

review as to those companies and will issue appropriate assessment instructions to the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection. For Benxum and TCGI, the period of the administrative review that is hereby rescinded is September 19, 2001 through March 31, 2003. For Xinxi, the period of the administrative review that is hereby rescinded is February 12, 2002 through March 31, 2003.<sup>1</sup>

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 351.213(d)(4) and section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 2, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-22785 Filed 9-5-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-817]

#### Oil Country Tubular Goods From Mexico: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On September 25, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from

Mexico. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 67 FR 60210 (September 25, 2002) (Initiation). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2001 to July 31, 2002. This review has now been rescinded because there were no entries for consumption of subject merchandise that are subject to review in the United States during the POR.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 8, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Phyllis Hall or Abdelali Elouaradia, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 7866, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1398 or (202) 482-1374 respectively.

#### Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are oil country tubular goods, hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

The Department has determined that couplings, coupling stock and drill pipe are not within the scope of the antidumping order on OCTG from Mexico. *See Letter to Interested Parties; Final Affirmative Scope Decision, August 27, 1998. See Continuation of Countervailing and Antidumping Duty Orders on Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea and Mexico, and Partial Revocation of Those Orders From Argentina and Mexico With Respect to Drill Pipe*, 66 FR 38630, July 25, 2001.

#### Background

On August 30, 2002, United States Steel Corporation (petitioner), requested an administrative review of Tubos de Acero de Mexico S.A. (TAMSA), a Mexican producer and exporter of OCTG, with respect to the antidumping order published in the **Federal Register**. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Mexico*, 60 FR 41055 (August 11, 1995). Additionally, respondent Hylsa, S.A. de C.V. (Hylsa) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Hylsa. On September 11, 2002, Hylsa withdrew its request and requested that the Department terminate the review. Therefore, the Department did not initiate with respect to Hylsa. We initiated the review for TAMSA. *See Initiation*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 11, 2002, the Department issued an antidumping duty questionnaire to TAMSA. On November 1, 2002, TAMSA and Siderca Corporation (TAMSA's U.S. affiliate) claimed that they "did not directly or indirectly, enter for consumption, or sell, export or ship for entry for consumption in the United States subject merchandise during the period of review." Petitioner subsequently claimed on November 12, 2002, that publicly available import data from the Department's IM-145 database showed that 2,187 metric tons of seamless OCTG from Mexico entered the United States during the POR. Petitioner asserted that TAMSA was the only producer of seamless OCTG in Mexico. Petitioner requested that the Department investigate these transactions to determine whether this merchandise is subject to review. On December 10, 2002, the Department forwarded a no-shipment inquiry to Customs for circulation to all Customs ports. Customs did not indicate to the Department that there was any record of

<sup>1</sup> The liquidation of entries of subject merchandise exported by Xinyi was not suspended until the final determination in the original investigation. *See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Certain Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 48233, 48242 (September 19, 2001) compare with *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Certain Automotive Replacement Glass Windshields from the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 6482, 6484 (February 12, 2002).

consumption entries during the POR of OCTG from Mexico exported by TAMSA. As part of this investigation, the Department issued supplemental questionnaires on March 28, 2003, and April 14, 2003. On April 4, 2003 and April 23, 2003, TAMSA submitted its responses to the supplemental questionnaires.

The Department has thoroughly investigated proprietary information from U.S. Customs Service (as of March 1, 2003, renamed the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection) (Customs) for all HTSUS numbers covered by the scope of this review. After reviewing the Customs information and the public data submitted by petitioner, the Department determined that the merchandise entered during the POR was exported from a third country or was exported to a foreign trade zone by TAMSA. The Department notes that the merchandise was entered under the proper country of export (the third country or Mexico) and the merchandise was declared as being of Mexican origin and was entered subject to duty.

Finally, the Department requested additional information from Customs and the respondent regarding certain entries. Both Customs and TAMSA submitted information pertaining to these entries (see August 6, 2003 TAMSA submission). The documentation clearly indicates the merchandise was first admitted into a foreign trade zone. After further analysis we found that these entries were subsequently entered for consumption in the U.S. and were subject to antidumping duties. After reviewing the information, the Department determines that TAMSA had no knowledge that these sales were destined for consumption in the United States. Under these circumstances, Petitioners did not object to rescinding this review involving these entries of subject merchandise produced by TAMSA. See *Memorandum to the File From Richard O. Weible dated August 21, 2003*.

Accordingly, we are rescinding this review. The cash deposit rate will continue to be the rate established in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: September 2, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-22784 Filed 9-05-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-824]

#### Silicomanganese From Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil. The preliminary results of this review are now due on October 17, 2003.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 8, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Ellman, (202) 482-4852, or Katja Kravetsky, (202) 482-0108, AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

On January 22, 2003, in response to a request to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review covering the period December 1, 2001, through November 30, 2002. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 3009.

Currently, the preliminary results of this administrative review are due no later than September 2, 2003. Due to the complexity of certain cost issues, including the cost investigation and high inflation during the period of review, that have arisen in the course of the review, it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. Therefore, in accordance with that section, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than October 17, 2003. The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: August 29, 2003.

**Jeffrey May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group I.*

[FR Doc. 03-22786 Filed 9-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-201-810]

#### Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Mexico: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate (CTL Plate) from Mexico for the period January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2001, the period of review (POR). For information on the net subsidy for the reviewed company as well as for non-reviewed companies, please see the "Preliminary Results of Review" section of this notice. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of the administrative review, we will instruct the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP) to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. (See the "Public Comment" section of this notice).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 8, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lyman Armstrong, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3601.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 17, 1993, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (58 FR 43755) the countervailing duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Mexico. On August 6, 2002, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (67 FR 50856) of this countervailing duty order. On