

DOC case No.	ITC case No.	Country	Product
A-570-851	731-TA-777	China	Certain Preserved Mushrooms.
A-337-804	731-TA-776	Chile	Certain Preserved Mushrooms.
A-533-813	731-TA-778	India	Certain Preserved Mushrooms.
A-560-802	731-TA-779	Indonesia	Certain Preserved Mushrooms.

Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the *Sunset Regulations* (19 CFR 351.218) and *Sunset Policy Bulletin*, the Department's schedule of sunset reviews, case history information (*i.e.*, previous margins, duty absorption determinations, scope language, import volumes), and service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset Internet Web site at the following address: <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/>.

All submissions in these sunset reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303. Also, we suggest that parties check the Department's sunset Web site for any updates to the service lists before filing any submissions. The Department will make additions to and/or deletions from the service lists provided on the sunset Web site based on notifications from parties and participation in these reviews. Specifically, the Department will delete from the service lists all parties that do not submit a substantive response to the notice of initiation.

Because deadlines in a sunset review are, in many instances, very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306.

Information Required from Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties (defined in 19 CFR 351.102) wishing to participate in these sunset reviews must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to

participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the antidumping duty orders without further review.

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in the sunset review must file substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic interested parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the International Trade Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews.¹ Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: October 28, 2003.

James J. Jochum,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-27597 Filed 10-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

¹ A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation, 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-820]

Final Results of Analysis of Reference Prices and Clarifications and Corrections; Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Fresh Tomatoes From Mexico

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of analysis of reference prices and clarifications and corrections concerning the agreement suspending the antidumping duty investigation on fresh tomatoes from Mexico.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 1, 2003.

SUMMARY: The current agreement suspending the antidumping duty investigation on imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico went into effect on December 16, 2002. The Department of Commerce is publishing this notice to announce the final results of the analysis of the reference prices that it conducted pursuant to section IV.G of the agreement and to clarify and correct certain portions of the agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristin Case or Mark Ross at (202) 482-3174 or (202) 482-4794, respectively; Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Results of Analysis of Reference Prices

On December 4, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) and certain growers/exporters of fresh tomatoes from Mexico signed a new agreement suspending the antidumping duty investigation on imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico. *See Suspension of Antidumping Investigation: Fresh Tomatoes from Mexico*, 67 FR 77044 (December 16, 2002) (the 2002 Agreement). As a means of preventing price suppression or undercutting with respect to the sale of domestic tomatoes in accordance with section 734(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended

(the Act), the 2002 Agreement provides for the implementation of reference prices below which the signatory producers/exporters agree not to sell the subject merchandise. As specified in section IV.G of the 2002 Agreement, the Department agreed to conduct an analysis of the reference prices in order to evaluate whether the 2002 Agreement fulfills the requirements of section 734(c)(1)(A) of the Act. Based on our findings, we have determined that it is appropriate to increase the reference price for the winter season (October 23 through June 30) from \$0.2108 per pound to \$0.2169 per pound. The reference price for the summer season (July 1 through October 22) will remain at \$0.172 per pound.

The new reference price for the winter season of \$0.2169 per pound is effective November 1, 2003. The new box-weight chart, which reflects a new reference price for the winter season applied to common box types that are shipped from Mexico to the United States, can be viewed at the following URL: <http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov/tomato/new-agreement/documents/boxweightchart.html>.

Clarifications and Corrections

After publication of the 2002 Agreement the Department received several requests for clarification and it identified certain ministerial errors in the 2002 Agreement. On May 21, 2003, the Department released proposed clarifications and corrections to interested parties and invited them to comment. The signatories of the 2002 Agreement filed comments on May 30, 2003, proposing several modifications. No other party commented on the proposed clarification and corrections.

After reviewing the comments, the Department has decided to make the following clarifications and corrections to the 2002 Agreement:

1. To correct a ministerial error in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section, the telephone number for Janis Kalnins should be (202) 482-1392.

2. To correct a typographical error, the first sentence of section A.2. of Appendix B should read as follows:

When normal value is based on constructed value, the Department will compute constructed values (CVs) for each growing season based on the sum of each respondent's growing costs for each type of tomato plus amounts for selling, general, and administrative expenses (SG&A).

3. To correct a typographical error, the current URL of the website identified in the second sentence of the third paragraph of Appendix C, the last sentence of the fifth paragraph of

Appendix D, the third sentence of the last paragraph of Appendix E, and the third sentence of number four of Appendix F is: http://ia.ita.doc.gov/tomato/new-agreement/documents/suggested_forms.

4. To correct a ministerial error, the third sentence of section A.2. of Appendix D should read as follows:

For purposes of this Agreement, a condition defect is any condition defect listed in the chart in part A.5. below.

5. Appendix D, section A.5. should read as follows:

Under this Agreement, adjustments to the sales price of signatory tomatoes will be permitted only for condition defects. The term "condition defect" is intended to have the same definition recognized by the Fresh Products Branch of the United States Department of Agriculture, with the exception of abnormal coloring, and, therefore, covers the following items:

Condition Defects

Sunken & Discolored Areas
Sunburn
Internal Discoloration
Freezing Injury
Chilling Injury
Gray Mold Rot
Bacterial Soft Rot
Soft/Decay¹
Bruising
Nailhead Spot
Skin Checks
Decayed and Moldy Stems
Waxy Blister
White Core
Discolored or Dried-out Jelly Around Seeds

6. To ensure consistency with the actual entry documentation currently used by Canadian Customs, the Department will interpret the parenthetical reference to "Landing Form" as referring to Form B3 or the Canada Customs Coding Form.

7. To correct a typographical error, the title of Appendix F should read as follows:

Appendix F—Suspension of Antidumping Investigation—Fresh Tomatoes From Mexico—Procedure Signatories Must Follow for Selling Subject Merchandise for Processing.

Dated: October 29, 2003.

James J. Jochum,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-27695 Filed 10-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

¹ The most common decays listed by the USDA are pleospora rot, phoma rot, alternaria rot, and blossom end rot.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-841]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Canada: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of changed circumstances review of the countervailing duty order.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 2003, Georgetown Steel Company (formerly GS Industries), Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc. (formerly Co-Steel Raritan), Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., and North Star Steel Texas, Inc., filed a request for a countervailing duty changed circumstances review. Specifically, they request that the Department of Commerce revoke the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada. In response, the Department of Commerce is initiating a changed circumstances review of the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada. Interested parties are invited to comment on this notice of initiation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 3, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: S. Anthony Grasso, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3853.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 22, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published a countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada. See *Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil and Canada*, 67 FR 64871 (October 22, 2002). On October 1, 2003, the Department received a request from Georgetown Steel Company (formerly GS Industries), Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc. (formerly Co-Steel Raritan), Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., and North Star Steel Texas, Inc., the petitioners in the original investigation, that the Department initiate a changed circumstances review for purposes of revoking the countervailing duty ("CVD") order. Also the petitioners request that, upon revocation of the