

agency comments) will be obtained and included as part of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Questions about the proposed action and DEIS can be addressed to Mr. Harold K. Clingerman, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: CENAB-PL-P, 10 South Howard Street, P.O. Box 1715, Baltimore, MD, 21203-1715, telephone 410-962-2650; e-mail address: [harold.k.clingerman@usace.army.mil](mailto:harold.k.clingerman@usace.army.mil)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** 1. In September 1991 construction of the shoreline protection features of the project were essentially complete and the project was dedicated on October 30, 1991. The project consists of widening and raising the beach from 4th street to the Maryland-Delaware line (about 8.2 miles) and a 0.3 mile transition into Delaware, construction of a steel sheetpile bulkhead from 4th street to the north end of the boardwalk at 28th Street (about 1.5 miles), construction of a sand dune from the north end of the boardwalk to the Maryland-Delaware line (about 6.7 miles plus a 0.3 mile transition into Delaware), and project operation and maintenance (non-Federal cost). The long-term features of the project include monitoring and renourishment (cost shared 53%/47%) over an economic life of 50 years. Maintenance of the dune and berm above +6 feet NGVD is the financial responsibility of the non-Federal sponsor.

2. As part of the EIS process, recommendations of borrow areas and project modifications will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact of the proposed activity on the public interest. The decision will reflect the national concern for the protection and utilization of important resources. The benefit, which may reasonably be expected to accrue from the proposal, will be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors that may be relevant to the proposal will be considered, among these are: Fish and wildlife resources; cultural resources; land use; water and air quality; hazardous, toxic, and radioactive substances; threatened and endangered species; regional geology; aesthetics; environmental justice; and the general needs and welfare of the public.

3. The DEIS for the GRR is expected for public release in late 2004.

**Wesley E. Coleman, Jr.,**

*Chief, Civil Project Development Branch.*

[FR Doc. 03-26434 Filed 10-20-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-41-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers**

**Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board; Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the forthcoming meeting. The meeting is open to the public.

*Name of Committee:* Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board (EAB).

*Date:* November 6, 2003.

*Location:* Crowne Plaza Hotel—Old Mill, 655 N. 108 Avenue, Omaha, NE 68154, (402) 496-0850.

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Norman Edwards, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC 20314-1000; Ph: (202) 761-4559.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Board advises the Chief of Engineers on environmental policy, identification and resolution of environmental issues and missions, and addressing challenges, problems and opportunities in an environmentally sustainable manner. The EAB will visit many locations on the Missouri River prior to the meeting to gain a better perspective of the issues of national significance associated with that river system. The public meeting, however, will focus on the generic issue of independent science review. The intent of this meeting is to present an opportunity for the Chief of Engineers to receive the views of his EAB. Time will be provided, however, for public comment. Each speaker will be limited to no more than three minutes in order to accommodate as many people as possible within the limited time available. If you wish to receive electronic notice of future meetings you may subscribe to a list server at: [http://www.usace.army.mil/inet/functions/cw/hot\\_topics/eab.htm](http://www.usace.army.mil/inet/functions/cw/hot_topics/eab.htm).

**Luz D. Ortiz,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-26433 Filed 10-20-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-92-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

**Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 20, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address [Lauren\\_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Lauren_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: October 15, 2003.

**Angela C. Arrington,**

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

**Office of Elementary and Secondary Education**

*Type of Review:* Extension.  
*Title:* Reading First Annual Performance Report.

*Frequency:* Annually.  
*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs (primary).  
*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:*