

adjusted and no further action is required by this paragraph.

(2) If it is not possible to install the rigging pins on either input lever, before further flight, adjust the length of the appropriate adjustable rod, per the Accomplishment Instructions specified in the applicable service bulletin.

**Note 2:** For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

#### Measurement of Rudder Servo-Controls Desynchronization and Corrective Action if Necessary

(b) Within 16 months after the effective date of this AD: Measure the desynchronization value (value D) of the rudder servo-controls and, depending on the measurement, before further flight, perform the applicable corrective actions (e.g., replacement and/or adjustment of the spring rod and/or the rudder servo-controls); per the Accomplishment Instructions specified in Airbus Service Bulletin A330-27-3084 (for Model A330 series airplanes); or Airbus Service Bulletin A340-27-4088 (for Model A340 series airplanes); both dated March 28, 2001; as applicable. Operators should note that although these service bulletins request that desynchronized rudder servo-controls with the highest load factors be returned to the manufacturer, that action is not required by this AD.

(c) If any rudder servo-control was replaced per the requirements of paragraph (b) of this AD, do paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD.

(1) Before further flight, perform either a detailed inspection or a high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspection for cracks in the attachment fittings of the desynchronized rudder servo-controls, and perform the applicable follow-on and corrective actions (e.g., cold expansion of affected fastener holes, drilling/reaming of affected holes, and rotating probe inspections), per the Accomplishment Instructions specified in Airbus Service Bulletin A330-55-3028 (for Model A330 series airplanes); or Airbus Service Bulletin A340-55-4026 (for Model A340 series airplanes); both excluding Appendix 01; both dated May 28, 2001; as applicable; except where the service bulletin specifies to contact the manufacturer for repair instructions, repair per a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA.

(2) Repeat the inspection required by paragraph (c)(1) of this AD at the following intervals:

(i) If the immediately preceding inspection was conducted using detailed inspection techniques, conduct the next inspection within 300 flight cycles; or

(ii) If the immediately preceding inspection was conducted using HFEC techniques,

conduct the next inspection within 6,000 flight cycles.

#### Concurrent Requirements

(d) Concurrently with the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this AD, install appropriate rigging placards for the rudder servo-controls, per Airbus Service Bulletin A330-27-3082 (for Model A330 series airplanes); or Airbus Service Bulletin A340-27-4086 (for Model A340 series airplanes); both dated March 28, 2001; as applicable.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

#### Special Flight Permit

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

#### Incorporation by Reference

(g) The actions shall be done in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A330-27-3082, dated March 28, 2001; Airbus Service Bulletin A330-27-3084, dated March 28, 2001; Airbus Service Bulletin A330-55-3028, excluding Appendix 01, dated May 28, 2001; Airbus Service Bulletin A340-27-4086, dated March 28, 2001; Airbus Service Bulletin A340-27-4088, dated March 28, 2001; and Airbus Service Bulletin A340-55-4026, excluding Appendix 01, dated May 28, 2001; as applicable. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directives 2001-156(B) and 2001-157(B), both dated May 2, 2001.

#### Effective Date

(h) This amendment becomes effective on October 10, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 27, 2003.

Neil D. Schalekamp,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-22495 Filed 9-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Part 1512

#### Requirements for Bicycles—Tests and Test Procedures; Correction

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** It has come to the attention of the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) recently that the equation defining the criteria for the reflective tire and rim test that appears in the current CPSC bicycle regulations has several typographical errors. Therefore, the Commission is issuing this immediately effective revision to the pertinent portion of the those regulations to correct the errors.

**DATES:** This rule is effective September 5, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vincent Amodeo, Directorate for Engineering Sciences, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-7570; e-mail [vamodeo@cpsc.gov](mailto:vamodeo@cpsc.gov)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. The Correction

The Commission's bicycle regulations issued under authority of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (FHSA), 15 U.S.C. 1261-1278, appear at 16 CFR part 1512. The current version of the portion of those regulations establishing the criteria for testing reflective tires and rims contains several typographical errors. In particular, in § 1512.18(o)(2)(iv), in the Ratio equation, the symbol "θ" for the entrance angle is missing and the symbol "Φ" for the observation angle is incorrectly shown as a lower case "o". The correct equation reads as follows:

$$A = 4\cos^2\theta/[1+(\Phi/0.225)^{3/2}]$$

The symbols θ and Φ are also omitted in the sentence following the Ratio equation. Accordingly, the Commission is issuing this immediately effective amendment to § 1512.18(o)(2)(iv) to correct these errors.

