Check Operation to evaluate three different unduplication methods, one duplicate address linking software currently used by our Geography Division (GEO) and possibly two probabilistic matching software programs used by our Planning, Research, and Evaluation Division (PREL).

This operation will address the Census Coverage Improvement objective, which attempts to minimize coverage errors and to gain insight into the causes of housing unit duplication through externally focused, probes and edits and examination of internal processing approaches.

The Record Linkage Follow-Up Address Duplication Check will be conducted in the field to confirm probable housing unit duplicates identified by the unduplication criteria established for the probabilistic record matching and linking software, and not already reconciled from other 2004 Census Test field activities. This 2004 Census Test operation addresses the following question: "Can we reduce duplication at the time of the initial Master Address File (MAF) extract and during address list updating from Address Canvassing and from Update/Leave by using improved address record linkage methods?"

The major objective of the Record Linkage Follow-Up Address Duplication Check operation is to determine the accuracy and future use of address unduplication prior to census operations. Verifiers will perform an Address Duplication Check on housing unit duplicates identified by probabilistic linkage.

The 2004 Census Test Record Linkage Follow-Up Address Duplication Check operation will be conducted in the NW Queens, NY test site and in Colquitt, Tift, and Thomas counties in Georgia and will be managed out of Local Census Offices. The operation will take place between August 16, 2004 and September 17, 2004 and will consist of a maximum workload of 10,800 addresses.

The universe of linked addresses will come from output generated by the Auto Match and Big Match software and from GEO providing a file that includes the results of their duplicate confidence

indicator run on the MAF for the 2004 Test Sites.

The workload of linked addresses, called clusters, in the Record Linkage Follow-Up Address Duplicate Check operation will be a merged sample of the output from the three duplicate linking programs mentioned above.

A sample of clusters will be included in the Address Duplicate Check. Large clusters, clusters with different Zip Codes, and clusters where two or more addresses are exactly the same, will be included in the Headquarters (HQ) fieldwork. The Headquarters (HQ) fieldwork will consist of no more than 6 people from Census HQ, traveling to the 2004 Census Test sites to review and fieldwork 300 clusters.

Verifiers will locate each address in the cluster on the ground and enter codes based on observation first. Then they will attempt to make contact with the respondent or qualified proxy to confirm the addresses existence for each address shown on the cluster-listing page. Verifiers will enter action codes based on respondent information. The verifiers will try to locate the other addresses shown in the cluster, to verify existence or nonexistence in an effort to confirm duplication of addresses within the cluster. Verifiers will not edit, add, or delete any addresses.

The estimated time per response is 2 minutes. The most burdensome case scenario will be 10,800 addresses in no more than 5,300 address clusters. All of the fieldwork is expected to take place in FY 2004.

**2. Address Canvassing**

An Address Canvassing operation will take place as part of the 2006 Census Test. The operation will take place during the spring of 2005. The operation will be a standard address canvassing operation where census "listers" will canvass specified blocks and conduct brief interviews to verify or update address information against address information on the Census Bureau's

address lists and maps. Lister's will enter action codes for every address based on what they found out during the visit. Lister's will also visit addresses not listed on our address lists and add them. They will record address information and action codes on address listing pages.

Sites for the 2006 Census Test will be selected in 2004. Prior to the selection, there is no available information regarding estimated number of living quarters or respondent burden for the Address Canvassing operation.

**3. TIGER Enhancement Database (TED)**

The TIGER Enhancement Database (TED) is an inventory of state, local, tribal and commercial geographic data critical to the modernization of the Census Bureau's MAF/TIGER database. More specifically, the TED is an interactive Oracle database containing metadata about the geographic data necessary for coordinate correction and feature update in TIGER. Such metadata include, but are not limited to: Contact information, data accuracy, currency, format, and medium.

TED is designed to be maintained and updated indefinitely to support MAF/TIGER Enhancements Program efforts throughout the decade. Metadata for population of the database will be collected on an ongoing basis from state/local/tribal governments, as well as, industry organizations and clearinghouses, and commercial suppliers.

Determining which governments and commercial sources exist and gathering metadata about what they contain, will require contact with individuals and business entities. Once the inventory of available resources has been completed for all 3,232 counties, the Census Bureau and its contractor will begin to acquire the source materials described in the inventory. These source materials may include satellite imagery, aerial photography, Global Positioning System (GPS) files, Geographic Information

System (GIS) files of transportation, hydrography, and other feature layers, address lists, and other graphic and tabular data that will provide updates to the MAF/TIGER database.

In addition to the above, there may be other operations and/or evaluations that could be added in the next three years to help the Census Bureau prepare for Census 2010 and evaluate the quality of work done during various census tests. Any other operations and/or evaluations would be similar to those above and would fall under the clearance as MAF/TIGER updating activities.

