

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-52,333]

**Kline Iron and Steel Company, Inc.,  
West Columbia, SC; Notice of  
Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on July 16, 2003, in response to a worker petition which was filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Kline Iron and Steel Company, Inc., West Columbia, South Carolina (TA-W-52,333).

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 6th day of August, 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,***Certifying Officer, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-21016 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-51,541]

**Luzenac America, Inc., Windsor, VT;  
Notice of Negative Determination  
Regarding Application for  
Reconsideration**

By application of July 7, 2003, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on May 23, 2003 and published in the **Federal Register** on June 19, 2003 (68 FR 36845).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Luzenac America, Inc., Windsor, Vermont engaged in the production of talc products, was denied because criteria (a)(2)(A)(IB) and (IIB) were not met. Production of talc products at the subject plant increased from 2001 to 2002 and from January through March of 2002 to the corresponding period of 2003, and the company did not shift production to a foreign source in this period.

In the request for reconsideration, the company official states that sales and production declines will occur in the near future in conjunction with a scheduled shift in production to Canada and a subsequent production shut down at the subject firm.

Regardless of imminent and certain sales and production declines, criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.B) requires an "existing" sales and/or production decline at the subject firm. Alternatively, workers might be eligible for TAA if the company had begun shifting production of like or directly competitive talc products to Canada. However, that event has not yet occurred and thus no shift of production is indicated in the relevant period of this investigation. Thus criterion (IIB) has not been met.

Should conditions change in the future, the company is encouraged to file a new petition on behalf of the worker group which will encompass an investigative period that will include these changing conditions.

**Conclusion**

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 1st day of August, 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,***Certifying Officer, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-21022 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-52,454]

**Pillowtex Corporation, Scottsboro, AL;  
Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on August 4,

2003, in response to a petition filed by the Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees (UNITE) on behalf of workers at Pillowtex Corporation, Scottsboro, Alabama.

The Union has requested that the investigation be terminated. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of August, 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,***Certifying Officer, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-21015 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-51,049]

**Raytheon Aircraft Company, Wichita,  
KS; Notice of Negative Determination  
Regarding Application for  
Reconsideration**

By application of June 13, 2003, the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, District Lodge No. 70, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on May 14, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on June 3, 2003 (68 FR 33196).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Raytheon Aircraft Company, Wichita, Kansas was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of customers of the workers' firm. The survey revealed that none of the respondents increased their purchases