

Senatorial Campaign Committee, and the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee; (5) William W. Hall, on behalf of the Libertarian National Committee; (6) Lawrence Noble and Paul Sanford on behalf of the Center for Responsive Politics and FEC Watch; (7) Glen Shor on behalf of the Campaign Legal Center; (8) Lisa J. Danetz on behalf of the National Voting Rights Institute; and (9) the law firm of Ryan, Phillips, Utrecht & MacKinnon. At the public hearing on October 1, 2003, testimony was given by Messrs. Bauer, Hoerstring, Shor, McGahn, and Spies, and Marc E. Elias of Perkins, Coie, LLP. The Commission received no written comments or testimony from list brokers or other persons whose business primarily involves the sale or leasing of mailing lists. Copies of the comments and the transcript of the hearing are available on the Commission's Web site at www.fec.gov.

On November 6, 2003, the Commission voted to close the rulemaking on mailing lists of political committees. The Commission made this decision for several reasons. The written comments and oral testimony of a number of the commenters indicate that the regulated community does not perceive a need for further regulation of political committee mailing list transactions. In general, a number of the commenters believe that Commission advisory opinions, particularly Advisory Opinion 2002-14 (issued with respect to the rental of mailing lists of the Libertarian National Committee to other entities), have provided clear enough guidance on the conditions under which the proceeds from the sale or rental of mailing lists are not considered contributions to the political committee. The commenters expressed broad opposition to the proposed rules and questioned the need for such rules at this time.

In addition, a number of commenters asserted that there are a significant number of factors that must be considered in determining the usual and normal charge and whether the transaction is commercially reasonable. As several commenters stated, appropriate factors may vary considerably depending upon the circumstances. Because the Commission is not currently in possession of a factual record adequate to conclude that a particular test is sufficiently flexible and comprehensive to address all circumstances to which the proposed rules would apply, the Commission has decided not to proceed with final rules at this time, and to terminate this rulemaking.

Dated: November 7, 2003.

Bradley A. Smith,

Vice Chairman, Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-28473 Filed 11-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-330-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB-135 and -145 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain EMBRAER Model EMB-135 and EMB-145 series airplanes. This proposal would require relocating the pitot 1 and pitot 2 drain valves from the nose landing gear (NLG) compartment to the forward electronic compartment, and accomplishing follow-on actions. This action is necessary to prevent ice from damaging the pitot drain valves, which could cause airspeed indication errors, resulting in display of erroneous or misleading information to the flight crew. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by December 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-NM-330-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2002-NM-330-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from

Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER), PO Box 343—CEP 12.225, Sao Jose dos Campos—SP, Brazil. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Todd Thompson, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone 425-227-1175; fax 425-227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (*e.g.*, reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2002-NM-330-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the

FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-NM-330-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The Departamento de Aviação Civil (DAC), which is the airworthiness authority for Brazil, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain EMBRAER Model EMB-135 and -145 series airplanes. The DAC advises that water accumulates in the pitot 1 and pitot 2 drain valves in the nose landing gear (NLG) compartment where they are subjected to freezing temperatures. Frozen water in the drain valve can expand and cause the pitot drain valves to fail so that the airspeed indication system tubing is open to ambient pressure. This condition, if not corrected, could result in ice damage to the pitot drain valves, which could cause airspeed indication errors, resulting in display of erroneous or misleading information to the flight crew.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

EMBRAER has issued Service Bulletin 145-34-0070, Change 03, dated July 16, 2003, and Service Bulletin 145LEG-34-0002, dated September 23, 2002, which describe procedures for relocating the pitot 1 and pitot 2 drain valves from the NLG compartment to the forward electronic compartment so that water will not accumulate in the valves and the valves are less susceptible to freezing temperatures. The procedures also include installing a plug, washers, and a nut to close the hole from which the drain valve is removed; replacing an existing placard with a new placard; and applying sealant on the placard. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the applicable service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The DAC classified these service bulletins as mandatory and issued Brazilian Airworthiness Directive 2002-06-01R1, dated November 8, 2002, to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Brazil.

FAA's Conclusions

These airplane models are manufactured in Brazil and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DAC,

reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 374 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately between \$301 and \$304 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be between \$161,194 and \$162,316, or between \$431 and \$434 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities

under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

EMBRAER: Docket 2002-NM-330-AD.

Applicability: Model EMB-135 and -145 series airplanes; as listed in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-34-0070, Change 03, dated July 16, 2003; and EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG-34-0002, dated September 23, 2002; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent ice from damaging the pitot drain valves, which could cause airspeed indication errors, resulting in display of erroneous or misleading information to the flight crew, accomplish the following:

Relocation

(a) Within 2,000 flight hours or 12 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first: Relocate the pitot 1 and pitot 2 drain valves from the nose landing gear (NLG) compartment to the forward electronic compartment; and install a plug, washers, and a nut to close the hole in the structure where the pitot 1 and pitot 2 drain valves were removed; per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-34-0070, Change 03, dated July 16, 2003; or EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG-34-0002, dated September 23, 2002; as applicable.

Installation

(b) After accomplishment of paragraph (a) of this AD but prior to further flight: Install a new placard and apply sealant on the placard per the Accomplishment Instructions of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-34-0070, Change 03, dated July 16, 2003; or EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145LEG-34-0002, dated September 23, 2002; as applicable.

Actions Accomplished Per Previous Issue of Service Bulletin

(c) Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD per EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-34-0070, original issue, dated April 23, 2002; EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-34-0070, Revision 01, dated September 23, 2002; and EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-34-0070, Revision 02, dated December 2, 2002; are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding action specified in this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Note 1: The subject of this AD is addressed in Brazilian airworthiness directive 2002-06-01R1, dated November 8, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 7, 2003.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-28495 Filed 11-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2003-16359; Airspace Docket 03-ASO-18]

Proposed Establishment of Class D Airspace; Hilton Head Island, SC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to establish Class D airspace at Hilton Head Island, SC. A federal contract tower with a weather reporting system is being constructed at Hilton Head Airport. Therefore, the airport will meet criteria for Class D airspace. Class D surface area airspace is required when the control tower is open to contain Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) and other Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the airport. This action would establish Class D airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 2,000 feet MSL within a 3.9-mile radius of the airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC

20590-0001. You must identify the docket number FAA-2003-16359 Airspace Docket No. 03-ASO-18, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket office (telephone 1-800-647-5527) is on the plaza level of the Department of Transportation NASSIF Building at the above address.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Walter R. Cochran, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5627.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA-2003-16359/Airspace Docket No. 03-ASO-18." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRMs

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's web page at <http://www.faa.gov> or the Superintendent of Document's web page at <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara>. Additionally, any person may obtain a copy of this notice by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, ATA-400, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-8783. Communications must identify both docket numbers for this notice. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should contact the FAA's Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267-9677, to request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to establish Class D airspace at Hilton Head Island, SC. Class D airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 5000 of FAA Order 7400.9L, dated September 2, 2003, and effective September 16, 2003, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D airspace designations listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.