**II. Method of Collection**

The primary method of data collection for most operations/evaluations will be personal interview by Census Listers, Verifiers or Enumerators using the operation/evaluation's listing form. In some cases, the interview could be by telephone callback if no one was home on the initial visit. For TED, the primary method of method of data collection will be telephone contact. See part I for details.

**III. Data**

*OMB Number:* 0607-0809.

*Form Number:* The form numbers for activities have not yet been assigned.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* Varies by operation, see chart below for available estimates.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* Varies by operation, see chart below for available estimates.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* FY04 360.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* The only cost to respondents is that of their time to respond.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13, United States Code, Sections 141 and 193.

Activity	FY 2004 respondents	Average hours per response	Responses per respondent	FY 2004 burden hours
Record Linkage Follow-Up Evaluation .....	10,800	.033	1	360
Totals .....	10,800	.....	.....	360

**IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the

agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information

on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB

approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 2, 2003.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-30323 Filed 12-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

#### Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of Sales at Less Than Fair Value.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea with respect to Husteel Corporation, Ltd.; Hyundai HYSCO; and SeAH Steel Corporation Ltd. This review covers entries of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe into the United States during the period November 1, 2001, through October 31, 2002.

We preliminarily find that, during the period of review, sales of certain circular non-alloy steel pipe from Korea were made below normal value. If the preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results not later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 8, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julie Santoboni, Scott Holland or Andrew McAllister, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4194, (202) 482-1279 or (202) 482-1174, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Background

On November 2, 1992, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published an antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe ("pipe") from Korea. (See 57 FR 49453). On November 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice in the **Federal Register** of the opportunity for interested parties to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pipe from Korea. See *Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspend Investigation*, 67 FR 66612 (November 1, 2002). In November 2002, the Department received timely requests for review from Allied Tube and Conduit Corporation and Wheatland Tube Company (collectively, "petitioners") and from Husteel Co. Ltd. ("Husteel"), a Korean exporter/producer of the subject merchandise.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1), we published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on December 26, 2002, with respect to Husteel, Hyundai HYSCO ("HYSCO"), and SeAH Steel Corporation, Ltd. ("SeAH") (collectively, the "respondents"). See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 67 FR 78772 (December 26, 2002). The period of review ("POR") is November 1, 2001 through October 31, 2002.

On January 6, 2003, the Department issued antidumping duty questionnaires to the respondents. We notified the respondents that they must respond to sections A, B, C and D of the antidumping duty questionnaire.

On January 21, 2003, Husteel and SeAH requested that they be allowed to report their respective cost data on a fiscal-year basis rather than reporting costs for the POR. On January 30, 2003, we requested that the respondents demonstrate that the use of fiscal-year cost reporting would not be distortive. We received information from the respondents on the difference between fiscal-year and POR-based cost reporting on February 11, 2003. On February 24, 2003, we granted the requests and allowed Husteel and SeAH to report their costs for the 2002 fiscal year rather than the POR.

On January 27, 2003, the petitioners requested that the Department conduct verifications of the respondents' questionnaire responses. We received questionnaire responses from all of the respondents in February and March

2003. We issued supplemental questionnaires covering sections A through D to the respondents in May and June 2003, and received responses in June and July 2003. The petitioners submitted comments on the responses in March and July 2003. We received rebuttal comments from HYSCO on July 28, 2003.

On June 6, 2003, we published an extension of the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of this review to no later than November 30, 2003, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Korea: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for 2001-2002 Administrative Review*, 68 FR 33911 (June 6, 2003). The Department verified the sales and cost responses for each of the respondents during September through November 2003.

On November 10, 2003 the petitioners argued certain information submitted by HYSCO at the CEP sales verification constituted new information and should be rejected by the Department.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this review is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4mm (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled). These pipes and tubes are generally known as standard pipes and tubes and are intended for the low-pressure conveyance of water, steam, natural gas, air, and other liquids and gases in plumbing and heating systems, air-conditioning units, automatic sprinkler systems, and other related uses. Standard pipe may also be used for light load-bearing applications, such as for fence tubing, and as structural pipe tubing used for framing and as support members for reconstruction or load-bearing purposes in the construction, shipbuilding, trucking, farm equipment, and other related industries. Unfinished conduit pipe is also included in this order.

All carbon-steel pipes and tubes within the physical description outlined above are included within the scope of this review except line pipe, oil-country tubular goods, boiler tubing, mechanical tubing, pipe and tube hollows for redraws, finished scaffolding, and finished conduit. In accordance with the Department's *Final Negative Determination of Scope Inquiry on Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe and Tube from Brazil*, the