

person” to include any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with, the other person. Applicants state that JPMSI is an affiliated person of each of the other Applicants within the meaning of section 2(a)(3) of the Act. Applicants further state that the entry of the Injunctions would result in Covered Persons being subject to the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

2. Section 9(c) of the Act provides that the Commission shall grant an application for exemption from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) if it is established that these provisions, as applied to Applicants, are unduly or disproportionately severe or that the Applicants’ conduct has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the application. Applicants have filed an application pursuant to section 9(c) seeking temporary and permanent orders exempting them from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

3. Applicants believe they meet the standard for exemption specified in section 9(c). Applicants state that the prohibitions of section 9(a) as applied to Covered Persons would be unduly and disproportionately severe and that the conduct of Applicants has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the exemption from section 9(a).

4. Applicants state that the conduct giving rise to the Injunctions did not involve any of the Applicants acting in the capacity of investment adviser, subadviser, depositor, or principal underwriter for a Fund. Applicants state that the Complaint did not expressly reference the conduct of any current or former officer or employee of any of the Applicants who is or was involved in providing advisory or subadvisory services to the Funds advised or subadvised by Applicants.⁴ While the Applicants’ portfolio managers had access to research reports issued by the Research Department, there is no indication that the portfolio managers relied on these research reports more than any other data that would have been considered by the portfolio managers in making investment decisions for the Funds. Although some

of the Funds held securities in their portfolios at the time that JPMSI issued research reports concerning the issuers of such securities, as far as Applicants are aware, none of the officers, portfolio managers, or any other investment personnel employed by the Applicants made any investment decisions based on any non-public information relating to the conduct underlying the Final Judgment. In addition, each of the Applicants that serves as an investment adviser or subadviser to Funds has adopted policies regarding information barriers (the “Policies”) designed to protect the Funds from any conflict of interest that may arise between portfolio managers and employees of the Research and Investment Banking Departments. The Policies, which were in effect at the time of the conduct described in the Complaint, restrict communications between portfolio managers and certain other employees of JPMSI.

5. The Applicants have distributed, or will distribute, written materials, including an offer to meet in person to discuss the materials, to the board of directors or trustees of each Fund (each, a “Board”), including the directors who are not “interested persons,” as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act, of the Fund, and their independent legal counsel, if any, regarding the Injunctions, any impact on the Funds, and this application. The Applicants will provide the Boards with all information concerning the Injunctions and this application that is necessary for the Funds to fulfill their disclosure and other obligations under the federal securities laws.

6. Applicants state that the inability to continue providing advisory services to the Funds would result in potentially severe hardships for the Funds and their shareholders. Applicants also assert that, if they were barred from providing services to the Funds, the effect on their businesses and employees would be severe. The Applicants state that they have committed substantial resources to establish an expertise in advising and subadvising Funds. As described in greater detail in the application, certain Applicants recently applied for, and received, exemptions pursuant to section 9(c) for conduct that triggered section 9(a) of the Act.

Applicants’ Condition

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:

Any temporary exemption granted pursuant to the application shall be without prejudice to, and shall not limit the Commission’s rights in any manner with

respect to, any Commission investigation of, or administrative proceedings involving or against, Applicants, including without limitation, the consideration by the Commission of a permanent exemption from section 9(a) of the Act requested pursuant to the application or the revocation or removal of any temporary exemptions granted under the Act in connection with the application.

Temporary Order

The Commission has considered the matter and finds that Applicants have made the necessary showing to justify granting a temporary exemption.

Accordingly,

It Is Hereby Ordered, pursuant to section 9(c) of the Act, that Covered Persons are granted a temporary exemption from the provisions of section 9(a), effective forthwith, solely with respect to the Injunctions, subject to the condition in the application, until the date the Commission takes final action on their application for a permanent order or, if earlier, October 31, 2005.

By the Commission.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–27988 Filed 11–5–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC–26241; 812–12966]

Lehman Brothers Inc., et al.; Notice of Application and Temporary Order

October 31, 2003.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Temporary order and notice of application for a permanent order under section 9(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”).

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants have received a temporary order exempting them from section 9(a) of the Act, with respect to an injunction entered against Lehman Brothers Inc. on October 31, 2003, by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Federal Injunction”), until the earlier of the date the Commission takes action on an application for a permanent order, or two years from the date of the Federal Injunction. Applicants have requested a permanent order.

APPLICANTS: Lehman Brothers Inc. (“Lehman”), Lehman Brothers Asset Management Inc. (“LBAM”), and Lincoln Capital Fixed Income

⁴ The Complaint refers to general practices regarding the relationship between the Investment Banking and Research Departments. It is possible that one or more current or former officers or employees of the Applicants who is or was involved in providing advisory or sub-advisory services to the Funds was at some time an officer or employer of the Investment Banking or Research Department.

