

Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service.

Jordan P. St. John, Director, Office of Public and Constituent Affairs, Office of Public and Constituent Affairs, NOAA.

Gerald R. Lucas, Director for Strategic Resources Initiatives, Office of Human Resources Management, Department of Commerce.

Timothy J. Hauser, Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Dated: September 16, 2003.

John J. Kelly, Jr.,

Deputy Undersecretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere.

[FR Doc. 03-24271 Filed 9-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-12-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0157]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; Consolidated Form for Selection of Architect-Engineer Contracts (SF 330)

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0157).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning consolidated form for selection of architect-engineer and contracts (SF 330). The clearance currently expires on December 31, 2003.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be

collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Submit comments on or before November 24, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cecelia Davis, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 219-0202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Standard Form 330, Part I is used by all Executive agencies to obtain information from architect-engineer firms interested in a particular project. The information on the form is reviewed by a selection panel composed of professional people and assists the panel in selecting the most qualified architect-engineer firm to perform the specific project. The form is designed to provide a uniform method for architect-engineer firms to submit information on experience, personnel, capabilities of the architect-engineer firm to perform along with information on the consultants they expect to collaborate with on the specific project.

Standard Form 330, Part II is used by all Executive agencies to obtain general uniform information about a firm's experience in architect-engineering projects. Architect-engineer firms are encouraged to update the form annually. The information obtained on this form is used to determine if a firm should be solicited for architect-engineer projects.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 5,000.

Responses Per Respondent: 4.

Total Responses: 20,000.

Hours Per Response: 29.

Total Burden Hours: 580,000.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals:

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), Room 4035, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0157, Consolidated Form for Selection of Architect-Engineer Contracts (SF 330), in all correspondence.

Dated: September 17, 2003.

Laura G. Auletta,

Director, Acquisition Policy Division.

[FR Doc. 03-24222 Filed 9-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Department of Defense Historical Advisory Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Department of Defense Historical Advisory Committee.

Date: October 30, 2003.

Time: 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Place: U.S. Army Center of Military History, Collins Hall, Building 35, 103 Third Avenue, Fort McNair, DC 20319-5058.

Proposed Agenda: Review and discussion of the status of historical activities in the United States Army.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Jeffrey J. Clarke, U.S. Army Center of Military History, ATTN: DAMH-ZC, 103 Third Avenue, Fort McNair, DC 20319-5058; telephone number (202) 685-2709.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The committee will review the Army's historical activities for FY 2003 and those projected for FY 2004 based upon reports and manuscripts received throughout the period. And the committee will formulate recommendations through the Chief of Military History to the Chief of Staff, Army, and the Secretary of the Army for advancing the use of history in the U.S. Army.

The meeting of the advisory committee is open to the public. Because of the restricted meeting space, however, attendance may be limited to those persons who have notified the Advisory Committee Management Office in writing at least five days prior to the meeting of their intention to attend the October 30, 2003 meeting.

Any members of the public may file a written statement with the committee before, during, or after the meeting. To the extent that time permits, the committee chairman may allow public presentations or oral statements at the meeting.

Dated: August 1, 2003.

Jeffrey J. Clarke,
Chief Historian.

[FR Doc. 03-24254 Filed 9-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Denver Water's Moffat Collection System Project; Correction

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice; dates correction.

SUMMARY: The public scoping meetings scheduled for October 7, 2003 and October 9, 2003 published in the **Federal Register** on September 17, 2003 (68 FR 54432) did not contain the street address for the locations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Chandler Peter, (307) 772-2300.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of September 17, 2003, in FR Doc. 03-23733, on page 54432, in the second column, correct items 1 and 3 in the **DATES** caption to read:

1. October 7, 2003, 7 to 9:30 p.m. at the Fairview High School Cafeteria, 1550 Greenbriar Boulevard, Boulder, CO.
3. October 9, 2003, 7 to 9:30 p.m. at The Inn at Silver Creek Convention Center, West Peak Room, 62927 US Highway 40, Silver Creek, CO.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-24252 Filed 9-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-62-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Proposed Dam Powerhouse Rehabilitations and Possible Operational Changes at the Wolf Creek, Center Hill, and Dale Hollow Dams, Kentucky and Tennessee

