

these preliminary results. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 37 days after the date of publication, or the first business day thereafter, unless the Department alters the date under 19 CFR 351.310(d). Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in the case briefs and comments, may be filed no later than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice. Parties who make such a submission in this review are requested to submit (1) a statement of each issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument for each issue, and (3) a table of authorities.

The Department will publish the final results of this new shipper review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief, within 90 days of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1).

Assessment Rates

Upon completion of this new shipper review, the Department will determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to Customs upon completion of this review. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review, we will direct Customs to assess the resulting rate against the entered customs value for the subject merchandise on each of the entries produced by Henan Yuyu Fruits & Vegetables Products Co., Ltd. and exported by Yisheng during the period of review.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for subject merchandise grown by Henan Yuyu Fruit & Vegetables Products Co., Ltd., and exported by Yisheng, the cash-deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review; (2) for all other subject merchandise exported by Yisheng, the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC countrywide rate, which is 376.67 percent; (3) for all other PRC exporters which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC countrywide rate; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise,

the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 22, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-24398 Filed 9-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-821]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Germany: Final Court Decision and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value.

SUMMARY: On March 8, 2000, the Court of International Trade affirmed the Department of Commerce's second remand determination results affecting the final margins for MAN Roland Druckmaschinen AG and its wholly-owned subsidiary MAN Plamag Druckmaschinen AG, as well as for "All Other" producers/exporters, except Koenig Bauer-Albert AG, in the less-than-fair-value investigation of large newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled, from Germany. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are

amending our final determination and will instruct the United States Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP) to liquidate all appropriate entries at the amended rate, as appropriate.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 26, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Goldberger at (202) 482-4136 or Irene Darzenta Tzafolias at (202) 482-0922, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 23, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published notice of its final determination of less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation of large newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled (LNPP), from Germany. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Germany*, 61 FR 38166 (July 23, 1996). In the final determination of the LTFV investigation, the Department established a final dumping margin of 30.80 percent *ad valorem* for MAN Roland Druckmaschinen AG (MAN Roland) and All Others (except Koenig Bauer-Albert AG (KBA) for which a 46.40 percent margin was established based on adverse facts available). On September 4, 1996, the Department published an antidumping duty order correcting ministerial errors made in the final determination and instructing the Customs Service¹ to collect cash deposits at the rate of 30.72 percent *ad valorem* for MAN Roland and All Others (except KBA as indicated above), on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after the date of publication of the International Trade Commission's (ITC's) final determination of threat of material injury.² *See Notice of*

¹ Now known as BCBP.

² The ITC's final determination of threat of material injury was published on September 5, 1996. The ITC found that an industry in the United States was threatened with material injury, and further determined, pursuant to section 735(b)(4)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, that it would not have found material injury but for the suspension of liquidation of entries of the merchandise under investigation. *See ITC Final*, 61 FR 46824 (September 5, 1996) at footnote 4. Therefore, pursuant to section 736(b)(2) of the Act, the Department directed the Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of entries of

Continued

Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Germany, 61 FR 46623 (September 4, 1996).

Following publication of the Department's antidumping duty order, the respondent MAN Roland and the petitioner Goss Graphic System, Inc., filed a lawsuit with the Court of International Trade (CIT) challenging various aspects of the Department's final determination of the LTFV investigation. In its first decision in this case on June 23, 1998, *Koenig & Bauer-Albert AG, et al., v. United States*, 15 F. Supp. 2d 834, 849-850, 854-855 (CIT 1998), Slip Op. 98-83 at 28-30, 40-43, the CIT issued an order remanding two issues to the Department. In its remand instructions, the Court ordered the Department to reconsider its decision not to combine certain production costs for MAN Roland and its affiliate MAN Plamag Druckmaschinen AG (MAN Plamag), and granted the Department's request to recalculate MAN Roland's selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses using an appropriate cost allocation ratio. In its final remand determination on September 17, 1998, the Department declined to compute a single, weighted-average cost for MAN Roland and Man Plamag because the companies failed to satisfy the fundamental condition for averaging costs -- that the products manufactured at their facilities be sufficiently similar in physical characteristics, such that they could be considered identical for product comparison purposes. However, the Department recalculated MAN Roland's SG&A expenses using an appropriate allocation ratio. See September 17, 1998, *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand (Redetermination 1)* at 9-10, 13-14. As a result of our recalculations pursuant to Court remand, the antidumping margin for MAN Roland changed from 30.72 to 39.60 percent.