## B. The Administrative Procedure Act (APA)

Section 553(b)(3)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) authorizes an agency to dispense with certain notice procedures for a rule when it finds "good cause" to do so. 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B). Specifically, under section 553(b)(3)(B), the requirement for notice and an opportunity to comment does not apply when the agency, for good cause, finds that those procedures are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." This amendment corrects obvious typographical errors in the current versions of § 1512.18(o)(2)(iv) and does not change the criteria set forth therein. Accordingly, the Commission hereby finds that notice of, and public comment on, this technical correction are unnecessary.

Section 553(d)(3) of the APA authorizes an agency, "for good cause found and published with the rule," to dispense with the otherwise applicable requirement that a rule be published in the **Federal Register** at least 30 days before its effective date. The Commission hereby finds that a 30 day delay in the effective date is unnecessary because this technical amendment merely corrects obvious typographical errors in the current version of § 1512.18(o)(2)(iv).

## C. Other Rulemaking Requirements

Because this technical correction is being issued as a final rule not subject to notice and comment, it is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*

The Commission's regulations at 16 CFR 1021.5(c)(1) state that rules or safety standards to provide design or performance requirements for products normally have little or no potential for affecting the human environment. Because this amendment is a technical correction that makes no change to the substantive requirements of the portion of the regulations being amended, the Commission concludes that no environmental assessment or environmental impact statement is required.

As provided for in Executive Order 12988 (February 5, 1996), the CPSC states the preemptive effect of this technical correction amendment as follows. The FHSA provides that, generally, if the Commission issues a banning rule under section 2(q) of the FHSA to protect against a risk of illness or injury associated with a hazardous substance, "no State or political subdivision of a State may establish or continue in effect a requirement

applicable to such substance and designed to protect against the same risk of illness or injury unless such requirement is identical to the requirement established under such regulations." 15 U.S.C. 1261n(b)(1)(B). Upon application to the Commission, a State or local standard may be excepted from this preemptive effect if the State or local standard (1) provides a higher degree of protection from the risk of injury or illness than the FHSA standard and (2) does not unduly burden interstate commerce. In addition, the Federal government, or a State or local government, may establish and continue in effect a non-identical requirement that provides a higher degree of protection than the FHSA requirement for the hazardous substance for the Federal, State or local government's own use. 15 U.S.C. 1261n(b)(2). Thus, this technical correction amendment preempts non-identical state or local requirements designed to protect against the same risk of injury.

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Commission amends part 1512 of Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:

### PART 1512—REQUIREMENTS FOR BICYCLES

■ 1. The authority for Part 1512 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 2(f)(1)(D), (q)(1)(A), (s), 3(e)(1), 74 Stat. 372, 374, 375, as amended, 80 Stat. 1304–05, 83 Stat. 187–89 (15 U.S.C. 1261, 1262); Pub. L. 107–319, 116 Stat. 2776.

■ 2. In §1512.18, revise the heading and first sentence of paragraph (o)(2)(iv) to read as follows:

#### § 1512.18 Tests and test procedures.

\* \* \* \* \*

(o) \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(iv) *Criteria.* The ratio A as defined in § 1512.18(o)(2)(iii) shall not be less than:

$$A = 4\text{Cos}^2\theta/[1+(\Phi/0.225)^{3/2}]$$

where A is ratio in meters,  $\theta$  is the entrance angle in degrees, and  $\Phi$  is the observation angle in degrees. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: August 28, 2003.

**Todd A. Stevenson, Secretary,**

*Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 03–22587 Filed 9–4–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355–01–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 70

[NE 190–1190a; FRL–7552–9]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Operating Permits Program; State of Nebraska

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is announcing approval of revisions to the Nebraska State Implementation Plan (SIP) and Operating Permits Program. On September 5, 2002, the state updated its air program construction and operating permitting rules, its definitions rule, and emission inventory reporting rule. Approval of these revised rules will ensure consistency between the state and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal enforceability of the state's revised air program rules.

**DATES:** This direct final rule will be effective November 4, 2003, unless EPA receives adverse comments by October 6, 2003. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted either by mail or electronically. Written comments should be submitted to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Electronic comments should be sent either to Wayne Kaiser at [kaiser.wayne@epa.gov](mailto:kaiser.wayne@epa.gov) or to <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is an alternative method for submitting electronic comments to EPA. To submit comments, please follow the detailed instructions described in "What action is EPA taking" in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

Copies of documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the above-listed Region 7 location. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551–7603 or by E-mail at [kaiser.wayne@epa.gov](mailto:kaiser.wayne@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This section provides additional