

Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-20453 Filed 8-8-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. AD03-11-000]

#### Southwestern Gas Storage Technical Conference; Notice of Technical Conference and Agenda

August 4, 2003.

As announced in the Notice of Conference issued on June 19, 2003, staff from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) will convene a technical conference on August 26, 2003 at 9 a.m. at the Pointe Hilton Squaw Peak Resort, 7677 N. 16th St, Phoenix, AZ 85020, (602) 997-2626, to discuss issues related to natural gas storage development in the southwestern United States. By order issued June 4, 2003, in Docket Nos. CP02-420-000 *et al.*, the Commission directed that a technical conference be held to begin analysis of relevant market needs and regulatory options available to the Commission to assure the appropriate development of southwestern natural gas storage facilities and markets.<sup>1</sup> The conference Agenda is appended to this Notice.

In the June 19, 2003 Notice, potential presenters were asked to consider the following questions and present their responses at the conference, in order to more clearly focus the discussion:

What potential projects are currently under consideration by the industry for developing gas storage in the Southwest?

Should the Commission initiate an open-season approach for storage development proposals, in which all potential projects are filed at the same time?

What types of storage services are necessary or envisioned?

Who will contract for these services?

What type of storage facilities can physically be constructed (*i.e.* salt cavern, depleted oil/gas reservoirs, aquifer type, *etc.*)?

What environmental and cultural resources issues would affect the

development of gas storage facilities in the Southwest?

What are the concerns of Native Americans in the development of natural gas storage facilities in the southwest?

Transcripts of the conference will be available from Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. for a fee. The transcript will be available on the Commission's FERRIS system two weeks after the conference.

For additional information, please contact Elizabeth Anklam in the Office of Energy Projects at [elizabeth.anklam@ferc.gov](mailto:elizabeth.anklam@ferc.gov).

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
*Secretary.*

#### Southwestern Gas Storage Conference Agenda; August 26, 2003

- 9 AM Opening Remarks—FERC  
 9:15 AM Panel I—Regulatory Perspectives—Panel Members  
 —FERC Certificate Process—Berne Mosley, Director, Division of Pipeline Certificates  
 —Certificate Policy Statement—John Myler, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel  
 —Rate Options—Robert Petrocelli, Office of Markets, Tariffs, and Rates  
 —Storage Engineering/Technical Review—Elizabeth Anklam, Petroleum Engineer, Division of Pipeline Certificates  
 —Environmental Review—Lonnie Lister, Chief, Environmental Branch 3, Office of Energy Projects  
 —The State Perspective—Marc Spitzer, Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission  
 10:45 AM—Question and Answer Session—15 minutes for questions from the audience  
 11 AM—Panel II—Industry Perspectives—Storage Panel Members  
 —Red Lake Gas Storage—Mark Cook, Vice President  
 —Copper Eagle Gas Storage—TBA  
 —Unocal Midstream and Trade (Keystone Gas Storage Facility)—TBA  
 —EnCana Gas Storage (Wild Goose Storage Inc.)—Paul Amirault, Vice President, Marketing  
 —Desert Crossing Gas Storage and Transportation System—TBA  
 12:15 AM—Question and Answer Session—15 minutes for questions from the audience  
 12:30 PM—Break—Lunch  
 1:30 PM—Panel III—Industry Perspectives—Other Panel Members  
 —El Paso Natural Gas Company—TBA  
 —Southwest Gas Corporation—TBA  
 —Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District—TBA  
 —LECG Economics, Finance—James F. Wilson, Principal  
 —International Gas Consulting—Kenneth Beckman, President  
 2:45 PM—Question and Answer Session—15 minutes for questions from the audience  
 3 PM Panel IV—Federal, State and Tribal Lands Matters Panel Members  
 —Hualapai Nation—TBA  
 —BLM—TBA

—Arizona Department of Environmental Quality—TBA

4 PM Question and Answer Session—15 minutes for questions from the audience  
 4:15 PM—Closing Remarks

[FR Doc. 03-20452 Filed 8-8-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 96-45; DA 03-2330]

### NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners' Petition for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Alabama