Management Company, LLC ("Lincoln Capital") (together, the "Applicants").¹

FILING DATES: The application was filed on April 28, 2003. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment to the application, the substance of which is reflected in this notice, during the notice period. Applicants have also agreed to file amendments to the application reflecting the issuance of each State Injunction (as defined below).

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:

An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 25, 2003, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Applicants, Lehman, 745 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10019; LBAM, 399 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10022; and Lincoln Capital, 200 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, IL 60606.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marc R. Ponchione, Senior Counsel, at (202) 942-7927, or Todd F. Kuehl, Branch Chief, at 202-942-0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a temporary order and a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0102 (telephone 202-942-8090).

Applicants' Representations

1. Lehman, a Delaware corporation, is a full service investment banking firm, which, among other activities, engages in securities offerings, including initial public offerings, secondary offerings

¹ Applicants request that any relief granted pursuant to the application also apply to any other company of which Lehman is or hereafter becomes an affiliated person (included in the term Applicants).

and debt financings, and provides merger and acquisition and other services. LBAM serves as investment adviser to one registered investment company ("Fund") and Lincoln Capital serves as investment subadviser for eight Funds. Lehman acts as the depositor or principal underwriter for Funds.²

2. On October 31, 2003, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York entered the Federal Injunction against Lehman in a matter brought by the Commission.³ The Commission alleged in the complaint ("Complaint") that Lehman violated certain Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers ("NASD") and Rules of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") (the NASD Conduct Rules and NYSE Rules together, the "Exchange Rules") by engaging in acts and practices that created or maintained inappropriate influence by Lehman's investment banking business (the "Investment Banking Department") over the research analysts in Lehman's research department (the "Research Department"). The Federal Injunction enjoined Lehman directly or through its officers, directors, agents and employees, from violating the specific rules cited in the Complaint. Without admitting or denying the allegations in the Complaint, Lehman consented to the entry of the Federal Injunction as well as the payment of disgorgement and penalties and other equitable relief. Applicants state that Lehman expects to enter into settlement agreements relating to the activities referred to in the Complaint with certain state and territorial agencies which may result in an injunction by a court of competent jurisdiction that is based on the same conduct and the same facts as the Complaint (each, a "State Injunction," and, together with the Federal Injunction, the "Injunctions"). Applicants request that this application cover any disqualifications of the Applicants under section 9(a) of the Act resulting from the Injunctions.

Applicants' Legal Analysis

1. Section 9(a)(2) of the Act, in relevant part, prohibits a person who has been enjoined from engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with the purchase or sale of

² Any registered unit investment trusts ("UIT") or registered face amount certificate company for which Applicants may serve as principal underwriter or depositor are also included in the defined term Funds.

³ *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Lehman Brothers Inc.*, 03 Civ. 2940 (WHP) (S.D.N.Y., filed April 28, 2003) (the "Action").

a security from acting, among other things, as an investment adviser or depositor of any registered investment company or a principal underwriter for any registered open-end investment company, registered UIT or registered face-amount certificate company. Section 9(a)(3) of the Act makes the prohibition in section 9(a)(2) applicable to a company, any affiliated person of which has been disqualified under the provisions of section 9(a)(2). Section 2(a)(3) of the Act defines "affiliated person" to include any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with, the other person. Lehman is an affiliated person of each of LBAM and Lincoln Capital within the meaning of section 2(a)(3) of the Act. Applicants further state that the entry of the Injunctions would result in Applicants being subject to the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

2. Section 9(c) of the Act provides that the Commission shall grant an application for exemption from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) if it is established that these provisions, as applied to Applicants, are unduly or disproportionately severe or that the Applicants' conduct has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the application. Applicants have filed an application pursuant to section 9(c) seeking temporary and permanent orders exempting them from the disqualification provisions of section 9(a) of the Act.

3. Applicants believe they meet the standard for exemption specified in section 9(c). Applicants state that the prohibitions of section 9(a) as applied to them would be unduly and disproportionately severe and that the conduct of Applicants has been such as not to make it against the public interest or the protection of investors to grant the exemption from section 9(a).

4. Applicants state that the conduct giving rise to the Injunctions did not involve any of the Applicants acting in the capacity of investment adviser, subadviser, depositor, or principal underwriter for a Fund.⁴ Applicants state that the Complaint did not expressly reference the conduct of any current or former officer or employee of Lehman who is or was involved in providing underwriting services to the

⁴ Lincoln Capital was acquired by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., the ultimate parent company of the Applicants, on January 31, 2003, and the only Fund advised by LBAM was first registered on May 7, 2003. Both of these events occurred after the conduct giving rise to the Injunctions.