In a later decision on March 16, 1999, *Koenig & Bauer-Albert AG, et al., v. United States*, 44 F. Supp. 2d 280, 287-288 (CIT 1999), Slip Op. 99-25 at 16-18, the CIT affirmed the Department's

recalculation of MAN Roland's SG&A expenses, but did not affirm the Department's final remand results pertaining to the issue of combining certain production costs of MAN Roland and its affiliate. The CIT held that the Department did not address the threshold question of whether MAN Roland and MAN Plamag should be collapsed in order to properly determine whether their production costs should be averaged, and remanded the issue to the Department again for reconsideration and explanation consistent with its opinion. Upon remand, on August 10, 1999, the Department found that MAN Roland and MAN Plamag should have been collapsed as a single entity in performing its antidumping analysis in accordance with the Department's practice as it then existed and was later codified at 19 CFR 351.401(f). Moreover, the Department determined that treating these affiliated producers as a single entity necessitated that the inputs transferred between them be valued at the cost of producing the input, and adjusted its constructed value calculations accordingly. Furthermore, in light of the identical merchandise requirement for production cost averaging purposes, the Department maintained its previous remand determination not to weight-average the production costs of the two affiliated companies. In addition, because MAN Plamag made no sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation, the Department's decision to collapse MAN Roland and MAN Plamag did not require any changes to the sales side of the Department's original final margin analysis. However, in contrast to its original final determination, the Department applied the same margin, as amended based on the above-described cost adjustments, to both MAN Roland and MAN Plamag. See August 10, 1999, *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand (Redetermination 2)* at 5-8. As a result of the adjustments made in *Redetermination 2*, the revised antidumping margin for both MAN Roland and MAN Plamag changed from

39.60 percent (margin calculated based on *Redetermination 1*) to 39.53 percent.

In sum, as a result of the two remands in this case, the final dumping rate for MAN Roland and its affiliate MAN Plamag increased from 30.72 percent (the original final LTFV margin for MAN Roland) to 39.53 percent *ad valorem*. The rate for All Others (which was originally based on Man Roland's rate) changed accordingly.

On March 8, 2000, the CIT affirmed the Department's final remand results (see *Koenig & Bauer-Albert AG, et al., v. United States*, Slip Op. 00-25, 90 F. Supp. 2d 1284 (CIT 2000)). On April 7, 2000, we published a notice of court decision (see *Notice of Court Decision and Suspension of Liquidation: Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Germany*, 65 FR 18294).

On April 22, 2002, the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled, from Germany was revoked effective September 1, 1999 (*Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Germany: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order, and Rescission of Administrative Reviews*, 67 FR 19551). On May 15, 2002, the CIT dismissed the litigation (*Koenig & Bauer-Albert AG v. U.S.*, Consol. No. 96-10-02298).

Therefore, in accordance with *Redetermination 2*, and because all litigation has concluded and the injunction has been lifted, we are amending our final LTFV determination in this matter and we will instruct the BCBP to liquidate entries, as appropriate, in accordance with our remand results.

Amendment to Final Determination

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Act, we are amending the final determination of LTFV investigation of LNPP from Germany. As a result of the remand determinations, we have assigned MAN Roland/MAN Plamag, and All Others final weighted-average margins as follows:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-average margin percentage
MAN Roland/MAN Plamag	39.53
All Others	39.53

LNPP imported from Germany, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption before

this date, and to release any bond or other security, and refund any cash deposit, posted to secure the

payment of estimated antidumping duties with respect to these entries.