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; solicitation of comments.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Wireline Competition Bureau seeks comment on the NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners' (NEXTEL) petition. NEXTEL is seeking designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) to receive federal universal service support for service offered in those portions of NEXTEL licensed service area located in rural and non-rural areas in Alabama.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before August 21, 2003. Reply comments are due on or before September 4, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for further filing instructions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Yockus, Attorney, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division (202) 418-7400, TTY (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice, CC Docket No. 96-45, released July 16, 2003. On April 4, 2003, NPCR, Inc. d/b/a Nextel Partners (NEXTEL), a commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) carrier, filed with the Commission a petition under section 214(e)(6) seeking designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) to receive federal universal service support for service offered in designated rural and non-rural areas of its licensed service area in the state of Alabama. NEXTEL contends that the Alabama Public Service Commission (Alabama Commission) has provided an affirmative statement that it does not regulate CMRS carriers; NEXTEL satisfies all the statutory and regulatory

<sup>1</sup> For the purpose of this conference, the Southwest is generally defined as west Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, southern Nevada, and southern California.

prerequisites for ETC designation; and designating NEXTEL as an ETC will serve the public interest.

The petitioner must provide copies of its petition to the Alabama Commission. The Commission will also send a copy of this Public Notice to the Alabama Commission by overnight express mail to ensure that the Alabama Commission is notified of the notice and comment period.

Pursuant to sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments as follows: comments are due on or before August 21, 2003, and reply comments are due on or before September 4, 2003. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies. See *Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 Fed. Reg. 24121, May 1, 1998.

Comments filed through the ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>. Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed. If multiple docket or rulemaking numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, however, commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to [ecfs@fcc.gov](mailto:ecfs@fcc.gov), and should include the following words in the body of the message, "get form <your e-mail address>." A sample form and directions will be sent in reply.

Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, commenters must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail (although we continue to experience delays in receiving U.S. Postal Service mail). The Commission's contractor, Vistrionix, Inc., will receive hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary at 236 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Suite 110, Washington, DC 20002. The filing hours at this location are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held

together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building.

Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743. U.S. Postal Service first-class mail, Express Mail, and Priority Mail should be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Marlene H. Dortch, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

Parties also must send three paper copies of their filing to Sheryl Todd, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Room 5-B540, Washington, DC 20554. In addition, commenters must send diskette copies to the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20054.

Pursuant to section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.1206, this proceeding will be conducted as a permit-but-disclose proceeding in which ex parte communications are permitted subject to disclosure.

Federal Communications Commission.  
**Paul Garnett**,  
*Acting Assistant Division Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-20323 Filed 8-8-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket 96-45; DA 03-2469]

### Updating Line Counts Used in Calculating High-Cost Support for Non-Rural Carriers

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; solicitation of comments.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) seeks additional comment on updating line counts in the Commission's forward-looking cost model for purposes of determining support for non-rural carriers following a Commission decision in the *Ninth Report and Order*, 64 FR 67416, December 1, 1999, remand proceeding.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before September 2, 2003. Reply Comments are due on or before September 10, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. See

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for further filing instructions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Katie King or Thomas Buckley, Attorneys, Wireline Competition Bureau, Telecommunications Access Policy Division (202) 418-7400, TTY (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Bureau's Public Notice, CC Docket No. 96-45, released July 24, 2003. On January 7, 2003, the Bureau released a Public Notice seeking comment on how line count and other discrete input values should be updated for purposes of determining non-rural high-cost support. Consistent with past precedent, the Bureau sought comment on using year-end 2001 line counts filed July 31, 2002, as input values for purposes of estimating average forward-looking costs and determining support for non-rural carriers during 2003. The Bureau also sought comment on using the same methodology that it has used in the past to update special access lines.

In this Public Notice, the Bureau seeks additional comment on issues raised by parties concerning special access line updates in response to the *2003 Line Counts Public Notice*, 68 FR 6744, February 10, 2003. The cost model uses simplifying assumptions to estimate the costs of serving high-capacity special access lines, for example by treating DS 3 lines as voice grade equivalents to calculate per-line costs. Some commenters contend that this methodology causes the model to overstate the total number of lines served by non-rural carriers and, therefore, to underestimate per-line costs. Commenters maintain that recent DS 3 special access line growth exacerbates these effects. In addition, some commenters argue that allocating special access lines reported in ARMIS to wire centers based on the *1999 Data Request* understates per-line costs in rural and high-cost areas by assigning too many special access lines to these areas.

Therefore, the Bureau seeks additional comment on updating special access lines in the model for purposes of determining non-rural high-cost support. The Bureau seeks comment on whether, in light of recent special access line growth trends, zeroing out special access lines in the cost model's calculations would be a reasonable approach to estimating costs using the current model platform. Alternatively, the Bureau seeks comment on other