• Information provided by the customer concerning the types and amounts of traditional bank products needed or desired by the customer and the customer’s ability to obtain those products from the bank or its affiliates; and
• The bank personnel authorized to make the analysis described above for individual customers or classes of customers and the training and guidelines provided these personnel; and
• The internal processes and controls, including approval and documentation requirements, the bank uses to ensure that the analysis described above is (i) performed by the bank for a customer before the customer is offered a mixed-product arrangement and (ii) adequately reflected in the records of the bank.

The bank’s policies and procedures also should ensure that any material information relied on by the bank in analyzing the types and amounts of traditional bank products likely required by a customer is current and reliable, and that the assessment of a customer’s ability to satisfy the condition associated with a mixed-product arrangement solely through the purchase of traditional bank products is made prior to, and reasonably current with, the time the arrangement is offered to the customer.

The types and amount of information and level of analysis necessary for a bank to establish a good faith belief that a customer has a meaningful choice under a mixed-product arrangement may vary depending on the nature and characteristics of the arrangement and the types of customer(s) to which it is offered. For example, a less detailed and granular review likely would be required for a bank to establish a good faith belief that a large, complex company has a meaningful option of satisfying a condition solely through the purchase of traditional bank products than a smaller company with less complex business operations. In addition, a less detailed review likely would be necessary for a bank to develop a good faith estimate of the need for traditional bank products of an existing customer with a long history with the bank than of a potential customer or a customer with only a brief relationship with the bank.

C. Ability of Banks to Offer Mixed-Product Arrangements to Individuals

Bank products directed to individuals typically are standardized. Although such standardization may allow the product to be offered economically to large numbers of individual customers, it also means that the terms of the product typically are not modified to the same extent as with corporate customers to reflect the specific needs and resources of the customer.

Furthermore, because individuals typically have less bargaining power and may be less financially sophisticated, individuals may be more susceptible to subtle pressure by a bank that encourages the customer to purchase a non-traditional product from the bank or an affiliate. The potential for such subtle pressure to be applied in a manner that is both effective and difficult to uncover is particularly strong in mixed-product arrangements because these arrangements include both traditional bank products and non-traditional products and individuals often believe that they do not have (and, in fact, may not have) the ability to negotiate with a bank. These facts make it difficult for a bank to establish a good faith belief that a mixed-product arrangement provides an individual a meaningful option to satisfy the condition associated with the arrangement solely through the purchase of traditional bank products without a detailed and, in many cases, uneconomical analysis of the financial needs and capabilities of each individual offered the arrangement.

The Board recognizes that section 106 limits the ability of banking organizations to provide individual consumers with discounts on packages of bundled products and, thus, pass along the cost savings that may arise from bundled offerings in ways that are both pro-consumer and not anti-competitive. It was in part to allow banks some flexibility to provide individual consumers with the benefits of discounts on bundled offerings that the Board in 1995 exercised its exemptive authority to adopt a safe-harbor for combined-balance discount programs, which are a type of mixed-product arrangement that typically are marketed to individuals.26 Moreover, the Board notes that section 106 does not impede the ability of a bank to provide individual consumers with discounts on packages of bundled traditional bank products and does not restrict the ability of a nonbank affiliate of a bank to offer mixed-product arrangements to individual consumers.

26This exception, which is discussed in Part IV.D, allows banks to offer certain combined-balance discount programs to individuals without making a specific determination that the particular customer has a meaningful option of qualifying for the discounts within the program solely through the use of the deposit products (a traditional bank product) included in the program. See 12 CFR 225.7(b)(2).


Jennifer J. Johnson,
Secretary of the Board.
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BILLING CODE 6120–02–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notifiers listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board’s Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)). The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than September 12, 2003.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland

(Stephen J. Ong, Vice President) 1455 East Sixth Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44101–2566:

1. Laurie L. McClellan and Walter L. McClellan, Minerva, Ohio; to acquire voting shares of Consumers Bancorp, Inc., and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Consumers National Bank, Minerva, Ohio.


Robert deV. Frierson,
Deputy Secretary of the Board.
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BILLING CODE 6120–01–S

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Maximum Per Diem Rates for the Continental United States (CONUS)

AGENCY: Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice of Per Diem Bulletin 04–1, Fiscal Year (FY) 2004 continental United States (CONUS) per diem rates.

SUMMARY: An analysis of lodging and meal cost survey data reveals that the FY 2004 maximum per diem rates for locations within the continental United