Accordingly, the Department shall determine, and the BCBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will instruct the BCBP to assess entry-specific antidumping duty amounts by applying an *ad valorem* rate of 39.53 percent to the value of each entry during the period September 5, 1996 through August 31, 1997. The Department will issue appraisal instructions to the BCBP after publication of the amended final determination.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 16, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 03-24395 Filed 9-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-882]

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Refined Brown Aluminum Oxide (Otherwise known as Refined Brown Artificial Corundum or Brown Fused Alumina) from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 26, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger, Jim Mathews or Tinna E. Beldin, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4136, (202) 482-2778 or (202) 482-1655, respectively.

FINAL DETERMINATION:

We determine that refined brown aluminum oxide (RBAO) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) is being sold, or is likely to be sold, in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). In addition, we determine that critical circumstances exist with respect to all PRC producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The preliminary determination in this investigation was published on May 6, 2003. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Refined Brown Aluminum Oxide (Otherwise known as Refined Brown Artificial Corundum or Brown Fused Alumina) from the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 23966 (*Preliminary Determination*). Since the preliminary determination, the following events have occurred.

In July 2003, we conducted verification of the questionnaire responses of the sole participating respondent in this case, Zibo Jinyu Abrasive Co., Ltd. (Jinyu).

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary determination. In August 2003, we received case and rebuttal briefs from the following parties: the petitioners, C-E Minerals, Treibacher Schleifmittel Corporation, and Washington Mills Company, Inc.; the respondent Jinyu; and interested third parties Allied Mineral Products, Inc., Cometals, a Division of Commercial Metals Co., Saint Gobain Corporation, Dauber Company, Inc., Golden Dynamic Inc., China Abrasives Import and Export Corporation, and White Dove Group Import and Export Inc. (hereinafter interested third parties). The Department held a public hearing on August 20, 2003, at the request of the petitioners and the interested third parties.

Due to the closure of the federal government on September 18-19, the deadline for this final determination is September 22, 2003.

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is ground, pulverized or refined brown artificial corundum, also known as refined brown aluminum oxide or brown fused alumina, in grit size of 3/8 inch or less. Excluded from the scope of the investigation is crude artificial corundum in which particles with a diameter greater than 3/8 inch constitute at least 50 percent of the total weight of the entire batch. The scope includes brown artificial corundum in which particles with a diameter greater than 3/8 inch constitute less than 50 percent of the total weight of the batch. The merchandise under investigation is currently classifiable under subheading 2818.10.20.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the

merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Period of Investigation

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1), the period of investigation is April 1, 2002, through September 30, 2002, which corresponds to the two most recent fiscal quarters prior to the month of the filing of the petition (*i.e.*, October 2002).

Nonmarket Economy Status for the PRC

The Department has treated the PRC as a nonmarket economy (NME) country in all past antidumping investigations. See, *e.g.*, *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 49345, 49346 (September 27, 2001). A designation as an NME remains in effect until it is revoked by the Department. See section 771(18)(C) of the Act. No party in this investigation has requested a revocation of the PRC's NME status. Therefore, we have continued to treat the PRC as an NME in this investigation. For further details, see *Preliminary Determination* at 23968.

Separate Rate

In our preliminary determination, we found that Jinyu had met the criteria for receiving a separate antidumping rate. We have not received any information since the preliminary determination which would warrant reconsideration of our separate-rate determination with respect to this company. Therefore, we continue to find that Jinyu should be assigned an individual dumping margin.

Surrogate Country

For purposes of the final determination, we continue to find that India is the appropriate primary surrogate country for the PRC. For further discussion and analysis regarding the surrogate country selection for the PRC, see *Preliminary Determination* at 23970.

PRC-Wide Rate and Use of Facts Otherwise Available

As discussed in the Department's *Preliminary Determination*, Jinyu was the only exporter to respond to the Department's questionnaire and to cooperate in this investigation. Therefore, we have continued to calculate a company-specific rate for Jinyu only. However, in the preliminary determination, we stated that our review of U.S. import statistics from the PRC revealed that Jinyu did not account for all imports into the United States from the PRC. For this reason, we determined that some PRC exporters of subject merchandise failed to cooperate in this