

has resulted in the significant reduction of the long-term potential for release of contaminants, and, therefore, human health and potential environmental impacts have been minimized. EPA and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania find that the remedy implemented continues to provide adequate protection of human health and the environment.

Dated: September 3, 2003.

**James W. Newsom,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 03-24410 Filed 9-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

#### 42 CFR Parts 410 and 414

[CMS-1476-CN]

RIN 0938-AL96

#### Medicare Program; Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule for Calendar Year 2004; Correction

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

**ACTION:** Correction of proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects an error that appeared in the proposed rule published in the *Federal Register* on August 15, 2003 entitled "Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule for Calendar Year 2004."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diane Milstead (410) 786-3355.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

In FR Doc. 03-20662 of August 15, 2003, we published the proposed rule to update the physician fee schedule for CY 2004 (68 FR 49030). We identified an error and we are correcting it in the Correction of Errors section below.

##### II. Correction of Error

In FR Doc. 03-20662 of August 15, 2003 we are making the following correction:

On page 49058 in section III. A. 6. "Adjustments to RVUs to Match the New MEI Weights," the last sentence of this discussion, which is in the second column, incorrectly states that the effects of these adjustments are included in Addendum B of the proposed rule. We also omitted the word "estimated" when we discussed changes to the

RVUs. Therefore, we are replacing the last two sentences of this section with the following: "For this reason, we are proposing to reduce the physician work RVUs by an estimated 0.35 percent (0.9965) and the practice expense RVUs by an estimated 1.15 percent (0.9885) and increase the malpractice RVUs by an estimated 21.7 percent (1.217) to match the rebased MEI weights. We will include the effects of these adjustments in the physician fee schedule final rule and, as explained previously, we are not proposing a compensating adjustment to the conversion factor."

##### III. Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking

We ordinarily publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in the *Federal Register* to provide a period for public comment prior to publication of a final notice. We can waive this procedure, however, if we find good cause that notice and comment procedure is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest and incorporate a statement of the finding and the reasons for it into the notice issued.

We find it unnecessary to undertake notice and comment rulemaking because this notice merely provides technical corrections to the proposed regulations. Therefore, we find good cause to waive notice and comment procedures.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance; and Program No. 93.774, Medicare—Supplementary Medical Insurance Program)

Dated: September 24, 2003.

**Ann C. Agnew,**

*Executive Secretary to the Department.*

[FR Doc. 03-24548 Filed 9-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 74

[MB Docket No. 03-185; FCC 03-198]

#### Broadcast Services; Television Stations

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission seeks comment on rules for digital low power television ("LPTV") and television translator stations, and considers issues related to digital television booster stations. This proceeding marks the beginning of the digital television conversion for these

services. The rules and policies that will be adopted as a result of this proceeding will provide the framework for this conversion.

**DATES:** Comments are due November 25, 2003; reply comments are due December 26, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Keith Larson, Media Bureau (202) 418-2607. For additional information concerning the information collection(s) contained in this document, contact Shirley Suggs at 202-418-1568, or via the Internet at [Shirley.Suggs@fcc.gov](mailto:Shirley.Suggs@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* ("NPRM") in MB Docket No. 03-185, FCC 03-198, adopted August 6, 2003, and released August 29, 2003. The complete text of this NPRM is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC and may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. The *Notice* is also available on the Internet at the Commission's Web site: <http://www.fcc.gov>.

#### Synopsis

1. The NPRM contemplates that a digital TV translator station should be technically capable of rebroadcasting the entire incoming signal of its primary DTV broadcast station and producing a digital output signal that can be satisfactorily viewed on a receiver designed for the Commission's DTV transmission standard. The Commission seeks a definition for a digital TV translator consistent with this tentative conclusion. If the Commission were to extend the current analog translator definition, a digital TV translator would be a station operating for the purpose of retransmitting the programs and signals of a DTV broadcast station for reception by the general public, without significantly altering any characteristic of the original signal other than its frequency and amplitude. A digital TV translator would "pass through" the content and video format of a primary DTV station (e.g., an HDTV input signal would be retransmitted as an HDTV output signal). The Commission seeks comment on how to define digital TV translators and, in particular, how allowances for local message insertions should be incorporated into the definition.