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Corps of Engineers (Corps), Nashville District, will prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement

(DEIS) relating to the proposed dam powerhouse rehabilitations and possible operational changes at the Wolf Creek, Center Hill, and Dale Hollow Dams in Kentucky and Tennessee. This process is necessary to provide National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for proposed changes to the features of the project from that described in previous NEPA documents, which include the Continued Operation and Maintenance Environmental Assessments for each of the named projects and the January 1989 Wolf Creek Hydropower Draft Feasibility Study and Environmental Assessment. The Corps is studying the possible impacts of modifying existing equipment. Due to improvements in technology, rehabilitating the equipment would make it possible to produce significantly more power from the same amount of water discharged. Changes in equipment and operational procedures could also cause higher tailwater heights and velocities, but as there is a limited amount of water they would be for shorter duration. In addition, alterations to flow regimes are being considered to provide minimum flows when hydropower releases are shut off. If improvements are successful, other dams may eventually be considered for similar changes.

DATES: Written scoping comments on issues to be considered in the DEIS will be accepted by the Corps of Engineers until November 28, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Scoping comments should be mailed to Wayne Easterling, Project Planning Branch, Nashville District Corps of Engineers, P.O. Box 1070 (PM-P), Nashville, TN 7202-1070, or may be e-mailed to wayne.s.easterling@usace.army.mil.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information concerning the proposed action and DEIS, please contact Wayne Easterling, Project Planning Branch, (615) 736-7847.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. The intent of the DEIS is to provide NEPA compliance for changes in design features and operating procedures of the Wolf Creek, Center Hill, and Dale Hollow Dams in the Cumberland River system. All three dams are of a similar age, and the turbines and related equipment are well beyond their projects life, and have similar proposed rehabilitation and operational changes. Operating and equipment changes that will be studied could potentially affect more than a combined total 60 miles of tailwaters. This would primarily be a result of efforts to raise dissolved oxygen levels to at least meet the minimum state water quality standards,

although flows and elevations could also be altered for a significant distance. Furthermore, if the proposed changes prove desirable, they could set a precedent for future rehabilitations at other hydropower facilities. The Corps, therefore, proposes to evaluate these dams programmatically.

2. The three dams considered under this Environmental Impact Statement, Wolf Creek Dam, Center Hill Dam, and Dale Hollow Dam, were authorized in the 1930s and constructed in the 1940s before there was a significant concern for environmental protection. They all predate the NEPA, the Clean Water Act, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and many other related environmental laws and regulations. Together these three Corps projects affect the temperatures, flows, and dissolved oxygen (DO) levels of up to 250 miles of the Cumberland River and its tributaries. The Corps is studying the possible impacts of modifying existing structures or operating procedures to improve DO in the tailwaters. Alterations to flow regimes are being considered to provide minimum flows below the dams when hydropower releases are shut off.

3. Key proposed project features to be evaluated in the DEIS include the following:

a. Rehabilitation of turbines including Auto Venting Turbines to improve DO levels in the tailwaters.

b. Minimum releases to ensure continuous flows between periods of generation.

c. The effects of increased tailwater flows on tailwater parks, downstream fishing areas, adjacent low lying farmlands, erosion of riverbanks, cultural archaeological and historic sites, and changes to the hydraulics and hydrology of the rivers.

d. Other alternatives studied will include: No Action; restoration to the "original" 1948 condition; refurbishing existing units; oxygenating water in the dam fore bays prior to release; and spilling water through the floodgates.

4. This notice serves to solicit scoping comments from the public; federal, state and local agencies and officials; Indian Tribes; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this proposed activity. Any comments received during the comment period will be considered in the NEPA process. Comments are used to assess impacts on fish and wildlife, endangered species, historic properties, water quality, water supply and conservation, economics, aesthetics, wetlands, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, energy