

STATE RESPONDENT ANNUAL BURDEN HOUR CHART—Continued

30 CFR section	Reporting requirement	Burden hours per response	Annual number of responses	Annual burden hours
227.601(c) .....	To perform automated verification or production reports or royalty reports, you must: Maintain all documentation and logging procedures * * *.	2	12	24
Total .....	.....	.....	946	4,179

*Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping “Non-hour Cost” Burden:* The non-hour cost burden for one State to assume the four additional functions authorized by RSFA is estimated at \$60,000 for electronic processing and imaging capability. Annualized over 3 years, the cost is \$20,000.

*Public Disclosure Statement:* The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

*Comments:* Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA requires each agency “\* \* \* to provide notice \* \* \* and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information \* \* \*.” Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

To comply with the public consultation process, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** on April 8, 2003, (68 FR 17073) announcing that we would submit this ICR to OMB for approval. The notice provided the required 60-day comment period. We received no comments in response to this notice.

If you wish to comment in response to this notice, you may send your comments to the offices listed under the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, to ensure maximum consideration, OMB should receive public comments by September 3, 2003.

*Public Comment Policy:* We will post all comments in response to this notice on our Web site at [http://www.mrm.mms.gov/Laws\\_R\\_D/InfoColl/InfoColCom.htm](http://www.mrm.mms.gov/Laws_R_D/InfoColl/InfoColCom.htm). We will also make copies of the comments available for public review, including names and addresses of respondents, during regular business hours at our offices in Lakewood, Colorado. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the public record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent’s identity, as allowable by law. If you request that we withhold your name and/or address, state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

*MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer:* Jo Ann Lauterbach, (202) 208–7744.

Dated: July 21, 2003.  
**Lucy Querques Denett,**  
*Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.*  
 [FR Doc. 03–19651 Filed 8–1–03; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Antitrust Division**

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”)**

Notice is hereby given that, on July 2, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD CCA has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing

changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, CIS Technology, Inc., Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; DOCdata Benelux (OMM bv), Tilburg, THE NETHERLANDS; EMI Operations Italy S.p.A., Caronno Pertusella, ITALY; Humax Co., Ltd., Gyeonggi-Do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Lu Kee Electronic Co., Ltd., Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA; ODS Optical Disc Service GmbH, Dassow, GERMANY; Shanghai HongSheng (Norcent) Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; TAKT Kwaitkowski & Miadzel Sp.J., Boleslaw, POLAND; Trident Microsystems, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Videon Central, Inc., State College, PA; and Zhejiang HuaHong Optoelectronics Group Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Afreedy Inc., Taipei, TAIWAN; Alphacast Co. Ltd., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Applied Research Corporation, Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Esonic Technology Corporation, Taipei, TAIWAN; FM Com Corp., Kyungki-Do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Friendly CD-Tek Corporation, Taipei, TAIWAN; Goldteck International Inc., Taipei, TAIWAN; Great China Technology Inc., Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Gynco Electronics (H.K.) Ltd., Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA; Hanbit System Co., Ltd., Kyonggi-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Hermosa Cysware Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Highlead Technology, Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Iavix Technology Co., Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Iomega Corporation, Roy, UT; Jeu Hang Technology Co., Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Jointeck (HK) Limited, Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA; Linux Technology Ltd., Taipei, TAIWAN; Makidol Electronics Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Maxwell Productions, LLC, Scottsdale, AZ; MbyN Inc., Kyungki-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Media Dimensions, Inc., Austin, TX; Media Group, Inc., Fremont, CA; Megatron Co.,

Ltd., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Novac Co., Ltd., Tokyo, JAPAN; Optical Disc Stampers, Orange, CA; Shenzhen WED Development Co., Ltd., Guangdong, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Shunde Xiongfend Electric Industrial Company, Guangdong, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Takaya Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Tanway Electronic Factory, Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA; TVIA, Santa Clara, CA; Unidisc Technology Co., Ltd., Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Zen Research NV, Curacao, NETHERLANDS ANTILLES; and Zenix Electronics Limited, Hong Kong, HONG KONG-CHINA have been dropped as parties to this venture. In addition, Ravisent has changed its name to Sonic Solutions, Novato, CA.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 11, 2001, DVD CCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 4, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 14, 2003 (68 FR 25905).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-19673 Filed 8-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Gaming Standards Association (GSA)

Notice is hereby given that, on July 8, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the Gaming Standards Association ("GSA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically,

Alliance Gaming Services, Amsterdam, HOLLAND; Australasian Gaming Machine Manufacturers Association (AGMMA), Crows Nest, New South Wales, AUSTRALIA; BMM North America, Las Vegas, NV; Cadillac Jack, Duluth, CA; Cirsa Interactive, Terrassa, SPAIN; Cyberview Technologies, Inc., Las Vegas, NV; GameTech International, Reno, NV; GGS-US LTD, Las Vegas, NV; Giesecke & Devrient, Dulles, VA; Glory USA, W. Caldwell, NJ; Isle of Capri Casinos, Inc., Biloxi, MS; MBDA, Miami, OK; OLG, Toronto, Ontario, CANADA; and Soanar, Croydon, Victoria, AUSTRALIA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, IGT—International Game Technology, Reno, NV has been dropped as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and GSA intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 6, 2003, GSA filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 1, 2003 (68 FR 15743).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-19672 Filed 8-01-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—SEMATECH, Inc. d/b/a International SEMATECH

Notice is hereby given that, on June 16, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), SEMATECH Inc. (which is doing business as International SEMATECH) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership and project status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

With respect to membership status, Hynix Semiconductor Inc. (formerly known as Hyundai Electronics Industries Company, Ltd.), Kyoungki-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; and

STMicroelectronics, Geneva, SWITZERLAND have been dropped as parties to this venture.

The scope of the venture has expanded through a new program called the Research and Development (R&D) Foundry. The nature and objectives of the R&D Foundry are to make International SEMATECH's Advanced Technology Development Facility ("ATDF") available for customized programs and advanced R&D on fee-for-project basis. R&D Foundry customers can be International SEMATECH members, universities, equipment suppliers and other third parties in the industry (including non-member chip makers). Fees from R&D Foundry projects will be used to offset the expense of operating the ATDF. The R&D Foundry customer may be given exclusive access at certain times to tools in the ATDF and, depending on the nature of the project, may have a dedicated area in which to conduct ongoing research. Alternatively, International SEMATECH ATDF employees will perform the work for the R&D Foundry customer. International SEMATECH will provide personnel to manage the R&D Foundry projects. International SEMATECH personnel costs and other costs related to R&D Foundry activities will be separately accounted for. If an International SEMATECH member is also an R&D Foundry customer, its R&D Foundry fee will not be counted toward International SEMATECH membership dues. The R&D Foundry customer will have exclusive access to and ownership of most of the intellectual property ("IP") resulting from its project.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and International SEMATECH intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 22, 1988, International SEMATECH filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 19, 1988 (53 FR 17987).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 19, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 24, 2001 (66 FR 20686).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-19671 Filed 8-01-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M