Funds underwritten by Lehman.⁵ While LBAM's and Lincoln Capital's portfolio managers may have had access to research reports issued by the Research Department, there is no indication that the portfolio managers relied on these research reports more than any other data that would have been considered by the portfolio managers in making investment decisions for the Funds.⁶ Although some of the Funds held securities in their portfolios at the time that Lehman issued research reports concerning the issuers of such securities, Applicants state that LBAM began serving as investment adviser to a Fund and Lincoln Capital was acquired by Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. after the time period covering the conduct that forms the basis for the Injunctions. As far as Lehman is aware, none of the current or former officers, employees, portfolio managers, or any other investment personnel employed by Lehman, who is or was involved in providing principal underwriting services to the Funds, acted in their capacity as such based on any non-public information relating to the conduct underlying the Injunctions. In addition, each of the Applicants that serve or may serve as an investment adviser or sub-adviser to Funds has adopted policies regarding information barriers designed to protect the Funds from any conflict of interest that may arise between portfolio managers and other employees of Lehman.

5. Each of LBAM and Lincoln Capital has distributed or will distribute written materials, including an offer to meet in person to discuss the materials, to the board of directors or trustees of each Fund that it advises or subadvisees (each, a "Board"), including the directors or trustees who are not "interested persons," as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act, of the Fund, and their independent legal counsel, if any, regarding the Federal Injunction, any impact on the Funds, and this application.⁷ The Applicants will

⁵ The Complaint also refers to general practices regarding the relationship between the Investment Banking and Research Departments. It is possible that one or more current or former officers or employees of the Applicants, who is or was involved in providing advisory, sub-advisory or underwriting services to the Funds, was at some time an officer or employee of the Investment Banking or Research Departments.

⁶ Lehman states that it acts as principal underwriter to certain UITs whose portfolio securities were selected by an unaffiliated third party depositor based on information published by the Research Department.

⁷ LBAM and Lincoln Capital also will advise the Boards of any State Injunctions that are issued. With respect to the UITs discussed in footnote 6, Lehman states that it has provided or will provide written notification to the trustees for each of these

provide the Boards with all information concerning the Injunctions and this application that is necessary for the Funds to fulfill their disclosure and other obligations under the federal securities laws.

6. Applicants state that the inability to continue providing advisory services to the Funds and the inability to continue serving as principal underwriter to the Funds would result in potentially severe hardships for the Funds and their shareholders. Applicants also assert that, if they were barred from providing services to the Funds, the effect on their businesses and employees would be severe. The Applicants state that they have committed substantial resources to establish an expertise in advising and distributing Funds. Lehman and certain affiliated persons of Lehman previously have received exemptions under section 9(c) as the result of conduct that triggered section 9(a) as described in greater detail in the Application.

Applicants' Condition

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:

Any temporary exemption granted pursuant to the application shall be without prejudice to, and shall not limit the Commission's rights in any manner with respect to, any Commission investigation of, or administrative proceedings involving or against, Applicants, including without limitation, the consideration by the Commission of a permanent exemption from section 9(a) of the Act requested pursuant to the application or the revocation or removal of any temporary exemptions granted under the Act in connection with the application.

Temporary Order

The Commission has considered the matter and finds that Applicants have made the necessary showing to justify granting a temporary exemption.

Accordingly,

It Is Hereby Ordered, pursuant to section 9(c) of the Act, that the Applicants are granted a temporary exemption from the provisions of section 9(a), effective forthwith, solely with respect to the Injunctions, subject to the condition in the application, until the date the Commission takes final action on their application for a permanent order or, if earlier, October 31, 2005.

UITs and their independent depositor concerning the Injunctions, any impact on the UITs, and this Application, and will provide any other related information that may be requested by the trustees or independent depositors.

By the Commission.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-27986 Filed 11-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-26244; 812-12961]

Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P., et al.; Notice of Application and Temporary Order

October 31, 2003.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Temporary order and notice of application for a permanent order under section 9(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act").

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants have received a temporary order exempting them from section 9(a) of the Act, with respect to an injunction entered against Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPF&S") on October 31, 2003, by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Injunction"), until the Commission takes action on an application for a permanent order. Applicants have requested a permanent order.

APPLICANTS: Merrill Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. ("MLIM"), Fund Asset Management, L.P. ("FAM"), Merrill Lynch Investment Managers International Limited ("MLIMIL"), Merrill Lynch Asset Management U.K. Limited ("MLAM UK"), Roszel Advisors, LLC ("Roszel," and with MLIM, FAM, MLIMIL and MLAM UK, the "Advisers"), MLPF&S and FAM Distributors, Inc. ("FAMD," and with MLPF&S, the "Underwriters"), KECALP Inc. ("KECALP"), ML Taurus, Inc. ("Taurus") and Merrill Lynch Ventures, LLC ("Ventures") (together, the "Applicants").¹

FILING DATES: The application was filed on April 28, 2003.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission

¹ Applicants request that any relief granted pursuant to the application also apply to any other company of which MLPF&S is or hereafter becomes an affiliated person (included in the term Applicants).