

its reports because that data would relay to consumers and to Congress a more accurate reflection of today's driving conditions and the in-use fuel economy.

Agency's Analysis

Under 49 U.S.C. 32904 and 32908, EPA is statutorily responsible for conducting fuel economy testing and calculating vehicle fuel economy, determining manufacturers' CAFE performances, and developing fuel economy data to be provided to consumers. Therefore, NHTSA simply does not have the statutory authority to grant the relief sought by the Bluewater petition. EPA is currently reviewing the petition and will address these issues separately.

After analyzing Bluewater's petition, the agency has concluded that it should not change the information it presents in its annual report on the CAFE program. NHTSA is statutorily required to base its CAFE calculations on the data supplied by EPA, resulting from these test procedures. Given that a primary purpose of the annual report is to provide information on the status of manufacturers' compliance with the CAFE standards, we believe that presenting the CAFE values as they are calculated for compliance purposes is the appropriate manner in which to present fuel economy data in the annual report. The report is not intended for consumer information purposes, and the agency is no longer required to submit the report to Congress. Finally, we note the agency's most recent update of the report includes a discussion that thoroughly explains the differences between EPA fuel economy values, on-road values, and the CAFE compliance values.

In light of the above considerations, the agency has reviewed the petition and concluded that it should not be granted. Accordingly, we deny Bluewater's petition. We note that this denial does not affect EPA's response to the petition.

Issued on: October 8, 2003.

Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 03-25959 Filed 10-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2003-16114; Notice 1]

Michelin North America, Inc., Receipt of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Michelin North America, Inc. (MNA) has determined that approximately 31,266 Michelin Pilot Sport/Alpin tires have been imported into the United States with sidewall markings that did not meet the labeling requirements of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 109 "New Pneumatic Tires."

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), MNA has petitioned for a determination that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." A copy of the petition may be found in this docket.

This notice of receipt of an application is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the application.

The affected tires whose sidewalls labeling includes a maximum psi inflation pressure marking which rounds from the metric value to the nearest whole number (in this case down), rather than rounding up to the next higher whole number as specified by FMVSS No. 109 S4.3.4 (a). The tires in question meet or exceed all other requirements of FMVSS 109. The regulations applicable to 340 kPa tires require that the psi units be rounded "to the next higher whole number" even when the nearest whole number, and most accurate rounding, would require rounding down than up. The correct maximum inflation pressure required by FMVSS No. 109 for these tires is: "340 kPa (50 psi)." The noncompliant tires were incorrectly marked: "340 kPa (49 psi)." The actual conversion of 340 kPa to psi units yields 49.35 psi before rounding to whole numbers (340 kPa divided by a conversion factor of 6.895 equals 49.35 psi).

MNA states that this noncompliance will have no impact on either the performance of the tire on a motor vehicle, or on motor vehicle safety itself. MNA argues that the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) has recently studied the impact of tire labeling information on safety in the context of its rulemaking efforts under the Transportation Recall Enhancement,

Accountability and Documentation (TREAD) Act. This analysis found that sidewall maximum inflation pressure labeling is poorly understood by the general public, and indicated that those consumers that are aware of sidewall maximum inflation pressure labeling commonly misuse this information. A number of commenters on both the Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Tire labeling recommended that the maximum inflation pressure labeling be removed from the sidewall because of its limited safety value and its propensity to confuse consumers. NHTSA ultimately decided to retain maximum inflation pressure labeling requirements as an aid in preventing over-inflation. The mislabeling issue in this case will in no way contribute to the risk of over-inflation because the value actually marked is lower than the value required by the regulations.

Also, MNA believes that, this mislabeling is clearly inconsequential with respect to safety for all of the following stated reasons: (1) The noncompliance is one solely of rounding to the nearest whole number and labeling; (2) The actual labeling is one psi less than that required by the regulation; (3) Rounding 49.35 psi to 49 psi, the nearest whole number, is more accurate in this case than rounding to the next higher whole number (50) as required by the regulations; (4) All performance requirements of FMVSS No. 109 are met or exceeded; (5) These tires are marked with the correct metric maximum inflation pressure (as allowed by FMVSS No. 109 and as shown on pages 1-32 of the 2003 Tire and Rim Association yearbook); (6) Use of the sidewall label as a source of information for the maximum inflation pressure will not increase the risk of over-inflation of the tire because the actual value is lower than both the actual maximum inflation pressure (by 0.35 psi) and lower than the 50 psi value required for these tires by the regulations; (7) Incorrect use of the sidewall label maximum inflation pressure as a source of information for the recommended inflation pressure will not result in an overloading of the tires or reduce the load capacity of the tires because the 49 psi conversion still remains 8 psi greater than that required to carry the maximum load for these tires. In fact, 340 kPa (50psi) is the higher of two alternative choices for the maximum inflation pressure provided for this tire's load rating per The Tire and Rim Association yearbook. Consequently, MNA believes that the foregoing noncompliance will have an

inconsequential impact on motor vehicle safety.

