

permits issued to the facility; (3) the permit distorts the annual compliance certification requirement of Clean Air Act section 114(a)(3) and 40 CFR 70.6(c)(5); (4) the permit does not require prompt reporting of all deviations from permit requirements as mandated by 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(B); (5) the permit does not assure compliance with all applicable requirements as mandated by 40 CFR 70.1(b) and 70.6(a)(1) because it illegally sanctions the systematic violation of applicable requirements during startup/shutdown, malfunction, maintenance and upset conditions; (6) the permit is not supported by an adequate statement of basis; (7) the permit does not assure compliance with all applicable requirements as mandated by 40 CFR 70.1(b) and 70.6(a)(1) because many individual permit conditions lack adequate periodic monitoring and are not practically enforceable; (8) the permit lacks federally enforceable conditions that govern the procedures for permit renewal in accordance with 40 CFR 70.5(a)(1)(iii); (9) the permit is based upon an inadequate permit application; (10) the final permit improperly limits the dates during which the permit conditions apply; (11) the permit does not include an adequate compliance schedule for an opacity violation; and (12) the permit should include language indicating the availability of any credible evidence to demonstrate non-compliance.

On September 30, 2003, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the petition on the Con Edison Hudson Avenue Street Station. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must reopen the permit to: (1) Adequately address Petitioner's comments on non-attainment NSR; (2) work with EPA to identify items that may be excluded from annual certification requirements; (3) supplement the PM monitoring requirements for the boilers; (4) include the SIP version of the excuse provision on the federally enforceable side of the permit; (5) revise the statement of basis to include a detailed explanation regarding the basis of granting a permit shield for 6 NYCRR Part 231 and (6) require record keeping to assure compliance with the facility's episode action plan. The order also explains the reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

## II. Ravenswood Steam Plant

On December 17, 2001, the EPA received a petition from NYPIRG, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit

to the Consolidated Edison Ravenswood Steam Plant, on the grounds listed above except for the New Source Review, permit condition effective date, adequacy of compliance schedule and credible evidence issues. On September 30, 2003, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must reopen the permit to: (1) Remove the "excuse provision" that cites 6 NYCRR section 201-1.4 from the federal side of the permit; (2) supplement the PM monitoring requirements for the boilers; (3) establish a relationship between any of the permit holders or operators and the system of fossil-fuel fired facilities that satisfies the criteria of 6 NYCRR section 227-2.5; (4) list, in the permit, those units that are defined as "NO<sub>x</sub> Budget Units"; (5) prescribe an analytical method for monitoring the sulfur-in-fuel limit; (6) specify the applicable compliance method that is used in the monitoring of sulfur dioxide emissions; and (7) identify in the permit the correct SIP version that constitutes the legal basis for the sulfur-in-fuel limit. The order also explains the reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

Dated: October 20, 2003.

**Jane M. Kenny,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 03-27260 Filed 10-28-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7579-9]

### Gulf of Mexico Program Policy Review Board Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), EPA gives notice of a meeting of the Gulf of Mexico Program (GMP) Policy Review Board (PRB).

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Tuesday, November 18, 2003, from 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Renaissance Grand Hotel, 800 Washington Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63101 (314-621-9600).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gloria D. Car, Designated Federal Officer, Gulf of Mexico Program Office, Mail Code EPA/GMPO, Stennis Space

Center, MS 39529-6000 at (228) 688-2421.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Proposed agenda includes FY 2003 Gulf of Mexico Program Accomplishments, Executive Order Status and Update, Briefings on Emerging Initiatives: PEW Commission Report, Ocean Commission Report, U.S. Mexico Gulf Programs Integration, White Water to Blue Water, Gulf Hypoxia, FY 2004 Program Workplan Overview. The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: October 22, 2003.

**Gloria D. Car,**

*Designated Federal Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-27271 Filed 10-28-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[RCRA-1999-0031; FRL-7580-3]

### RCRA Burden Reduction Initiative; Notice of Data Availability

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of data availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is requesting additional comment on ideas for reducing the recordkeeping and reporting burden imposed on the states, the public, and the regulated community under the Subtitle C hazardous waste regulations of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The burden reduction ideas in today's notice were suggested by commenters on our Proposed Rulemaking, published in the *Federal Register* on January 17, 2002. This notice provides EPA with the opportunity to receive public input on these ideas before we issue a final burden reduction rule. EPA is only taking comment on the ideas discussed in today's notice. We are not reopening for comment any of the other ideas discussed in the proposed rule.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before December 15, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Mailcode: 5305T, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID Number RCRA-1999-0031. Comments may also be submitted electronically, by facsimile, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Section 1.B. of the Supplementary Information section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information, call the RCRA Call