

duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis*. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of this antidumping duty administrative review for all shipments of persulfates from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) for Ai Jian, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters will be 119.02 percent, the PRC-wide rate established in the less than fair value investigation; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 28, 2003.

**James Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix Issues in Decision Memo

*Comment 1:* Production Process of the Proposed Surrogate Producer and Whether National Peroxide Limited is a More Appropriate Surrogate Producer  
*Comment 2:* Experience of Other Chemical Producers As Compared to that of the Proposed Surrogate Producer  
*Comment 3:* Whether the Proposed Surrogate's Receipt of Government Subsidies Distorts Its SG&A Ratio  
*Comment 4:* Reported Scope of the Proposed Surrogate's Business  
*Comment 5:* Whether to Apply a Specificity Ratio to one of Ai Jian's Factor Input Usage

[FR Doc. 03-30259 Filed 12-4-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-847]

### Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mike Strollo or Patrick Connolly at (202) 482-0629 or (202) 482-1779, respectively, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUMMARY:** On October 10, 2003, the Department published a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review and preliminarily found that the factors of production of Degussa-AJ (Shanghai) Initiators Co., Ltd. (Degussa-AJ) had not changed substantially since Degussa AG's investment in Shanghai Ai Jian Reagent Works (AJ Works). Therefore, the Department determined at the preliminary results that it will consider in any relevant future revocation inquiry any administrative reviews in which Shanghai Ai Jian Import and Export Corporation (Ai Jian) procured its products exported to the United States from AJ Works. On October 14, 2003, the petitioner, FMC Corporation (FMC), submitted a case brief. After considering these comments, we continue to find that Degussa-AJ's

factors of production have not changed substantially since Degussa AG's investment in AJ Works. As a result, the Department will consider in any future revocation inquiry any administrative reviews in which Ai Jian procured its products exported to the United States from AJ Works.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On October 10, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review for persulfates from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See *Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 58658 (Oct. 10, 2003). We gave interested parties 14 days to comment on our preliminary results. On October 24, 2003, FMC submitted a case brief. We received no other comments from interested parties on the Department's preliminary results.

#### Scope of Review

The products covered by this review are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The chemical formula for these persulfates are, respectively,  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ , and  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ . Potassium persulfates are currently classifiable under subheading 2833.40.10 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Sodium persulfates are classifiable under HTSUS subheading 2833.40.20. Ammonium and other persulfates are classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 2833.40.50 and 2833.40.60. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this changed circumstances review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Jeffrey May, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group I, to James Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated November 28, 2003, which is adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit in Room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

### Final Results

After our analysis of the comments received, we determine that Degussa-AJ's factors of production have not changed substantially since Degussa AG's investment in AJ Works. As a result, the Department will consider in any relevant future revocation inquiry any administrative reviews in which Ai Jian procured its products exported to the United States from AJ Works.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and (d) and 777(i) of the Act, and with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: November 28, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

*Comment 1:* Whether the Department Must Make a Successor-in-Interest Determination in this Changed Circumstances Review

*Comment 2:* Whether Ai Jian May Use Reviews In Which it Sourced its Merchandise from AJ Works to Support a Revocation Request

*Comment 3:* Whether Ai Jian is Subject to a Combination Antidumping Duty Rate Based on the Exporter-Producer Combination of Ai Jian and AJ Works [FR Doc. 03-30260 Filed 12-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-489-813]

### Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Investigation: Certain Processed Hazelnuts from Turkey

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Drury at (202) 482-0195, Michael Ferrier at (202) 482-1394, or Abdelali Elouaradia at (202) 482-1374, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### The Petition

On October 21, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("Department") received

an antidumping duty petition ("Petition") filed in proper form by Westnut LLC, Northwest Hazelnut Company, Hazelnut Growers of Oregon, Willamette Filbert Growers, Evergreen Orchards, and Evonuk Orchards ("Petitioners"). Petitioners are domestic producers of certain processed hazelnuts ("hazelnuts"). On October 28, 2003, and October 29, 2003 inclusive, Petitioners submitted information to supplement the Petition ("First Petition Amendment"). Additionally, on October 30, 2003, counsel for the Petitioners met with Department officials, at which time Department officials notified Petitioners that the Petition to date was insufficient. See *Memorandum to the File from John Drury, Case Analyst: Ex-parte meeting with Counsel for Petitioners*, dated October 31, 2003. On November 4, 2003, Petitioners submitted further information to supplement the Petition ("Second Petition Amendment"). On November 24, 2003, Petitioners submitted additional information to supplement their Petition at the request of the Department ("Third Petition Amendment"). On November 10, 2003, and November 26, 2003, the Istanbul Hazelnut and Hazelnut Products Exporters Union and the Black Sea Hazelnut and Hazelnut Products Exporters Union filed comments regarding industry support. On November 28, 2003, Petitioners filed additional comments regarding industry support. In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), Petitioners allege imports of certain processed hazelnuts from Turkey are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the U.S. industry.

The Department finds that Petitioners filed their Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because they are interested parties as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act, and they have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the investigation they are presently seeking. See *Determination of Industry Support for the Petition* section below.

#### Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation covers certain processed hazelnuts, including kernels, and kernels that have been roasted, blanched, sliced, diced, chopped, or in the following other forms: paste, meal, flour, croquant, and butter. In-shell hazelnuts are excluded from the scope of the order.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the

Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings 0802.22 and 2008.19.2000. The tariff classifications are provided for convenience and Customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of these investigations is dispositive.

As discussed in the preamble to the Department's regulations, we are setting aside a period for parties to raise issues regarding the scope of the investigation. See *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997). The Department encourages all interested parties to submit such comments within 20 days of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Import Administration's Central Records Unit, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230. This period of scope consultations is intended to provide the Department with ample opportunity to consider all comments and consult with parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination.

#### Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 732(b)(1) of the Act requires that a petition be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that the Department's industry support determination, which is to be made before the initiation of the investigation, be based on whether a minimum percentage of the relevant industry supports the petition. A petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (i) at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (ii) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. Moreover, section 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act provides that, if the petition does not establish support of domestic producers or workers accounting for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product, the Department shall: (i) poll the industry or rely on other information in order to determine if there is support for the petition, as required by subparagraph (A), or (ii) determine industry support using a statistically valid sampling method.

Section 771(4)(A) of the Act defines the "industry" as the producers of a domestic like product. Thus, to determine whether a petition has the requisite industry support, the statute