Interested persons are invited to submit written views, arguments, and data on the application described above. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted by any of the following methods: Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20590-0001. Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC. Fax: 1-202-493-2251, or submit to Federal Rulemaking Portal: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

It is requested, but not required, that two copies of the comments be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. except Federal Holidays. Comments may be submitted electronically by logging onto the Docket Management System Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically.

The application and supporting materials and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be considered. All comments received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the application is granted or denied, the notice will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: *November 13, 2003.*

Authority: (49 U.S.C. 301118, 301120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8)

Issued on: October 7, 2003.

Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 03-25960 Filed 10-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket No. RSPA-03-16273]

Pipeline Safety: Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) Workshop

AGENCY: Office of Pipeline Safety, Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice; Workshop on Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC).

SUMMARY: The Research and Special Programs Administration's (RSPA) Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS) and the National Association of Pipeline Safety Representatives (NAPSR) are cosponsoring a workshop on stress corrosion cracking (SCC) with the pipeline industry trade associations (American Petroleum Institute, Association of Oil Pipelines, Interstate Natural Gas Association of America, American Gas Association, and NACE International). The workshop will provide a forum for the discussion of SCC phenomena in both gas and hazardous liquid pipelines.

DATES: Tuesday, December 2, 2003, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The public may attend the meeting at the Westin Oaks Hotel, 5011 Westheimer Blvd., Houston, TX 77056 (telephone: 713-960-8100; fax: 713-960-6553). Operators of natural gas transmission and hazardous liquid pipelines are urged to attend. To facilitate meeting planning, advance registration for these meetings is strongly encouraged and can be accomplished online at the following Web site: <http://primis/rspa.dot.gov/meetings>.

Members of the public are welcome to attend the workshop. An opportunity will be provided for the public to ask questions or make short statements on the topics under discussion. You may submit written comments by mail or deliver to the Dockets Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. It is open from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You also may submit written comments to the docket electronically. To do so, log onto the following Internet Web address: <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help & Information" for instructions on how to file a document electronically. All written comments should identify the docket and notice numbers which appear in the heading of this notice. Anyone who would like confirmation of mailed comments must include a self-addressed stamped postcard.

Anyone may search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the April 11, 2000, issue of the **Federal Register** (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages

19477-78) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Information on Services for Individuals with Disabilities: For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the meeting, contact Juan Carlos Martinez (telephone: 202-366-1933; E-mail: juan.martinez@rspa.dot.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janice Morgan (telephone: 404-562-3552; E-mail

janice.morgan@rspa.dot.gov) regarding the subject matter of this notice. You can read comments and other material in the docket on the Internet at: <http://dms.dot.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The first recorded SCC failure of a pipeline in the United States was in 1965. SCC continues to be a threat to the integrity of both gas transmission and hazardous liquid pipelines under certain conditions. Recent incidents throughout North America and elsewhere, including Australia, Russia, Saudi Arabia, and South America, have highlighted the threats to pipelines from SCC failures. Although SCC failures on hazardous liquid pipelines have been very rare compared with other threats to hazardous liquid pipelines and compared with SCC occurrences on natural gas pipelines, three SCC-caused failures of hazardous liquid pipelines have occurred in 2003.

RSPA/OPS recently issued an Advisory Bulletin to remind owners and operators of gas transmission and hazardous liquid pipelines to consider SCC as a risk factor when developing and implementing Integrity Management Plans. All owners and operators of pipeline systems, whether or not their pipeline systems are subject to the Integrity Management Plan rules, should determine whether their pipeline system is susceptible to SCC and assess the impact of SCC on pipeline integrity. Based on this evaluation an operator should prioritize application of internal inspection, hydrostatic testing, or other forms of integrity verification.

The workshop on December 2, 2003, will address the following topics:

1. Stress Corrosion Cracking—description, science, and history.
2. Practical application of SCC principles—how to assess SCC in operating pipelines within the context of integrity management.
3. Response to the occurrence of SCC—guidelines for response and remediation; addressing public concerns.