Amended Notices


Joseph C. Montgomery,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.
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BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7548–7]

Office of Research and Development; Board of Scientific Counselors, Executive Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C., App.2) notification is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development (ORD), Board of Scientific Counselors (BOSC), will hold an Executive Committee Meeting.

DATES: The Meeting will be held on September 11–12, 2003. On Thursday, September 11, the meeting will begin at 8:30 a.m., and will recess at 4:30 p.m. On Friday, January 12, the meeting will reconvene at 9 a.m. and will adjourn at approximately 2 p.m. All times noted are Eastern Time.

ADDRESSES: The Meeting will be held at the Lowe’s L’Enfant Plaza Hotel, 480 L’Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20024.


Anyone desiring a draft BOSC agenda may fax their request to Shirley Hamilton (202) 565-2444. The meeting is open to the public. Any member of the public wishing to make a presentation at the meeting should contact Shirley Hamilton, Designated Federal Officer, Office of Research and Development (8701R), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; or by telephone at (202) 564-6853. In general, each individual making an oral presentation will be limited to a total of three minutes.


John C. Puzak,
Acting Director, National Center for Environmental Research.

[FR Doc. 03–21598 Filed 8–21–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–7547–3]

Connecticut Marine Sanitation Device Standard; Notice of Determination for the Connecticut Portion of the Pawcatuck River, Little Narragansett Bay, Portions of Fishers Island Sound and All of Stonington Harbor

On January 29, 2003 notice was published that the State of Connecticut had petitioned the Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), to determine that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for all waters of the “Connecticut portion of the Pawcatuck River, Little Narragansett Bay, portions of Fishers Island Sound and all of Stonington Harbor.” The petition was filed pursuant to section 312 (f) (3) of Pub. L. 92–500, as amended by Pub. L. 95–217 and 100–4, for the purpose of declaring these waters a “No Discharge Area” (NDA).

Section 312 (f) (3) states: “After the effective date of the initial standards and regulations promulgated under this section, if any State determines that the protection and enhancement of the quality of some or all of the waters within such States require greater environmental protection, such State may completely prohibit the discharge from all vessels of any sewage, whether treated or not, into such waters, except that no such prohibition shall apply.”
until the Administrator determines that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for such water to which such prohibition would apply.”

The information submitted to me by the State of Connecticut certifies that there are three disposal facilities available to service vessels operating in the “Connecticut portion of the Pawcatuck River, Little Narragansett Bay, portions of Fishers Island Sound and all of Stonington Harbor.” A table with the facilities’ locations, contact information, hours of operation, and fees is appended at the end of this notice.

Based on an examination of the petition and its supporting information, which included site visits by EPA New England staff, I have determined that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for the area covered under this determination which includes the “Connecticut portion of the Pawcatuck River, Little Narragansett Bay, portions of Fishers Island Sound and all of Stonington Harbor.”

The area covered under this petition extends from Wamphassuc Point (41° 19’ 40.63” N by 71° 55’ 15.75” W) due south past Noyes Shoal to the boundary between Connecticut and New York (41° 18’ 28.99” N by 71° 55’ 15.75” W), easterly following the boundary between Connecticut and New York to the intersection of the Connecticut, New York and Rhode Island State lines (41° 16’ 16.69” N by 71° 54’ 27.23” W) and following the boundary between Connecticut and Rhode Island to U.S. Route 1 over the Pawcatuck River and including all Connecticut waters seaward of U.S. Route 1.

This determination is made pursuant to section 312 (f) (3) of Pub. L. 92–500, as amended by Pub. L. 95–217 and 100–4.0000

A Response to Comments was prepared for the nine communications EPA New England received during the 30 day comment period, and may be requested from EPA by written request to:


Robert W. Varney,
Regional Administrator, Region 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
<th>Hours of Operation (Call Ahead)</th>
<th>Mean Low Water Depth</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norwest Marina Pawcatuck, CT</td>
<td>VHF CH 9 (401) 348–2538.</td>
<td>Apr–Oct 10–4 .................. N/A ..................</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[FR Doc. 03–21427 Filed 8–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

August 18, 2003.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments by October 21, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1–C804, Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OMB Control No.: 3060–0202.

Title: Section 87.37, Developmental License.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for profit, not-for-profit institutions, and State, local, or Tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 12.

Estimated Time Per Response: 6 hours.

Frequency of Response: Annual reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 96 hours.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The requirement in section 87.37 is necessary to enable the Commission to gather data on the results of developmental programs conducted in the Aviation Service for which developmental authorizations have been issued. The data is required to determine whether such developmental authorizations should be renewed and/or whether rulemaking proceedings should be initiated to provide generally for such operations in the Aviation Service. The information is used by Commission staff to determine the merits of the program for which a developmental authorization was granted. If such information were not collected, the value of developmental programs in the Aviation Service would be severely limited. The Commission would have little, if any, information